In this chapter, look for the answers to these questions:

- How does the budget constraint represent the choices a consumer can afford?
- How do indifference curves represent the consumer's preferences?
- What determines how a consumer divides her resources between two goods?
- How does the theory of consumer choice explain decisions such as how much a consumer saves, or how much labor she supplies?

**Introduction**

- Recall one of the Ten Principles from Chapter 1: *People face tradeoffs.*
  - Buying more of one good leaves less income to buy other goods.
  - Working more hours means more income and more consumption, but less leisure time.
  - Reducing saving allows more consumption today but reduces future consumption.
- This chapter explores how consumers make choices like these.

**The Budget Constraint: What the Consumer Can Afford**

- Example: Hurley divides his income between two goods: fish and mangos.
- A "consumption bundle" is a particular combination of the goods, e.g., 40 fish & 300 mangos.
- **Budget constraint**: the limit on the consumption bundles that a consumer can afford

**Active Learning**

**Budget Constraint**
- Hurley's income: $12,000
- Prices: $P_f$ = $40 per fish, $P_m$ = $10 per mango

**Answers**

- A. If Hurley spends all his income on fish, how many fish does he buy?
  - $\frac{12000}{40} = 300$ fish
- B. If Hurley spends all his income on mangos, how many mangos does he buy?
  - $\frac{12000}{10} = 1200$ mangos
- C. If Hurley buys 100 fish, how many mangos can he buy?
- D. Plot each of the bundles from parts A – C on a graph that measures fish on the horizontal axis and mangos on the vertical, connect the dots.

D. Hurley's budget constraint shows the bundles he can afford.
The Slope of the Budget Constraint

From C to D,
“rise” = −200 mangos
“run” = +50 fish
Slope = −4
Hurley must give up 4 mangos to get one fish.

The Slope of the Budget Constraint

The slope of the budget constraint equals
- the rate at which Hurley can trade mangos for fish
- the opportunity cost of fish in terms of mangos
- the relative price of fish:

\[
\frac{\text{price of fish}}{\text{price of mangos}} = \frac{40}{10} = 4 \text{ mangos per fish}
\]

Active Learning 2

Budget constraint, continued.

Show what happens to Hurley’s budget constraint if:
A. His income falls to $8,000.
B. The price of mangos rises to \( P_M = \$20 \) per mango

Active Learning 2

Answers, part A

Now, Hurley can buy
\[
\frac{8,000}{40} = 200 \text{ fish}
\]
or
\[
\frac{8,000}{10} = 800 \text{ mangos}
\]
or any combination in between.

Active Learning 2

Answers, part B

Hurley can still buy 300 fish.
But now he can only buy $12,000/$20 = 600 mangos.
Notice: slope is smaller; relative price of fish is now only 2 mangos.

An increase in the price of one good pivots the budget constraint inward.

Preferences: What the Consumer Wants

Indifference curve: shows consumption bundles that give the consumer the same level of satisfaction
A, B, and all other bundles on \( I_1 \) make Hurley equally happy – he is indifferent between them.
Four Properties of Indifference Curves

1. Indifference curves are downward-sloping.
   
   If the quantity of fish is reduced, the quantity of mangos must be increased to keep Hurley equally happy.

2. Higher indifference curves are preferred to lower ones.
   
   Hurley prefers every bundle on \( I_2 \) (like C) to every bundle on \( I_1 \) (like A).
   He prefers every bundle on \( I_1 \) (like A) to every bundle on \( I_0 \) (like D).

3. Indifference curves cannot cross.
   
   Suppose they did. Hurley should prefer B to C, since B has more of both goods. Yet, Hurley is indifferent between B and C:
   - He likes C as much as A (both are on \( I_4 \)).
   - He likes A as much as B (both are on \( I_4 \)).

4. Indifference curves are bowed inward.
   
   Hurley is willing to give up more mangos for a fish if he has few fish (A) than if he has many (B).

The Marginal Rate of Substitution

Marginal rate of substitution (MRS): the rate at which a consumer is willing to trade one good for another.

Hurley’s MRS is the amount of mangos he would substitute for another fish.

MRS falls as you move down along an indifference curve.

One Extreme Case: Perfect Substitutes

Perfect substitutes: two goods with straight-line indifference curves, constant MRS

Example: nickels & dimes
   
   Consumer is always willing to trade two nickels for one dime.
Another Extreme Case: Perfect Complements

Perfect complements: two goods with right-angle indifference curves

Example: Left shoes, right shoes (7 left shoes, 5 right shoes) is just as good as (5 left shoes, 5 right shoes)

Less Extreme Cases:
Close Substitutes and Close Complements

Quantity of Coke

Indifference curves for close substitutes are not very bowed

Quantity of hot dog buns

Indifference curves for close complements are very bowed

Optimization: What the Consumer Chooses

A is the optimum: the point on the budget constraint that touches the highest possible indifference curve.

Hurley prefers B to A, but he cannot afford B.

Hurley can afford C and D, but A is on a higher indifference curve.

At the optimum, slope of the indifference curve equals slope of the budget constraint:

\[ \text{MRS} = \frac{P_F}{P_M} \]

Consumer optimization is another example of "thinking at the margin."

The Effects of an Increase in Income

An increase in income increases the quantity demanded of normal goods and reduces the quantity demanded of inferior goods.

Suppose fish is a normal good but mangos are an inferior good.

Use a diagram to show the effects of an increase in income on Hurley’s optimal bundle of fish and mangos.

ACTIVE LEARNING 3
Inferior vs. normal goods

- An increase in income increases the quantity demanded of normal goods and reduces the quantity demanded of inferior goods.
- Suppose fish is a normal good but mangos are an inferior good.
- Use a diagram to show the effects of an increase in income on Hurley’s optimal bundle of fish and mangos.
The Income and Substitution Effects

A fall in the price of fish has two effects on Hurley’s optimal consumption of both goods.

- **Income effect**
  A fall in \( P_F \) boosts the purchasing power of Hurley’s income, allowing him to buy more mangos and more fish.

- **Substitution effect**
  A fall in \( P_F \) makes mangos more expensive relative to fish, causing Hurley to buy fewer mangos and more fish.

Notice: The net effect on mangos is ambiguous.

The Income and Substitution Effects

A fall in the price of fish has two effects on Hurley’s optimal consumption of both goods.

- **Income effect**
  A fall in \( P_F \) boosts the purchasing power of Hurley’s income, allowing him to buy more mangos and more fish.

- **Substitution effect**
  A fall in \( P_F \) makes mangos more expensive relative to fish, causing Hurley to buy fewer mangos and more fish.

Notice: The net effect on mangos is ambiguous.

Do you think the substitution effect would be bigger for substitutes or complements?

- Draw an indifference curve for Coke and Pepsi, and, on a separate graph, one for hot dogs and hot dog buns.
- On each graph, show the effects of a relative price change (keeping the consumer on the initial indifference curve).
Deriving Hurley’s Demand Curve for Fish

When $P_F = $4, Hurley demands 350 fish.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quantity of Fish</th>
<th>Price of Fish</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>$4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>350</td>
<td>$2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Application 1: Giffen Goods

- Do all goods obey the Law of Demand?
- Suppose the goods are potatoes and meat, and potatoes are an inferior good.
- If price of potatoes rises,
  - substitution effect: buy less potatoes
  - income effect: buy more potatoes
- If income effect > substitution effect, then potatoes are a Giffen good, a good for which an increase in price raises the quantity demanded.

Could This Happen in the Real World???

- Do Giffen goods actually exist?

Application 2: Wages and Labor Supply

- Budget constraint: Shows a person’s tradeoff between consumption and leisure.
  - Depends on how much time she has to divide between leisure and working.
  - The relative price of an hour of leisure is the amount of consumption she could buy with an hour’s wages.

- Indifference curve: Shows “bundles” of consumption and leisure that give her the same level of satisfaction.

At the optimum, the $MRS$ between leisure and consumption equals the wage.
Application 2: Wages and Labor Supply

An increase in the wage has two effects on the optimal quantity of labor supplied.

- **Substitution effect (SE):** A higher wage makes leisure more expensive relative to consumption. The person chooses less leisure, i.e., increases quantity of labor supplied.

- **Income effect (IE):** With a higher wage, she can afford more of both “goods.” She chooses more leisure, i.e., reduces quantity of labor supplied.

---

**For this person, \( SE > IE \)**

So her labor supply increases with the wage

---

**For this person, \( SE < IE \)**

So his labor supply falls when the wage rises

---

Could This Happen in the Real World???

Cases where the income effect on labor supply is very strong:

- Over last 100 years, technological progress has increased labor demand and real wages. The average workweek fell from 6 to 5 days.

- When a person wins the lottery or receives an inheritance, his wage is unchanged – hence no substitution effect. But such persons are more likely to work fewer hours, indicating a strong income effect.

---

Application 3: Interest Rates and Saving

A person lives for two periods.

- Period 1: young, works, earns $100,000 consumption = $100,000 minus amount saved
- Period 2: old, retired consumption = saving from Period 1 plus interest earned on saving

The interest rate determines the relative price of consumption when young in terms of consumption when old.

---

**Budget constraint shown is for 10\% interest rate.**

At the optimum, the MRS between current and future consumption equals the interest rate.
Suppose the interest rate rises.

Describe the income and substitution effects on current and future consumption, and on saving.

**Effects of a change in the interest rate**

- **Substitution effect**
  - Current consumption becomes more expensive relative to future consumption.
  - Current consumption falls, saving rises, future consumption rises.
- **Income effect**
  - Can afford more consumption in both the present and the future. Saving falls.

**Application 3: Interest Rates and Saving**

In this case, \( SE > IE \) and saving rises.

In this case, \( SE < IE \) and saving falls.

**CONCLUSION:**

Do People Really Think This Way?

- People do not make spending decisions by writing down their budget constraints and indifference curves.
- Yet, they try to make the choices that maximize their satisfaction given their limited resources.
- The theory in this chapter is only intended as a metaphor for how consumers make decisions.
- It explains consumer behavior fairly well in many situations and provides the basis for more advanced economic analysis.

**CHAPTER SUMMARY**

- A consumer’s budget constraint shows the possible combinations of different goods she can buy given her income and the prices of the goods. The slope of the budget constraint equals the relative price of the goods.
- An increase in income shifts the budget constraint outward. A change in the price of one of the goods pivots the budget constraint.
CHAPTER SUMMARY

A consumer’s indifference curves represent her preferences. An indifference curve shows all the bundles that give the consumer a certain level of happiness. The consumer prefers points on higher indifference curves to points on lower ones.

The slope of an indifference curve at any point is the marginal rate of substitution – the rate at which the consumer is willing to trade one good for the other.

The consumer optimizes by choosing the point on her budget constraint that lies on the highest indifference curve. At this point, the marginal rate of substitution equals the relative price of the two goods.

When the price of a good falls, the impact on the consumer’s choices can be broken down into two effects, an income effect and a substitution effect.

The income effect is the change in consumption that arises because a lower price makes the consumer better off. It is represented by a movement from a lower indifference curve to a higher one.

The substitution effect is the change that arises because a price change encourages greater consumption of the good that has become relatively cheaper. It is represented by a movement along an indifference curve.

The theory of consumer choice can be applied in many situations. It can explain why demand curves can potentially slope upward, why higher wages could either increase or decrease labor supply, and why higher interest rates could either increase or decrease saving.

Indifference Curve Analysis

- Budget Constraint
- Indifference Curve
  - MRS
- Optimal Choice at MRS = \( P_1 / P_2 \)
  - Substitution Effect + Income Effect

Homework: Mankiw, Ch.21, pp. 480-482, Problem 5, 6, 10, 11, 13.