Learning: Reinforcement, Fictitious Play and EWA 學習理論: 制約、計牌與EWA

Joseph Tao-yi Wang (王道一) Lecture 9, EE-BGT

Outline: Learning

- 1. Learning: What you do after you see "results"...
- 2. What we know now: (various learning rules)
 - 1. Reinforcement
 - 2. Belief learning
 - 3. EWA: a hybrid of reinforcement and belief learning
 - 4. Others: Evolutionary, anticipatory learning, imitation, learning direction theory, rule learning,...
- 3. Further research: New direction for research in learning
 - Application: How can we use these tools?

<u>What you do after you see...</u>

- Suppose you are playing Stag Hunt
- (B, L) happened last time
- What would you do now?

Change strategy?Stick to it?



<u>What you do after you see...</u>

- A robot (pre-programmed) would stick to it
 - Evolutionary approach
- But humans think twice
- How would you switch?
- Reinforcement:
 - Choices "reinforced" by previous payoffs
 - Very bad" reasoning



Reinforcement Learning

Update attractions (tendency to play a certain strategy) after given history

Reinforcement:

- Choices "reinforced" by previous payoffs
- Allow spillovers to "neighboring strategies"
- Example: (cumulative reinforcement)

$$\underline{A^B(t)} = \varphi \underline{A^B(t-1)} + (1-\epsilon) \cdot \mathbf{1}$$

$$\underline{A^T(t)} = \varphi \underline{A^T(t-1)} + \epsilon \cdot \mathbf{1}$$

Reinforcement Learning

(More General) Cumulative Reinforcement:

$$\underline{A^B(t)} = \varphi \underline{A^B(t-1)} + (1-\epsilon) \cdot 1 \cdot [1-\rho(t-1)]$$
$$\underline{A^T(t)} = \varphi \underline{A^T(t-1)} + \epsilon \cdot 1 \cdot [1-\rho(t-1)]$$

Alternatively,

Weighted Average Reinforcement

$$\underline{A^B(t)} = \varphi \underline{A^B(t-1)} + (1-\varphi) \cdot (1-\epsilon) \cdot 1$$

$$\underline{A^{T}(t)} = \varphi \underline{A^{T}(t-1)} + (1-\varphi) \cdot \epsilon \cdot 1$$

Joseph Tao-yi Wang

What "else" could you do...

- Would you update your beliefs about what others do"?
 - Belief learning models
- Fictitious Play
 - Keep track of frequency
 - Ex: rock-paper-scissors
- Cournot Best-Response
 - What you did last time is what you'll do now





Weighted Fictitious Play

- Other weights? Weighted fictitious play
 - Fictitious play: weigh all history equally ($\rho = 1$)
 - Cournot: focus only on the last period ($\rho = 0$)
- Prior:
 - ▶ $P_{t-1}(L) = 3/5$, $P_{t-1}(R) = 2/5$

Posterior:

Weighted Fictitious Play

Posterior:

Use this belief to compute payoffs and use them as attractions:

 $A^{\mathsf{T}}(\mathsf{t}) = [\mathbf{3} (3 \rho + 1) + \mathbf{0} (2 \rho + 0)] / (5 \rho + 1)$ $A^{\mathsf{B}}(\mathsf{t}) = [\mathbf{1} (3 \rho + 1) + \mathbf{1} (2 \rho + 0)] / (5 \rho + 1)$

Note: Actually payoff received play no role

Could you being doing both?

- Reinforcement does not update beliefs
 - But people do update!
- Fictitious play doesn't react to actual payoffs
 - But people do respond
- EWA: a hybrid of two
 - Camerer and Ho
 (Econometrica, 1999)



Experience-Weighted Attraction

 $\blacktriangleright N(t)$: Experience Weight (weakly increasing)

$$N(t) = \varphi(1-\kappa)N(t-1) + 1, N(t) \le \frac{1}{1-\varphi(1-\kappa)} \checkmark$$

Attraction (for chosen action *B*)

$$A^{B}(t) = [\varphi N(t-1)A^{B}(t-1) + 1]/N(t)$$

For unchosen action T, add δ :

- Weight players give to foregone payoffs of unchosen strategies
- ► Law of effect vs. Law of simulated effect

$$A^{T}(t) = \left[\varphi N(t-1)A^{T}(t-1) + \frac{3\delta}{\delta}\right]/N(t)$$

EWA Special Case: Reinforcement

•
$$A^B(t) = [\varphi N(t-1)A^B(t-1) + \pi(B,L)]/N(t)$$

 $A^{T}(t) = [\varphi N(t-1)A^{T}(t-1) + \pi(T,L)\underline{\delta}]/N(t)$

where $N(t) = \varphi(1-\kappa)N(t-1) + 1$

- Becomes Reinforcement if $\delta = 0, N(0) = 1$
- (Simple) cumulative reinforcement: κ = 1
 N(t) = 1 for all t

(Weighted) average reinforcement: κ = 0
 Weights are φ/φ+1 and 1/φ+1

EWA Special Case: Weighted Fictitious Play

•
$$A^B(t) = [\varphi N(t-1)A^B(t-1) + \pi(B,L)]/N(t)$$

 $A^{T}(t) = [\varphi N(t-1)A^{T}(t-1) + \pi(T,L)\underline{\delta}]/N(t)$

where $N(t) = \varphi(1-\kappa)N(t-1) + 1$

- Becomes Weighted Fictitious Play if $\delta = 1, \kappa = 0$
 - Good Homework exercise...
 - ▶ Hint: Since $N(t) = 1 + \varphi + \varphi^2 + \dots + \varphi^{t-1}$

▶ Posterior is
$$P_t(L) = \frac{I(L, h(t)) + (\varphi + \cdots \varphi^{t-1}) \cdot P_{t-1}(L)}{1 + \varphi + \cdots \varphi^{t-1}}$$

EWA Special Case: Weighted Fictitious Play

•
$$A^B(t) = [\varphi N(t-1)A^B(t-1) + \pi(B,L)]/N(t)$$

 $A^{T}(t) = [\varphi N(t-1)A^{T}(t-1) + \pi(T,L)\underline{\delta}]/N(t)$

where $N(t) = \varphi(1-\kappa)N(t-1) + 1$

- Becomes Weighted Fictitious Play if $\delta = 1, \kappa = 0$
 - Fictitious Play: $\varphi = 1$
 - Cournot Best-Response: $\varphi = 0$

EWA Cube: Camerer, Wang, Ho (EJ 08) vs. Knoepfle, Wang, Camerer (JEEA 09)



Learning in Games

2024/4/11

Joseph Tao-yi Wang

Interpretation of EWA Parameters

- δ : Attraction weight on foregone payoffs
 - Diff. between received vs. opportunity gains
- $\blacktriangleright \kappa$: The rate attractions grow
 - Cumulative vs. Average
- N(t): The strength of initial attractions
 (in units of "experience-equivalence")
- φ : Weight in N(t)
 - Decay of previous attractions

EWA Cube: Camerer, Wang, Ho (EJ 08) vs. Knoepfle, Wang, Camerer (JEEA 09)



Learning in Games

2024/4/11

Prediction Power of EWA

- EWA generally improves accuracy in about 35 games (except for mixed ones)
 - See Camerer and Ho (book chapter, 1999), the "Long version" of their Econometrica paper
- BGT, Ch. 6 provides two examples:
 - Continental Divide
 - p-Beauty Contest

Prediction Power of EWA

- Overfitting: Too many parameters?
 - ► Can be tested by LR test: Restricted fit vs. Unrestricted
- Better Out-of-sample Prediction Power:
 - Estimate parameters and predict "new data"
 - Not prone to overfitting (because of new data)
- ▶ 1-parameter self-tuned EWA works too:
 - This EWA-Lite does as good as reinforcement or fictitious play, even on data with new games

Other Learning Rules

- Anticipatory learning (Sophistication):
 - Sophisticated players are aware that others are learning BR to Cournot, etc. (level-k)
 - Soph. EWA: Camerer, Ho, Chong (JET 2002)
- Imitation: Imitate average or "best" player
- Learning direction theory: Move toward BR
- Rule learning: Learn which "rule" to use
 - Stahl (GEB 2000)

Further Research

- Here is where we stand.
- ► Are there new direction for research in learning?
 - How does information acquisition help us study how people learn?
 - Learning direction theory and imitation are still loose ends to be filled
- Holy Grail: How do people "actually" learn?

Further Research

- How can we use these tools?
- Econometric Properties of learning rules:
 - Salmon (Econometrica 2001): Simulate data via certain learning rules and estimate them
 - Identification is bad for mixed strategy equilibrium and games with few strategies
 - EWA estimation does well on δ ; others okay only for 1000 periods (but not 30 periods)
- Can use this to test designs

Conclusion

- Learning: How people react to past history
- Reinforcement
- Belief Learning
 - Fictitious play, Cournot, etc.
- **EWA**: a Hybrid model
 - Performs better even out-of-sample
- Design tests: simulate and estimate