1. Climate-Scale Study: Change in Tropical Cyclone (TC) Translation Speed in the South China Sea and Implication on TC Intensity Ya-ting Chang, I-I Lin*, Hsiao-Ching Huang, Yi-Chun Liao and Chun-Chi Lien (NTUAS)

Chang et al. Sustainability, 2020 (Kossin Nature 2018)

2. Weather-Scale Study:

The Explosive Intensification of Super Typhoon Hagibis (2019)

I-I Lin* (NTUAS), Rob. F. Rogers* (NOAA/HRD), Ya-Ting Chang, Hsiao-Ching Huang, Yi-Chun Liao, Derrick Herndon (CIMSS/Wisconsin), Jin-Yi Yu (UC Irvine), Chun-Chi Lien, Jun A. Zhang (NOAA/HRD), Christina M. Patricola (Univ. of Iowa), & Iam-Fei Pun (NCU)



Lin et al. BAMS, Sep. 2021

nature

Letter Published: 06 June 2018

A global slowdown of tropical-cyclone translation speed

James P. Kossin 🖂

Nature 558, 104-107(2018) Cite this article









Lin et al. GRL 2013



Vmax V. S.	corr. Coeff.	p-Value		
Uh	0.553	0.011, >95%		
SST	0.010	0.965, < 5%		
D26	0.212	0.370, >60%		
ТСНР	0.131	0.583, >40%		
VWS	-0.0956	0.6886, >30%		
cooling with Uh change	0.615	0.004, >99%		
cooling without Uh change	0.236	0.317, >65%		

Enthalpy Flux



Chang et al. 2020

Conclusion for Part 1

TC Translation Speed (Uh) in the SCS increase by 43% from 1998 to 2017 with statistical significance. TC intensity also increase by 35% in the same time, with significance. Among all parameters tested, Uh and TC intensity has the highest correlation (~ 0.6). It appears that fast Uh likely to be a

positive contributor to TC intensity increase in the SCS.

Question:

Why SCS Uh increases so much in 1998-2017? PDO?

Supertyphoon Hagibis (Oct. 2019)



The forecast track from the Joint Typhoon Warning Center predict

The Economist

World politics Business & finance Economics Science & technology Culture Blogs Debate Multimedia

Record holder

Lin et al. 2014 Mori et al. 2014 Lagmay et al. 2015

Worse than hell

One of the strongest storms ever recorded has devastated parts of the Philippines, and relief is slow to arrive

Nov 16th 2013 | CEBU, HANOI AND MANILA | From the print edition

Timekceper



Death: 6300; Injured: 28689; Damage : US \$ 2,051,710,653 (2 billion) http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Typhoon_Haiyan





Scientific Questions for P1 and P2

Lin et al. GRL 2014

Catego	ory	Winds (knots)	V^2 (fcn. of ACE, k. energy)	2 (fcn. ACE, k. hergy) V^3 (fcn. of PDI, destructiveness)			
1		64-82	4,096		262,144		
2	19	83-95	6,889 ×	1.7	571,787	<i>x</i> 2.2	
3	13	96-113	9,216	1.3	884,736	x1.5	
4	18	114-135	Hagibis: 60 to 160kt (TS to C6) i				in 24h!
5 (Katri	<mark>22</mark> řia)	>135 - 159	.vs. Haiyan 70-130kt (C1 to C4) in 24h				
	25	>=160					
'Cat 6	5'?	(170)	28,900		4,913,000		

TC Intensification

1. Large-Scale Atmospheric Environment: Vertical Wind Shear (VWS) & RH (Relative Humidity); 200-800km Ring/400-1000km Ring

- 2. Large-Scale, Pre-TC Ocean (SST, Ocean Heat Content (OHC)) & During-TC, Local-Scale Air-Sea Interaction (During-TC Cooling and Air-Sea Sensible and Latent Heat Fluxes
- 3. Vortex-Scale TC Properties & Convective-Scale Features: Uh (Translation Speed), Size (RMW, R64, R50, R34], Eyewall Replacement Cycle (ERC), Convective-Scale Features (Radial Location of Deep Convection)





a. P1 (Obs. run)	Input TC Size in D50 (km)	Input TC Uh (m s ⁻¹)	SST _{preTC} (°C) [from Argo]	SST _{duringTC} (°C) [3DPWP output]	Cooling (°C)
Hagibis obs. run	266.8 ±91.2	7.7 ±0.6	30.33 ±0.20 [4 Argo]	29.76 ±0.30	0.57 ±0.30
Haiyan obs. run	157.4 ±53.2	7.8 ±0.5	29.05 ±0.59 [3 Argo]	28.94 ±0.05	0.11 ±0.05

a. P1	SST _{during} TC	Ta (°C)	q s (g kg ⁻¹)	qa (g kg ⁻¹)	∆ T	∆ q	SHF	LHF	Total Flux
(Obs. run)	(°C) [3DPWP]	[CFS]	[SST _{duringTC}]	[CFS]	(°C)	(g kg ⁻¹)	(W m ⁻²)	(W m ⁻²)	(W m ⁻²)
Hagibis	29.76	28.42	25.74	19.33	1.34	6.42	82	1169	1250
obs. run	±0.30	±0.35	±0.31	±0.28	±0.60	±0.25	±23	±433	±433
Haiyan	28.94	27.78	24.61	19.37	1.15	5.24	69	853	923
obs. run	±0.05	±1.11	±0.16	±0.23	±1.10	±0.09	±65	±212	±240



RI: 1250-920/920= 36%



Concentrated area of deep convection strategically located at center, with a tiny eye

Rapid eyewall contraction 30km to 10km in 6h

Deep convection at TC center: linking with intensification due to peak diabatic heating being preferentially located within a region of high inertial stability (Pendergrass and Willoughby 2009; Vigh and Schubert 2009; Rogers et al. 2013)

&

More likely to cause inward transport of angular momentum and rapid eyewall contraction (Smith and Montgomery 2016; Chen et al. 2018) Small RWM, favorable radial location of deep convection and rapid eyewall contraction favor Hagibis's extraordinary RI

Haiyan: Ring of convection

Eyewall contraction (30km to 10km in 18h)















172

VOLUME 11



4 Sensitivity Experiments











Proposed Negative Impact of Large-Sized TCs:

- 1. Stronger Ocean Cooling/Lower During-TC SST/Smaller delta T & delta q/Less Air-Sea Fluxes
- 2. Slower ERC- possibly prolonging ERC's negative impact
- 3. Possible reduction in radial inflow through enhanced inertial stability in the outer core (Rogers et al. 2013; Martinez et al. 2017)

Slower ERCs for larger-sized TCs



Conclusions – Part 2

- 1. P1: Ocean cooling small for both STYs (fast Uh & TS profile), but Hagibis had really high pre-TC SST, so during-TC SST still maintain ~ 30° C .vs. ~ 29° C for Haiyan. 36% more flux for Hagibis. Strategic location of deep convection nearly coincident at center, rapid eyewall contraction (30km to 10km in 6h) -favouring Hagibis's Explosive RI
- 2. P2: Major size expansion for Hagibis (>200%, R34 ~520km), Haiyan remained compact. Proposed negative impacts on Hagibis's intensity: larger cooling (also slower Uh), slower ERCs, & possible reduction in radial inflow via enhanced inertial stability at outer core
- **3. Multi-scale interactions:**
 - Change in vortex-scale properties (e.g., size) to impact TC intensity via interacting with large-scale ocean environment & ocean sub-surface pathway
 - Size change may impact TC intensity via ERC pathway
 - Configuration of convective-scale feature, e.g., ice scattering at TC center may associate with vortex-scale rapid eyewall contraction to favour RI

Nature's fascinating and co-existing cross-scale interaction pathways: Delicate Control on TC Intensity

Pending Questions and Ideas

1. Why Hagibis has such huge size?

2. Cold Ocean Eddy and Eyewall Replacement Cycle (ERC) on 8 Oct.?

3. ERC and RI (Fischer et al. MWR 2020)

Mahalo and Take Care!

ERC can occur during RI for both STYs (add new observations to Fischer et al. MWR 2020





Larger Eye during P2 and broader wind field to R34 ~ 550km



Haiyan remain compact R34 ~ 250km

M-PERC (Microwave-based Probability of Eyewall Replacement Cycle)



ERC archive dataset (1999-2011, 2016)

Cindy	1999_04L	Hovmoller	ARCHER Track
Dennis	1999_05L	<u>Hovmoller</u>	ARCHER Track
Floyd	1999_08L	<u>Hovmoller</u>	ARCHER Track
Gert	1999_09L	<u>Hovmoller</u>	ARCHER Track
Lenny	1999_16L	<u>Hovmoller</u>	ARCHER Track
Alberto	2000_03L	<u>Hovmoller</u>	ARCHER Track
Isaac	2000_13L	<u>Hovmoller</u>	ARCHER Track
Keith	2000_15L	<u>Hovmoller</u>	ARCHER Track
Erin	2001_06L	<u>Hovmoller</u>	ARCHER Track
Felix	2001_07L	<u>Hovmoller</u>	ARCHER Track
Michelle	2001_15L	<u>Hovmoller</u>	ARCHER Track



Inner-Core dropsondes from NOAA HRD of Cat 4-5's Archive

Data and Method -1

- 1. Large-Scale Atmospheric Environment (e.g., DeMaria et al. 2005; Knaff et al. 2018): Vertical Wind Shear (VWS) & RH (Relative Humidity); 200-800km Ring/400-1000km Ring
- 2. Large-Scale, Pre-TC Ocean (SST, Ocean Heat Content (OHC)) and During-TC, Local-Scale Air-Sea Interaction (During-TC Cooling and Air-Sea Sensible and Latent Heat Fluxes (Lin et al. 2005; 2009; 2013; Wu et al. 2007; Goni et al. 2009; Lin et al. 2009; 2013; Chih and Wu 2020).
- 3. Vortex-Scale TC Properties & Convective-Scale Features (Chavas and Emanuel 2010; Rogers et al. 2013; 2017; Pun et al. 2018; Molinari et al. 2019; Peng and Wu 2020; Shen et al. 2021): Uh (Translation Speed), Size (RMW, R64, R50, R34], Eyewall Replacement Cycle (ERC, Kuo et al. 2009; Wimmers and Velden 2016; Huang et al. 2018), Convective-Scale Features (Radial Location of Deep Convection)

Observational Data:

NCEP-CFSR/6hrly, JTWC Best Track (including track, RMW, size, intensity, Uh), ASCAT wind, Satellite Altimetry SSHA (Sea Surface Height Anomaly), Microwave Sea Surface Temperature (SST), Argo in situ floats (pre, and during-TC), NRL microwave imagery, ARCHER ((Automated Rotational Center Hurricane Eye Retrieval)/MPERC (Microwave-based Probability of Eyewall Replacement Cycle)/MIMIC (Morphed Integrated Microwave Imagery at CIMSS), IR Tb imagery (NRL), NCEP near surface Ta and q: converting from Sigma 995 level (~40m) to 10m

Data & Method-2 : Numerical Simulations & Air-Sea Flux Estimation

3-D PWP (Price-Weller-Pinkel, Price et al. 1986; 1994) Ocean Model Drag Coefficient: high wind Cd, Powell et al. 2013 TC's Transit Time (Size in D50/Uh) at each 6hrly point. Input: pre-TC Argo T/S profiles and JTWC max. intensity and wind profile shape

6 Experiments: 2 Observational + 4 Sensitivity Experiments (for Uh, Size, Uh+Size, Salinity)



a. P2 (Obs. run)	Input TC Size in D50 (km)	Input TC Uh (m s ⁻¹)	SST _{preTC} (°C) [from Argo]	SST _{during} TC (°C) [3DPWP output]	Cooling (°C)
Hagibis obs. run	447.2 ±45.4	4.5 ±1.1	29.62 ±0.10 [10 Argo]	28.34 ±0.26	1.29 ±0.26
Haiyan obs. run	231.6 ±25.6	9.5 ±0.9	29.24 ±0.23 [20 Argo]	29.06 ±0.01	0.18 ±0.01

a. P2	SST _{during} TC	Ta (°C)	q s (g kg ⁻¹)	qa (g kg ⁻¹)	∆ T	∆ q	SHF	LHF	Total Flux
(Obs. run)	(°C) [3DPWP]	[CFS]	[SST _{duringTC}]	[CFS]	(°C)	(g kg ⁻¹)	(W m ⁻²)	(W m ⁻²)	(W m ⁻²)
Hagibis	28.34	29.29	25.62	21.25	-0.95	4.37	-81	957	876
obs. run	±0.26	±0.33	±0.52	±0.45	±0.58	±0.96	±51	±163	±213
Haiyan	29.06	27.65	25.73	19.15	1.41	6.57	138	1689	1827
obs. run	±0.01	±0.77	±0.40	±0.87	±0.78	±1.07	±78	±364	±416





landfall