Communication Systems Lab, Spring 2018

# Lecture 04 Wideband Communication

I-Hsiang Wang <u>ihwang@ntu.edu.tw</u>

National Taiwan University

2018/05/02

### This Lecture



Physical channel model for wideband communication

$$Y(t) = (h * x)(t) + Z(t), \ \mathsf{S}_Z(f) = \frac{N_0}{2}$$

- Intuition: when the band is wide, signals in difference band will experience different frequency response of the channel
- Use an LTI filter to model the channel

## This Lecture



- New challenge: inter-symbol interference (ISI)
  - Detect each symbol individually is no longer optimal
- Our focus: mitigate ISI in the digital world (after sampling)
  - HW1 tells us that dealing with ISI in the analog world is a pretty bad idea
  - Receiver-side solution, transmitter-side solution, and Tx-Rx solution

# Outline

- LTI filter channel and inter-symbol interference (ISI)
- Optimal Rx-side solution: MLSD
- Rx-side solution: linear equalizations
- Tx-Rx-side solution: OFDM

# Part I. LTI Filter Channel and Inter-Symbol Interference

Equivalent Discrete-Time Baseband Channel; Inter-Symbol Interference; MLSD

#### Physical Channel Model

$$Y(t) = (h * x)(t) + Z(t), \ \mathsf{S}_Z(f) = \frac{N_0}{2}$$
$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(\tau) x(t-\tau) \,\mathrm{d}\tau + Z(t)$$

- Use LTI filter to model wireline channels
  - Examples: telephone lines, Ethernet cables, cable TV wires, optical fibers
  - Operating bandwidth range from 1~2MHz to 250~500 MHz.
- Why use LTI filter to model wireline channels?
  - Frequency responses are no longer flat
  - Channel is rather stationary compared to wireless channels
  - Within the interest of time, can be assumed to be time-invariant

#### Features of the LTI Filter Channel



• **Causal**: naturally, impulse response should be causal.

 $h(\tau) = 0, \quad \forall \tau < 0$ 

• **Dispersive**: naturally, input signal cannot "stay" in the channel for too long, and hence most energy of the impulse response of the channel should be contained in an interval  $[0, T_d]$ 

$$h(\tau) = 0, \quad \forall \tau > T_{\rm d}$$

#### **Derivation of the Discrete-Time Model**

Step 1: real passband Complex baseband (ignore noise)

Pulse shaping:  $x_b(t) \triangleq \sum_m u_m p(t - mT)$ 

Up conversion:  $x(t) \triangleq \operatorname{Re} \left\{ x_b(t) \sqrt{2} \exp(j2\pi f_c t) \right\}$ 

LTI channel:  $y(t) = (h * x)(t) \bigoplus \operatorname{Re} \left\{ (h_b * x_b)(t) \sqrt{2} \exp(j2\pi f_c t) \right\}$   $h_b(\tau) \triangleq h(\tau) \exp(-j2\pi f_c \tau)$ 

Down conversion:  $y_b(t) = (h_b * x_b)(t)$ 

#### Step 2: continuous-time [] discrete-time

Demodulation:  $\hat{u}_m = (y_b * q)(mT) = (x_b * h_b * q)(mT)$ 

$$= \sum_{k} u_k \int_0^{T_{\mathrm{d}}} h_b(\tau) g(mT - kT - \tau) \,\mathrm{d}\tau$$

 $x_b(t) \triangleq \sum_k u_k p(t - kT)$ 

$$=\sum_{k}u_{k}h_{m-k}=\bigsqcup(u*h_{d})_{m}$$

$$h_d[\ell] \triangleq (h_b * g)(\ell T) = (p * h_b * q)(\ell T)$$

Step 3: adding noise back

$$V_m = \sum_{\ell} h_d[\ell] u_{m-\ell} + Z_m, \quad Z_m \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \mathcal{CN}(0, N_0)$$

### Number of Taps

$$V_m = \sum_{\ell} h_d[\ell] u_{m-\ell} + Z_m, \quad Z_m \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \mathcal{CN}(0, N_0)$$

- What is the range of l in the summation of the discrete-time convolution in the equivalent discrete-time model?
  - ► Recall:  $h_d[\ell] \triangleq (h_b * g)(\ell T)$   $h_b(\tau) \triangleq h(\tau) \exp(-j2\pi f_c \tau)$  g(t)  $T_d$   $T_d$   $T_p$ t
  - The overall "spread" of the digital filter is hence  $\frac{T_p + T_d}{T}$
- The equivalent discrete-time filter has finite impulse response, that is, the number of taps  $L \approx \frac{T_p + T_d}{T}$  is finite:

$$V_m = \sum_{\ell=0}^{L-1} h_d[\ell] u_{m-\ell} + Z_m, \quad Z_m \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \mathcal{CN}(0, N_0)$$

# **Discrete-Time Complex Baseband Model**

• With a little abuse of notation, identifying  $h_d[\ell] \equiv h_\ell$ , the equivalent discrete-time baseband channel model is given as

$$V_{m} = \sum_{\ell=0}^{L-1} h_{\ell} u_{m-\ell} + Z_{m}, \qquad \{u_{m}\} \rightarrow \boxed{\mathsf{FIR } L\text{-tap LTI filter}} \xrightarrow{\{Z_{m}\}} \\ Z_{m} \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \mathcal{CN}(0, N_{0}) \qquad \qquad h \triangleq [h_{0} h_{1} \dots h_{L-1}] \in \mathbb{C}^{L}$$

- The filter tap coefficients  $\{h_\ell\}$  depends on
  - one-sided bandwidth W (or symbol time  $T = \frac{1}{2W}$ )
  - carrier frequency  $f_c$
  - modulation pulse g(t)
  - channel impulse response  $h(\tau)$
- In practice, these taps are measured via training: sending known pilot symbols to estimate the tap coefficients.
- Total # of taps is proportional to bandwidth:  $L \approx \frac{T_p + T_d}{T} \propto W$

### Inter-Symbol Interference

Narrowband channel (no ISI)

$$V_m = h_0 u_m + Z_m, \quad Z_m \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \mathcal{CN}(0, N_0)$$

Wideband channel (with ISI)

$$V_m = \sum_{\ell=0}^{L-1} h_\ell u_{m-\ell} + Z_m, \quad Z_m \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \mathcal{CN}(0, N_0)$$
$$= h_0 u_m + \underbrace{\left(h_1 u_{m-1} + \ldots + h_{L-1} u_{m-L+1}\right)}_{I_m} + Z_m$$
$$I_m \quad \text{inter-symbol interference}$$

- With ISI, it is no longer optimal to detect each symbol  $u_m$  from the single observed  $V_m$  only.
- ISI introduces memory, and hence one needs to detect the entire sequence jointly 
   Maximum Likelihood Sequence Detection

# **Optimal Receiver: MLSD**

- ISI channel is the same as the convolutional encoder, except that the arithmetic is in the complex field, not the finite (binary) field
- Hence, each possible sequence in MLSD can be represented by a path on a trellis
- Procedure of MLSD: received a length-n sequence  $(V_1, \ldots, V_n)$ 
  - Define the state as the past interfering symbols:

$$s_m \triangleq (u_{m-1}, \dots, u_{m-L+1})$$

- Each transition  $u_m$  has  $|\mathcal{A}|$  possible outgoing arrows.  $\mathcal{A}$ : constellation set
- Each transition outputs a symbol:

$$\hat{u}_m = h_0 u_m + \sum_{\ell=1}^{L-1} h_\ell u_{m-\ell}$$

- Goal of MLSD: find a path on the trellis such that  $\sum_{m=1}^{n} |V_m \hat{u}_m|^2$  is minimized  $\Rightarrow$  Viterbi algorithm!
- However, the complexity of Viterbi algorithm is  $\Theta(n |\mathcal{A}|^L)$ , while the # of taps is quite large (100~200) in wideband systems (DSL).
- MLSD is optimal but infeasible in practice for wideband systems.

# Part II. Linear Equalizations

Matched-Filter, Zero-Forcing, MMSE Equalization

# Mitigate ISI with Linear Filters



- ISI is caused by a (discrete-time) LTI filter due to the frequency selectivity of the channel
- Why not use another discrete-time LTI filter at the receiver to mitigate ISI, and do symbol-wise detection at the filtered output?
- Design of the filter  $\{g_{\ell}\}$  requires some objectives for optimization:
  - Probability of error? hard to analyze
  - Energy will be easier to handle
- Since the ISI is treated as noise in the symbol-wise detection, we should try to maximize the signal-to-interference-and-noise ratio (SINR) at the filtered output {W<sub>m</sub>}

## Linear Equalizers to be Introduced

Use Z transform to represent the discrete-time LTI filter

$$g_{\ell} \longleftrightarrow \check{g}(\zeta) \triangleq \sum_{\ell} g_{\ell} \zeta^{-\ell}$$

- Recall its relation with DTFT:  $\check{g}(f) = \check{g}(e^{j2\pi f})$
- Three kinds of linear equalizers:
  - Matched filter (MF):  $\check{g}^{(MF)}(\zeta) = \check{h}^*(1/\zeta^*)$ .
  - Zero forcing (ZF):  $\check{g}^{(\mathrm{ZF})}(\zeta) = (\check{h}(\zeta))^{-1}$ .
  - Minimum mean squared error (MMSE): maximize SINR

$$\check{g}^{(\text{MMSE})}(\zeta) = \frac{E_s \check{h}^* (1/\zeta^*)}{N_0 + E_s \check{h}^* (1/\zeta^*) \check{h}(\zeta)}$$

- Low SNR regime ( $E_s \ll N_0$ ):  $\check{g}^{(\mathrm{MMSE})}(\zeta) \approx \frac{E_s}{N_0} \check{g}^{(\mathrm{MF})}(\zeta)$
- High SNR regime ( $E_s \gg N_0$ ):  $\check{g}^{(MMSE)}(\zeta) \approx \check{g}^{(ZF)}(\zeta)$

### Matrix Representation of ISI Channel

#### Matrix Representation of ISI Channel





#### Matrix Representation of Equalizer

# Low SNR Regime

$$E_s \ll N_0 \implies \text{neglect ISI.}$$

$$W_m = ([\mathbf{g}]_m^{\mathsf{H}}[\mathbf{h}]_m)u_m + \sum_{i \neq m} ([\mathbf{g}]_m^{\mathsf{H}}[\mathbf{h}]_i)u_i + \tilde{Z}_m$$

$$\mathsf{SINR} = \frac{\left| \langle [\mathbf{h}]_m, [\mathbf{g}]_m \rangle \right|^2 E_s}{\frac{\sum_{i \neq m} \left| \langle [\mathbf{h}]_i, [\mathbf{g}]_m \rangle \right|^2 E_s}{|\mathbf{g}]_m ||^2 N_0}}$$

$$= \left(\frac{|\langle [\mathbf{h}]_m, [\mathbf{g}]_m \rangle|}{\|[\mathbf{g}]_m\|}\right)^2 \frac{E_s}{N_0}$$

$$\implies [\mathbf{g}^{(\mathrm{MF})}]_m = [\mathbf{h}]_m$$

#### Matched Filter

$$W_{m} = h_{0}^{*}V_{m} + h_{1}^{*}V_{m+1} + \dots + h_{L-1}^{*}V_{m+L-1}$$
$$= \sum_{\ell=0}^{L-1} h_{\ell}^{*}V_{m+\ell} = \sum_{\ell=-(L-1)}^{0} h_{-\ell}^{*}V_{m-\ell} = \sum_{\ell=-(L-1)}^{0} g_{\ell}^{(MF)}V_{m-\ell},$$

$$\implies g_{\ell}^{(\mathrm{MF})} = h_{-\ell}^* \qquad \check{g}^{(\mathrm{MF})}(\zeta) = \check{h}^*(1/\zeta^*)$$

$$\breve{g}^{(\mathrm{MF})}(f) = \breve{h}^*(f)$$

#### project the signal onto the signal direction, so that the signal energy is maximized.

#### **High SNR Regime**

 $E_s \gg N_0 \implies$  neglect noise.

$$W_m = ([\mathbf{g}]_m^{\mathsf{H}}[\mathbf{h}]_m)u_m + \sum_{i \neq m} ([\mathbf{g}]_m^{\mathsf{H}}[\mathbf{h}]_i)u_i + \tilde{\mathbb{Z}}_m$$

$$\mathsf{SINR} = \frac{\left| \langle [\mathbf{h}]_m, [\mathbf{g}]_m \rangle \right|^2 E_s}{\sum_{i \neq m} \left| \langle [\mathbf{h}]_i, [\mathbf{g}]_m \rangle \right|^2 E_s + \frac{\|[\mathbf{g}]_m\|^2 N_0}{\|\mathbf{g}\|_m \|^2 N_0}}$$

$$\implies [\mathbf{g}^{(\mathrm{ZF})}]_m \perp [\mathbf{h}]_i, \ \forall i \neq m$$

one choice:  $[\mathbf{g}^{(\mathrm{ZF})}]_m = (\mathbf{h}^{\dagger})^{\mathtt{H}} \boldsymbol{e}_m = \mathbf{h} (\mathbf{h}^{\mathtt{H}} \mathbf{h})^{-1} \boldsymbol{e}_m$ 

#### **Geometric Interpretation**



subspace spanned by the n-1columns of **h** (except  $[\mathbf{h}]_m$ )

#### Max. SINR $\equiv$ Min. MSE

$$\{V_m\}$$
 Linear Equalizer  $\longrightarrow \{W_m\}$ 

$$\begin{split} W_m &= \sum_k g_k V_{m-k} = \sum_k \sum_{\ell=0}^{n} g_k h_\ell u_{m-k-\ell} + \sum_k g_k Z_{m-k} \\ &= \left( \sum_{\ell=0}^{L-1} g_{-\ell} h_\ell \right) u_m + \tilde{I}_m + \tilde{Z}_m \\ & \text{the same for all } m \text{ I} \quad \text{WLOG assume it is 1} \\ &= u_m + \tilde{I}_m + \tilde{Z}_m \right) \Xi_m \text{ : kind of estimation error} \end{split}$$

$$\mathsf{SINR} = \frac{\mathsf{E}\left[|U_m|^2\right]}{\mathsf{E}\left[|\Xi_m|^2\right]} = \frac{E_s}{\mathsf{E}\left[|\Xi_m|^2\right]}$$

$$\max \mathsf{SINR} \equiv \min \mathsf{E}\left[|\Xi_m|^2\right]$$

mean squared error (MSE)

# Minimum MSE Estimation

- In general, one can consider the following estimation problem:
  - Given a random observation, estimate a target s.t. the MSE is minimized



- You might be familiar with the general case:  $g^{(MMSE)}(Y) = E[X|Y]$
- Here, we focus on the random process case and linear estimators without any causality and finite-tap constraints.
  - After deriving the optimal filter for MMSE estimation, we apply it back to the original problem

#### **Recap: Discrete-Time Random Process**

|                  | General  | (joint) WSS   |
|------------------|--|---|
| First<br>moment  | $\mu_X[n] \triangleq E\left[X_n\right]$  | $\mu_X[n] \equiv \mu_X$   |
| Second<br>moment | $R_X[n_1, n_2] \triangleq E\left[X_{n_1}X_{n_2}^*\right]$<br>(auto-correlation)  | $R_X[n+k,n] \equiv R_X[k]$<br>$R_X[-k] = R^*_X[k]$                                  |
|                  | $R_{XY}[n_1, n_2] \triangleq E\left[X_{n_1}Y_{n_2}^*\right]$ (cross-correlation) | $R_{XY}[n+k,n] \equiv R_{XY}[k]$ $R_{YX}[k] = R_{XY}^*[-k]$                         |
| PSD              |  | $R_X[k] \longleftrightarrow S_X(\zeta)$   |
|                  |  | $R_{XY}[k] \longleftrightarrow S_{XY}(\zeta)$ $S_{YX}(\zeta) = S^*_{XY}(1/\zeta^*)$ |

#### **Recap: Filtering Random Processes**



Cross-correlation:  $R_{Y_1,Y_2}[k] = (h_1 * R_{X_1,X_2} * h_{2,rv})[k]$ 

Cross PSD:  $S_{Y_1,Y_2}(\zeta) = \check{h}_1(\zeta)S_{X_1,X_2}(\zeta)\check{h}_2^*(1/\zeta^*)$ 

#### **Derivation of the Optimal Filter**

jointly WSS  

$$\{X_n\} \cdots \{Y_n\} \longrightarrow \begin{cases} \text{Estimation via} \\ \text{Linear Filter} \\ \{g_k\} \longleftrightarrow \check{g}(\zeta) \end{cases} \longrightarrow \{\hat{X}_n\} = \{(g * Y)_n\} \\ \text{MSE} \triangleq \mathbb{E} \left[ \left| \frac{X_n - \hat{X}_n}{\Xi_n} \right|^2 \right] \\ \text{MSE} \triangleq \mathbb{E} \left[ \left| \frac{X_n - \hat{X}_n}{\Xi_n} \right|^2 \right] \\ \text{MSE} \triangleq \mathbb{E} \left[ \left| \frac{X_n - \hat{X}_n}{\Xi_n} \right|^2 \right] \\ \text{MSE} \triangleq \mathbb{E} \left[ \left| \frac{X_n - \hat{X}_n}{\Xi_n} \right|^2 \right] \\ \text{MSE} \triangleq \mathbb{E} \left[ \left| \frac{X_n - \hat{X}_n}{\Xi_n} \right|^2 \right] \\ \text{MSE} \triangleq \mathbb{E} \left[ \left| \frac{X_n - \hat{X}_n}{\Xi_n} \right|^2 \right] \\ \text{MSE} \triangleq \mathbb{E} \left[ \left| \frac{X_n - \hat{X}_n}{\Xi_n} \right|^2 \right] \\ \text{MSE} \triangleq \mathbb{E} \left[ \left| \frac{X_n - \hat{X}_n}{\Xi_n} \right|^2 \right] \\ \text{MSE} \triangleq \mathbb{E} \left[ \left| \frac{X_n - \hat{X}_n}{\Xi_n} \right|^2 \right] \\ \text{MSE} \triangleq \mathbb{E} \left[ \left| \frac{X_n - \hat{X}_n}{\Xi_n} \right|^2 \right] \\ \text{MSE} \triangleq \mathbb{E} \left[ \left| \frac{X_n - \hat{X}_n}{\Xi_n} \right|^2 \right] \\ \text{MSE} \triangleq \mathbb{E} \left[ \left| \frac{X_n - \hat{X}_n}{\Xi_n} \right|^2 \right] \\ \text{MSE} \triangleq \mathbb{E} \left[ \left| \frac{X_n - \hat{X}_n}{\Xi_n} \right|^2 \right] \\ \text{MSE} \triangleq \mathbb{E} \left[ \left| \frac{X_n - \hat{X}_n}{\Xi_n} \right|^2 \right] \\ \text{MSE} \triangleq \mathbb{E} \left[ \left| \frac{X_n - \hat{X}_n}{\Xi_n} \right|^2 \right] \\ \text{MSE} \triangleq \mathbb{E} \left[ \left| \frac{X_n - \hat{X}_n}{\Xi_n} \right|^2 \right] \\ \text{MSE} \triangleq \mathbb{E} \left[ \left| \frac{X_n - \hat{X}_n}{\Xi_n} \right|^2 \right] \\ \text{MSE} \triangleq \mathbb{E} \left[ \left| \frac{X_n - \hat{X}_n}{\Xi_n} \right|^2 \right] \\ \text{MSE} \triangleq \mathbb{E} \left[ \left| \frac{X_n - \hat{X}_n}{\Xi_n} \right|^2 \right] \\ \text{MSE} \triangleq \mathbb{E} \left[ \left| \frac{X_n - \hat{X}_n}{\Xi_n} \right|^2 \right] \\ \text{MSE} \triangleq \mathbb{E} \left[ \left| \frac{X_n - \hat{X}_n}{\Xi_n} \right|^2 \right] \\ \text{MSE} \triangleq \mathbb{E} \left[ \left| \frac{X_n - \hat{X}_n}{\Xi_n} \right|^2 \right] \\ \text{MSE} \triangleq \mathbb{E} \left[ \left| \frac{X_n - \hat{X}_n}{\Xi_n} \right|^2 \right] \\ \text{MSE} \triangleq \mathbb{E} \left[ \left| \frac{X_n - \hat{X}_n}{\Xi_n} \right|^2 \right] \\ \text{MSE} \triangleq \mathbb{E} \left[ \left| \frac{X_n - \hat{X}_n}{\Xi_n} \right|^2 \right] \\ \text{MSE} \triangleq \mathbb{E} \left[ \left| \frac{X_n - \hat{X}_n}{\Xi_n} \right|^2 \right] \\ \text{MSE} \triangleq \mathbb{E} \left[ \left| \frac{X_n - \hat{X}_n}{\Xi_n} \right|^2 \right] \\ \text{MSE} \triangleq \mathbb{E} \left[ \left| \frac{X_n - \hat{X}_n}{\Xi_n} \right|^2 \right] \\ \text{MSE} \triangleq \mathbb{E} \left[ \left| \frac{X_n - \hat{X}_n}{\Xi_n} \right|^2 \right] \\ \text{MSE} \triangleq \mathbb{E} \left[ \left| \frac{X_n - \hat{X}_n}{\Xi_n} \right|^2 \right] \\ \text{MSE} \triangleq \mathbb{E} \left[ \left| \frac{X_n - \hat{X}_n}{\Xi_n} \right|^2 \right] \\ \text{MSE} \triangleq \mathbb{E} \left[ \left| \frac{X_n - \hat{X}_n}{\Xi_n} \right|^2 \right] \\ \text{MSE} \triangleq \mathbb{E} \left[ \left| \frac{X_n - \hat{X}_n}{\Xi_n} \right|^2 \right] \\ \text{MSE} \triangleq \mathbb{E} \left[ \left| \frac{X_n - \hat{X}_n}{\Xi_n} \right|^2 \right] \\ \text{MSE} \triangleq \mathbb{E} \left[ \left| \frac{X_n - \hat{X}_n}{\Xi_n} \right|^2 \right] \\ \text{MSE} \triangleq \mathbb{E} \left[ \left| \frac{X_n - \hat{X}_n}{\Xi_n} \right|^2 \right] \\ \text{MSE} \triangleq \mathbb{E} \left[ \left| \frac{X_n - \hat{X}_n}{\Xi_n} \right|^2 \right] \\ \text{MSE} \triangleq \mathbb{E} \left[ \left| \frac{X_n - \hat{X}_n}{\Xi_n} \right$$

# **Orthogonality Principle**

• A key equation in deriving the optimal estimator is

 $\mathsf{E}\left[\Xi_{n}Y_{n-k}^{*}\right] = 0, \ \forall k \iff \langle \Xi_{n}, (f*Y)_{n} \rangle = 0, \ \forall \text{LTI filter } \{f_{\ell}\}$ 

- For two r.v.'s (X, Y), we define the "inner product" as  $\langle X, Y \rangle \triangleq \mathsf{E}[XY^*]$
- (you can check the axioms of inner product space ...)

- A geometric interpretation: for an estimator that minimizes MSE, its estimation error should be "orthogonal" to the any estimators that one can choose
  - Caveat: the family of estimators (which are also r.v.'s) should form a "subspace" of the r.v. inner product space





#### The Minimum MSE

$$\begin{split} \min \mathsf{MSE} &= \mathsf{E}\left[\Xi_n \Xi_n^*\right] = \mathsf{E}\left[\Xi_n X_n^*\right] \\ &= \mathsf{E}\left[X_n X_n^*\right] - \mathsf{E}\left[(g^{(\mathrm{MMSE})} * Y)_n X_n^*\right] \\ &= \mathsf{R}_X[0] - \sum_k g_k^{(\mathrm{MMSE})} \mathsf{R}_{YX}[-k] \\ &= \mathsf{R}_X[0] - (g^{(\mathrm{MMSE})} * \mathsf{R}_{YX})[0] \\ &= \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\mathsf{S}_X(f) - \breve{g}^{(\mathrm{MMSE})}(f)\mathsf{S}_{YX}(f)\right) \,\mathrm{d}f \\ &= \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\mathsf{S}_X(f) - \frac{|\mathsf{S}_{XY}(f)|^2}{\mathsf{S}_Y(f)}\right) \,\mathrm{d}f \end{split}$$

#### **Other kinds of Wiener Filter**

**FIR Wiener Filter** 

**IIR Causal Wiener Filter** 

## **Optimal Linear Equalizer**

Back to our problem of linear equalization
$$V_m = (h * U)_m + Z_m$$
 $\{U_m\}$  $\{V_m\}$  $\begin{bmatrix} Linear Equalizer \\ \{X_n\} \end{bmatrix}$  $\{V_m\}$  $\{X_n\}$  $\{Y_n\}$  $\{X_n\}$  $\{X_n\}$ 

$$V_m = (h * U)_m + Z_m \implies \mathsf{S}_V(\zeta) = \check{h}(\zeta)\mathsf{S}_U(\zeta)\check{h}^*(1/\zeta^*) + \mathsf{S}_Z(\zeta)$$
$$\mathsf{S}_{UV}(\zeta) = \mathsf{S}_U(\zeta)\check{h}^*(1/\zeta^*)$$

Optimal linear equalizer:

$$\check{g}^{(\text{MMSE})}(\zeta) = \frac{\mathsf{S}_{UV}(\zeta)}{\mathsf{S}_V(\zeta)} = \frac{E_s\check{h}^*(1/\zeta^*)}{E_s\check{h}^*(1/\zeta^*)\check{h}(\zeta) + N_0}$$

#### The Maximum SINR

$$\max \mathsf{SINR} = \frac{E_s}{\min \mathsf{MSE}} = \left( \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \left| \check{h}(f) \right|^2 \frac{E_s}{N_0} + 1 \right)^{-1} \mathrm{d}f \right)^{-1}$$

min MSE = 
$$\int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \mathsf{S}_U(f) - \frac{|\mathsf{S}_{UV}(f)|^2}{\mathsf{S}_V(f)} \right) \, \mathrm{d}f$$

$$= \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( E_s - \frac{\left| \breve{h}(f) \right|^2 E_s^2}{\left| \breve{h}(f) \right|^2 E_s + N_0} \right) \, \mathrm{d}f$$

$$= E_s \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\mathrm{d}f}{|\breve{h}(f)|^2 \frac{E_s}{N_0} + 1}$$

# Part III. OFDM

Discrete Fourier Transform; Circular Convolution; Eigen Decomposition of Circulant Matrices

# Motivation



- Previous parts: only receiver-centric methods
  - MLSD with Viterbi algorithm: optimal but computationally infeasible
  - Linear equalizations: simple but suboptimal.
- Is it possible to "pre-process"  $\{u_m\}$  at Tx and "post-process"  $\{V_m\}$  at Rx, so that the end-to-end channel is ISI-free?
  - Note: ZF can already remove ISI completely, but the noises after ZF are not independent anymore
  - Post processing should preserve mutual independence of the noises
- Observation: IDTFT and DTFT will work, "if" we are willing to roll back to analog communication

IDTFT: 
$$u_m = \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} \breve{u}(f) e^{j2\pi m f} df$$
  $\breve{u}(f)$  IDTFT  $\{u_m\}$   
 $\{h_\ell\}$   
DTFT:  $\breve{V}(f) = \sum_m V_m e^{-j2\pi m f}$   $\breve{V}(f)$  DTFT  $\{V_m\}$ 

$$V_m = (h * u)_m + Z_m \longleftrightarrow \breve{V}(f) = \breve{h}(f)\breve{u}(f) + \breve{Z}(f)$$

<u>Why it works</u>: because  $e^{j2\pi mf}$  is an **eigenfunction** to any LTI filter.

Using these eigenfunctions as a new basis to carry data renders infinite # of ISI-free channels in the frequency domain.

In frequency domain, the outcome at a frequency only depends on the input at that frequency:

 $\Rightarrow$  no ISI!

#### **Caveat:** analog communication in the frequency domain

## **Discretized DTFT: Discrete Fourier Transform**

Idea: use the discretized version of DTFT/IDTFT



- Note: *N*-point DFT/IDFT are transforms between two length-*N* sequences, indexed from 0 to N-1.
- Unfortunately, the convolution-multiplication property of the DTFT-IDTFT pair no longer holds
- We need a new kind of convolution for DFT-IDFT pair!

## **Circular Convolution**

• Definition: for two length-N sequences  $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^{N-1}, \{h_n\}_{n=0}^{N-1}$ 





Convolution-multiplication property: for length-N sequences  $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^{N-1}, \{y_n\}_{n=0}^{N-1}, \{h_n\}_{n=0}^{N-1}$  with  $y_n = (h \circledast x)_n$ ,

$$\breve{y}[k] = \sqrt{N}\breve{h}[k]\breve{x}[k], \quad \forall k = 0, 1, ..., N-1$$

# Implement Circular Conv. in LTI Channel

• Original LTI channel (ignore noise): linear convolution  $v_m = (h * u)_m = \sum_{\ell=0}^{L-1} h_\ell u_{m-\ell}, \ m = 0, ..., N-1$  (N >> L)



Desired channel (ignore noise): circular convolution

$$v_m = (h \circledast u)_m = \sum_{\ell=0}^{L-1} h_\ell u_{(m-\ell) \mod N}, \ m = 0, ..., N-1$$



$$v_m = (h \circledast u)_m, \ m = 0, ..., N - 1$$

#### **Matrix Form of Circular Convolution**



with noise:  $oldsymbol{V} = \mathbf{h}_{\mathrm{c}} oldsymbol{u} + oldsymbol{Z} ~\in \mathbb{C}^N$ 

#### Linear Algebraic View

Circulant Matrix Every row/column is a circular shift of the first row/column



• Define 
$$\phi_m^{(k)} \triangleq \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} e^{j2\pi \frac{k}{N}m}, \quad m = 0, ..., N-1$$

• Can show: for any  $\{h_\ell\}_{\ell=0}^{N-1}$ ,  $(h \circledast \phi^{(k)})_m = \sqrt{N}\check{h}[k]\phi_m^{(k)}$ 

$$(h \circledast \phi^{(k)})_m = \sqrt{N}\breve{h}[k]\phi_m^{(k)}, \quad m = 0, ..., N-1$$

 $\implies \phi^{(k)} \text{ is an eigenvector of matrix } \mathbf{h}_{c}$ with eigenvalue  $\sqrt{N}\check{h}[k]$ , for all k = 0, ..., N - 1.

$$\begin{split} \sqrt{N}\check{h}[k] \ \phi^{(k)} = \begin{bmatrix} h_0 & 0 & 0 & h_{L-1} & \cdots & h_1 \\ h_1 & h_0 & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & h_1 & 0 & & h_{L-1} \\ h_{L-1} & \vdots & h_0 & & 0 \\ 0 & h_{L-1} & h_1 & h_0 & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \vdots & & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & h_{L-1} & h_{L-2} & \cdots & h_0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \phi_0^{(k)} \\ \phi_1^{(k)} \\ \vdots \\ \phi_{N-1}^{(k)} \end{bmatrix} \\ \mathbf{h}_c & \boldsymbol{\phi}^{(k)} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{h}_c \ \phi^{(k)} = \sqrt{N}\check{h}[k]\boldsymbol{\phi}^{(k)}, \quad \forall \ k = 0, \dots, N-1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\bullet \quad \text{Furthermore, can show that } \langle \boldsymbol{\phi}^{(k)}, \boldsymbol{\phi}^{(l)} \rangle = \mathbbm{1}\{k = l\}$$

 $\implies \{ \pmb{\phi}^{(k)} \mid k = 0, ..., N - 1 \}$  : an orthonormal basis of  $\mathbb{C}^N$ 

Hence, we can obtain the eigenvalue decomposition of any circulant matrix h<sub>c</sub>

$$\mathbf{h}_{c} = \mathbf{\Phi} \mathbf{\Lambda}_{\breve{h}} \mathbf{\Phi}^{\mathrm{H}}$$
$$\mathbf{\Phi} \triangleq \left[ \boldsymbol{\phi}^{(0)} \dots \boldsymbol{\phi}^{(N-1)} \right]$$
$$\mathbf{\Lambda}_{\breve{h}} \triangleq \operatorname{diag}(\breve{h}(f_{0}), \breve{h}(f_{1}), \dots, \breve{h}(f_{N-1}))$$
$$f_{k} \triangleq \frac{k}{N}, \quad k = 0, \dots, N-1$$

 ${h_n \mid n = 0, ..., N - 1}$ : the first column of  $\mathbf{h}_c$  $\check{h}(f)$ : DTFT of  ${h_n}$ 

Can diagonalize the channel (remove ISI) without knowing it using the DFT basis. Only true for circulant matrix!

• IDFT matrix  $\Phi$  and DFT matrix  $\Phi^{H}$ :

$$(\mathbf{\Phi})_{m,k} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \exp\left(j2\pi\frac{mk}{N}\right) \qquad \qquad \text{N-pt. IDFT:} \quad u_m = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \breve{u}[k]e^{j2\pi\frac{mk}{N}}$$
$$(\mathbf{\Phi}^{\mathrm{H}})_{m,k} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \exp\left(-j2\pi\frac{mk}{N}\right) \qquad \qquad \text{N-pt. DFT:} \quad \breve{V}[k] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{m=0}^{N-1} V_m e^{-j2\pi\frac{mk}{N}}$$

$$\boldsymbol{u} = \boldsymbol{\Phi} \boldsymbol{\breve{u}}, \quad \boldsymbol{\breve{V}} = \boldsymbol{\Phi}^{\mathtt{H}} \boldsymbol{V}$$

Pre-processing and post-processing:

### **Equivalent Parallel Channels**

OFDM creates N parallel non-interfering sub-channels:

 $\breve{V}[k] = \breve{h}(f_k)\breve{u}[k] + \breve{Z}[k], \quad k = 0, 1, ..., N-1$ 

Channel gain at the *k*-th branch:

$$\begin{split} \breve{h}(f_k) &= \breve{h}(\frac{k}{N}) = \sqrt{N}\breve{h}[k] & \breve{h}(f) \text{: DTFT of } \{h_\ell\} \\ &= \text{periodic copies of } \breve{h}_a(\frac{f}{T}) \text{, period } 1 \\ &\quad h_a(\tau) \triangleq (h_b * g)(\tau) \end{split}$$

- Equivalently, the overall bandwidth 2W is partitioned into N narrowbands, and each sub-channel use that narrowband for transmission (centered at  $k\frac{2W}{N}$ , k = 0, ..., N 1)
- Subcarrier spacing:  $\frac{2W}{N}$

# **Capacity of Parallel Channels**





- Capacity of N parallel channels is the sum of individual capacities coding across subcarriers does not help!
- Since channel gains are different, each branch has different capacity
- Goal: maximize capacity subject to a total power constraint
- Power allocation: maximize rate  $P_k$ : power of branch k  $\sum_{k=1}^{N-1} P_k \leq NP$

$$R = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \log \left( 1 + \frac{\left| \check{h}(f_k) \right|^2 P_k}{N_0} \right)$$

$$\begin{split} \breve{h}(f_{N-1}) & \breve{Z}[N-1] \\ & & \downarrow \\ \breve{u}[N-1] & \longrightarrow & \overleftarrow{V}[N-1] \end{split}$$

#### Water-filling

$$\max_{P_0,...,P_{N-1}} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \log \left( 1 + \left| \check{h}(f_k) \right|^2 \frac{P_k}{N_0} \right),$$
  
subject to 
$$\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} P_k = NP, \quad P_k \ge 0, \ k = 0, \dots, N-1$$

- Solved by standard techniques in convex optimization (Lagrange multipliers, KKT condition)
  - Final solution:  $P_{k}^{*} = \left(\nu - \frac{N_{0}}{\left|\breve{h}(f_{k})\right|^{2}}\right)^{+} \qquad (x)^{+} \triangleq \max(0, x)$   $\nu \text{ satisfies } \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \left(\nu - \frac{N_{0}}{\left|\breve{h}(f_{k})\right|^{2}}\right)^{+} = NP$



$$\breve{h}(f_k) = \breve{h}_b(k\frac{2W}{N})\breve{g}(k\frac{2W}{N})$$

baseband frequency response at  $f=k\frac{2W}{N}$ 

 Main lesson: one should allocate higher rate when at the branch with better channel condition

# **Capacity of Frequency Selective Channel**

- Pre-processing (IDFT) and post-processing (DFT) are both invertible in OFDM systems
- The only loss: length-(L-1) cyclic prefix, negligible when we take  $N \to \infty$
- The power allocation problem becomes

$$\max_{P(f)} \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} \log\left(1 + \left|\breve{h}(f)\right|^2 \frac{P(f)}{N_0}\right) df,$$
  
subject to 
$$\int_{-1/2}^{1/2} P(f) df = P, \quad P(f) \ge 0, \ f \in [-1/2, 1/2]$$

Optimal solution: water-filling on the continuous spectrum

# Water-filling in Frequency-Selective Channel



#### **OFDM System Diagram**



# **OFDM System Design**

- Cyclic prefix overhead:  $\frac{L-1}{N}$  (the smaller the better)
- Subcarrier spacing:  $\frac{2W}{N}$  (the larger the better)

prevent frequency offset/asynchrony

Subcarriers are basic resource units in OFDM systems

 A critical issue of OFDM in practice: peak-to-average ratio (PAR) is much higher than single-carrier systems.

It requires a large dynamic range of the linear characteristic of the transmit power amplifier (PA).