The magnetoelectric domains and cross-field switching in multiferroic BiFeO₃
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BiFeO₃ is an exciting multiferroic material because of its room temperature multiferroicity, excellent ferroelectric properties, and recently demonstrated electric control of antiferromagnetic domains. In this letter we report a theoretical study on the structure and evolution of magnetoelectric domains in BiFeO₃. We not only observed the coupled ferroelectric and antiferromagnetic domains and demonstrated the electric control of antiferromagnetic ordering, both in consistency with experiments, but also revealed the switching of antiferromagnetic domains by mechanical stress that is yet to be explored in experiments. © 2008 American Institute of Physics.
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Magnetoelastic coupling in multiferroic materials is of renewed interest not only for its fundamental scientific significance, but also for its potential applications in data storage and spintronics. Among all multiferroics, BiFeO₃ is particularly exciting for its rare room temperature multiferroicity. It has a rhombohedral crystalline structure, with spontaneous polarization along one of the eight pseudocubic [111] axes. In addition, it has G-type antiferromagnetic ordering, with magnetic spins lying in the (111) plane, being ferromagnetically coupled between adjacent (111) planes. The correlation between the directions of polarizations and spins makes it possible to control the magnetic spins of BiFeO₃ by electric fields, which has been demonstrated in experiments recently.

The rapid experimental advances in BiFeO₃ are exciting, but many questions remain to be explored. For example, what is the structure of magnetoelectric domains in BiFeO₃, and how does its domain structure evolve under an external stimulus? Answers to these questions are essential to the understanding of the microstructure and macroscopic properties of BiFeO₃, yet no theoretical framework has been developed to study the structure and evolution of magnetoelectric domains in BiFeO₃ or any other multiferroics, and only the ferroelectric domain structure of BiFeO₃ has been simulated so far.

In this letter, we apply an unconventional phase field approach recently developed to study the coupled magnetoelectric domains and cross-field switching in BiFeO₃. Four order parameters are required to describe the multiferroic state of BiFeO₃, including spontaneous polarization \( \mathbf{p} \), spontaneous transformation strain \( \mathbf{e} \), and spontaneous strains \( \mathbf{m}_p \) and \( \mathbf{m}_s \) of two sublattices that are antiferromagnetically coupled. The rhombohedral crystalline structure of BiFeO₃ results in eight ferroelectric variants, with the transformation strain and spontaneous polarization given by

\[
\mathbf{e}^{(1,2)} = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & \delta & \delta \\ \delta & \alpha & \delta \\ \delta & \delta & \alpha \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{p}^{(1,2)} = \pm \frac{p_s}{\sqrt{3}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}
\]

and their symmetry permutations, where \( \mathbf{p}^{(3,4)} \pm [\bar{1}11], \mathbf{p}^{(5,6)} \pm [1\bar{1}1], \) and \( \mathbf{p}^{(7,8)} \pm [\bar{1}11] \). Notice that the strain and polarization of the ferroelectric can be determined from the volume averaging of its eight variants, \( \mathbf{e} = \sum_{i=1}^{8} \lambda_i \mathbf{e}^{(i)}, \quad \mathbf{p} = \sum_{i=1}^{8} \lambda_i \mathbf{p}^{(i)} \), where \( \lambda_i \) is the global volume fraction of variant \( i \) satisfying \( \sum_{i=1}^{8} \lambda_i = 1 \). To incorporate this constraint, we introduce seven \( \mu_i \) that are independent of each other, with

\[
\lambda_i = \frac{\mu_i \prod_{j=1}^{r-1} (1 - \mu_j)}{\prod_{j=1}^{r-1} (1 - \mu_j)}, \quad r = 1, \ldots, 7,
\]

whose summation equals 1, so that the constraint on \( \lambda_i \) is automatically satisfied. Physically, \( \mu_i \) can be interpreted as the characteristic functions of a multirank laminated microstructure being either 0 or 1 as enforced by the first term in Eq. (3). This establishes the equivalence of characteristic functions \( \mu = \{\mu_i\}_{i=1}^{7} \) with the strain \( \mathbf{e} \) and polarization \( \mathbf{p} \), allowing us to use them as the internal variables. Indeed, the energetic contribution from the ferroelectric ordering is postulated as

\[
W_{\text{m}}(\mu) + W_{\text{ex}}(\mu) = K_s \sum_{i=1}^{7} \mu_i^2 (1 - \mu_i)^2 + A_e \nabla |\mu|, \quad r = 1, \ldots, 7,
\]

where the first term ensures the multivell energetic structure minimized by \( \mu_i \) at either 0 or 1, and the second term accounts for the ferroelectric domain wall energy. Furthermore, there are elastic and electrostatic energies arising from the interactions among ferroelectric variants, which can be determined by solving the mechanical equilibrium equation and Maxwell’s equation. The internal energy of BiFeO₃ is also dependent on spins \( \mathbf{m}_p \) and \( \mathbf{m}_s \) of two sublattices, leading to additional energetic contribution from antiferromagnetic ordering.
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\[ W_m^{\text{eff}}(m_a, m_b) = A_m(\nabla m_a - \nabla m_b)^2 - C m_a \cdot m_b, \]

where the first term accounts for the domain wall energy of spins, and the second term ensures that \( m_a \) and \( m_b \) are antiferromagnetically coupled. Magnetic spins lie in the (111) plane that is perpendicular to the [111] axis, the direction of polarization, and a magnetic anisotropy energy is introduced to reflect this coupling,

\[ W_m^{\text{ani}}(m_a, m_b, \mu) = K_m^{\mu}(2 - [m_a \cdot 1(\mu)]^2 - [m_b \cdot 1(\mu)]^2), \]

where \( \mu = \sum_{i=1}^{8} \lambda_i \) gives the easy axis of spins, with \( \lambda^{1,2,7,8} = [110] \) and \( \lambda^{3,4,5,6} = [110] \), consistent with experimental observations and first principles computations on BiFeO\(_3\) films.\(^{13}\) The magnetization at an arbitrary material point is thus given by \( m = \sum_{i=1}^{8} \lambda_i (m_i^{10} + m_i^{11}) \), leading to additional magnetostatic energy that can be determined by solving Maxwell’s equation.\(^{26}\) Notice that we couple the spins with the ferroelectric ordering through the anisotropy energy in Eq. (5) instead of rigidly as we do for the strain and polarization in Eq. (1) since it allows the rotation of spins that is often observed in magnetic materials.\(^{21}\)

Through the variation in the potential energy, the evolution equations for \( \mu, m_a, \) and \( m_b \) are established as

\[
\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial t} = -L[F_e + F_{\text{ani}} + F_{m} + F_{\text{elas}} + F_{\text{ele}}],
\]

\[
\frac{\partial m_{a,b}}{\partial t} = -\gamma_b m_{a,b} \times H_{a,b}^{\text{eff}} - \delta \gamma_a m_{a,b} \times (m_{a,b} \times H_{a,b}^{\text{eff}}),
\]

where the second equation describes the evolution of \( m_a \) or \( m_b \) in the form of the classical Landau–Lifshitz–Gilbert equation.\(^{26}\) In these equations, \( L[F_e + F_{\text{ani}} + F_{m} + F_{\text{elas}} + F_{\text{ele}}] = (-\partial / \partial \mu)W_{e}^{\text{ani}}(\mu), F_{m}^{\text{ani}} = (-\partial / \partial \mu)W_{m}^{\text{ani}}(m_a, m_b, \mu) \) that couples the transformation strain and the magnetization, \( F_{\text{elas}}^{\text{ele}} = C(\epsilon - e(\mu)) \cdot (\partial e(\mu) / \partial \mu) \), with \( C \) being the elastic stiffness tensor and \( e \) the total strain, and \( F_{\text{ele}}^{\text{ele}} = (E^{0} - \nabla \phi) \cdot (\partial \phi / \partial \mu) \), with \( E^{0} \) being the applied electric field and \( -\nabla \phi \) the electrostatic field.\(^{25}\) The effective magnetic field is given by

\[ H_{a,b}^{\text{eff}} = H_{a,b}^{e} + H_{a,b}^{c} + H^{0} - \nabla \psi, \]

where \( H_{a,b}^{e} = (2A_m/M)(\nabla m_{a,b} - \nabla m_{a,b}) + (C/M) m_{a,b}, H_{a,b}^{c} = -((1/M)(\partial / \partial m_{a,b})W_{m}^{\text{ani}}(m_a, m_b, \mu), H^{0} \) is the applied magnetic field, and \( -\nabla \psi \) is the magnetostatic field.\(^{27}\) These equations are then solved by semi-implicit finite difference method and fast Fourier transform.\(^{21,28,29}\) The material parameters used in simulation include the following: elastic constants \( C_{11} = 302 \) GPa, \( C_{12} = 162 \) GPa, and \( C_{44} = 68 \) GPa and magnetic anisotropic constant \( K_m = 6.6 \times 10^4 \) J/m\(^3\).

We first examine the ferroelastic, ferroelectric, and antiferromagnetic domain structures of BiFeO\(_3\) in the absence of external fields, as shown in Fig. 1. It is observed that four ferroelastic variants are arranged in a characteristic rank-2 laminate structure, which is further divided into eight ferroelectric domains in a self-accommodating and compatible matter. The arrows in Fig. 1(b) indicate the directions of in-plane polarization components, while the directions of out-of-plane components are indicated by + and − signs. Only two antiferromagnetic variants are observed, with their spins oriented along [110] and [110], as indicated by the yellow arrow in Fig. 1(c). The direction of sublattice spin \( m_a \) is shown, while the sublattice spin \( m_b \) is antiparallel to \( m_a \). It is noted that ferroelectric variants separated by 180° [variants (1)/(2), (3)/(4), (5)/(6), (7)/(8)] or 109° [variants (1)/(8), (2)/(7), (3)/(6), and (4)/(5)] domain walls share the same antiferromagnetic ordering, while variants (1)/(4) and (6)/(7) are separated by 71° domains walls that change the antiferromagnetic ordering, suggesting the possibility of electric control of antiferromagnetic ordering through the corresponding 109° ferroelectric domain switching.
BiFeO$_3$ film, and a new set of ferroelectric and antiferromagnetic domains, as shown in Figs. 2. To verify the electric control of antiferromagnetic domains, we apply an electric field along the [001] axis; (a) ferroelectric domains and (b) antiferromagnetic domain under a mechanical shear stress $\sigma_{12}$; the directions of polarizations and spins projected onto the (001) plane are indicated by arrows in the respective figures.

In summary, we developed a theoretical framework to study the coupled magnetoelectric domains and cross-field switching in multiferroic BiFeO$_3$. Our study provides insight into the microstructure evolution and macroscopic properties of BiFeO$_3$ and offers a powerful tool to analyze a wide range of multiferroic materials with magnetoelectric coupling.
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