

Lecture Note 17

Section 4.9

**Input-to-State Stability
(Lyapunov Stability)**

Feng-Li Lian

NTU-EE

Sep05 – Jan06

Outline

Feng-Li Lian © 2005
NTUEE-NSA-Ch4.7-2

- Introduction (L9)
- Autonomous Systems (4.1 L9)
 - Basic stability definitions
 - Lyapunov's stability theorems
 - Variable gradient method
 - Region of attraction
 - Instability
- The Invariance Principle (4.2, L10)
 - LaSalle's theorem
- Linear Systems and Linearization (4.3, L11)
- Comparison Functions (4.4, L12)
- Non-autonomous Systems (4.5, L13)
- Linear Time-Varying Systems & Linearization (4.6, L14)
- Converse Theorems (4.7, L15)
- Boundedness & Ultimate Boundedness (4.8, L16)
- **Input-to-State Stability (4.9, L17)**

- Consider the system

$$\dot{x} = f(t, x, u) \quad (4.44)$$

where $f : [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is
piecewise continuous in t and
locally Lipschitz in x and u .

- The input $u(t)$ is a piecewise continuous, bdd function of t for all $t \geq 0$.

- Suppose the unforced system

$$\dot{x} = f(t, x, 0) \quad (4.45)$$

has a **G.U.A.S. E.P.** at $x = 0$.

- What can we say about
the behavior of the system (4.44)
in the presence of a bounded input $u(t)$?

- For the **L.T.I.** system

$$\dot{x} = Ax + Bu$$

with a **Hurwitz matrix** A ,

the solution is

$$x(t) = e^{(t-t_0)A}x(t_0) + \int_{t_0}^t e^{(t-\tau)A}Bu(\tau)d\tau$$

- And use the bound $\|e^{(t-t_0)A}\| \leq ke^{-\lambda(t-t_0)}$

to estimate the solution by

$$\|x(t)\| \leq ke^{-\lambda(t-t_0)}\|x(t_0)\| + \int_{t_0}^t ke^{-\lambda(t-\tau)}\|B\|\|u(\tau)\|d\tau$$

$$\leq ke^{-\lambda(t-t_0)}\|x(t_0)\| + \frac{k\|B\|}{\lambda} \sup_{t_0 \leq \tau \leq t} \|u(\tau)\|$$

- This estimate shows that the **zero-input response** decays to zero exponentially fast, while the **zero-state response** is bounded for every bounded input.

- In fact, the estimate shows more than a bounded-input-bounded-state (**BIBO**) property.

- It shows that the **bound on the zero-state response** is proportional to the **bound on the input**.

- For a general **nonlinear** system, it should not be surprising that these properties **may not hold** even when the **origin** of the unforced syst. is **G.U.A.S.**

- e.g., consider the scalar system

$$\dot{x} = -3x + (1 + 2x^2)u$$

which has a **G.E.S.** origin when $u = 0$.

- When $x(0) = 2$ and $u(t) \equiv 1$, the solution $x(t) = \frac{(3-e^t)}{(3-2e^t)}$ is **unbounded**; it even has a **finite escape time**.

- Let us view the system

$$\dot{x} = f(t, x, u) \text{ as}$$

a **perturbation** of the unforced syst

$$\dot{x} = f(t, x, 0).$$

- Suppose we have a Lyapunov func $V(t, x)$ for the **unforced system** and let us calculate the **derivative of V** in the presence of u .
- Due to the **boundedness** of u , it is plausible that in some cases it should be possible to show that \dot{V} is **negative** outside a ball of radius μ , where μ depends on $\sup \|u\|$.

- This would be expected, for example, when the function $f(t, x, u)$ satisfies the **Lipschitz condition**

$$\|f(t, x, u) - f(t, x, 0)\| \leq L\|u\|, \quad (4.46)$$

- Showing that \dot{V} is **negative** outside a ball of radius μ would enable us to apply **Thm 4.18** to show that $x(t)$ satisfies (4.42), (4.43).

$$\|x(t)\| \leq \beta(\|x(t_0)\|, t - t_0), \quad \forall t_0 \leq t \leq t_0 + T \quad (4.42)$$

$$\|x(t)\| \leq \alpha_1^{-1}(\alpha_2(\mu)), \quad \forall t \geq t_0 + T \quad (4.43)$$

- These inequalities show that

$\|x(t)\|$ is bdd by a class \mathcal{KL} function

$\beta(\|x(t_0)\|, t - t_0)$ over $[t_0, t_0 + T]$ and

by a class \mathcal{K} function $\alpha_1^{-1}(\alpha_2(\mu))$

for $t \geq t_0 + T$.

- Consequently,

$$\|x(t)\| \leq \beta(\|x(t_0)\|, t - t_0) + \alpha_1^{-1}(\alpha_2(\mu))$$

is valid for all $t \geq t_0$.

Definition of ISS

- The system $\dot{x} = f(t, x, u)$ is said to be

input-to-state stable

if there exist a class \mathcal{KL} function β

and a class \mathcal{K} function γ

such that for any initial state $x(t_0)$

and any bdd input $u(t)$,

the sol. $x(t)$ exists for all $t \geq t_0$ and

satisfies

$$\|x(t)\| \leq \beta(\|x(t_0)\|, t - t_0) + \gamma\left(\sup_{t_0 \leq \tau \leq t} \|u(\tau)\|\right), \quad (4.47)$$

- Inequality (4.47) guarantees that for any **bdd input** $u(t)$, the **state** $x(t)$ will be **bounded**.
- Furthermore, as t increases, the **state** $x(t)$ will be **ultimately bounded** by a **class \mathcal{K}** function of $\sup_{t \geq t_0} \|u(t)\|$.

- Since, with $u(t) \equiv 0$, (4.47) reduces to

$$\|x(t)\| \leq \beta(\|x(t_0)\|, t - t_0)$$

input-to-state stability implies that the **origin** of the **unforced system** (4.45) is **G.U.A.S.**

$$\dot{x} = f(t, x, u) \quad (4.44)$$

$$\dot{x} = f(t, x, 0) \quad (4.45)$$

- The notion of **input-to-state stability** is defined for the **global case** where the **initial state** and the **input** can be arbitrarily **large**.
- A **local version** of this notion is presented in Ex 4.60.

Theorem 4.19

- Let $V : [0, \infty) \times R^n \rightarrow R$

be a cont. diff. func. such that

$$\forall (t, x, u) \in [0, \infty) \times R^n \times R^m$$

$$\alpha_1(\|x\|) \leq V(t, x) \leq \alpha_2(\|x\|) \quad (4.48)$$

$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} f(t, x, u) \leq -W_3(x), \quad \forall \|x\| \geq \rho(\|u\|) > 0, \quad (4.49)$$

where α_1, α_2 are class \mathcal{K}_∞ functions,

ρ is a class \mathcal{K} function, and

$W_3(x)$ is a cont. P.D. func. on R^n .

- Then, the system (4.44) is **ISS** with $\gamma = \alpha_1^{-1} \circ \alpha_2 \circ \rho$.

- Suppose $f(t, x, u)$ is **cont. diff.** and **globally Lipschitz** in (x, u) , **uniformly** in t .

$$\dot{x} = f(t, x, u) \quad (4.44)$$

$$\dot{x} = f(t, x, 0) \quad (4.45)$$

- If the **unforced syst** (4.45), i.e., $u \equiv 0$ has a **GES EP** at the origin $x = 0$, then the **system** (4.44) is **ISS**.

Discussion of Lemma 4.6

- **Lemma 4.6** requires a **globally Lipschitz** function f and **G.E.S.** of $x = 0$ of the unforced system to conclude **input-to-state stability**.
- It is easy to construct examples where **the lemma does not hold** in **absence** of one of these 2 conditions.

- The system $\dot{x} = -3x + (1 + x^2)u$,
which we discussed earlier in the Sec,
doesn't satisfy the global Lipschitz cond.
- The system $\dot{x} = -\frac{x}{1+x^2} + u \stackrel{def}{=} f(x, u)$
has a globally Lipschitz f
since the partial derivatives of f
w.r.t. x & u are globally bounded.

- The origin of $\dot{x} = -\frac{x}{1+x^2}$ is **G.A.S.**,
as it can be seen by the Lyapunov func-
tion $V(x) = x^2/2$,
whose derivative $\dot{V}(x) = -\frac{x^2}{1+x^2}$
is **N.D.** for all x .
- It is **locally E.S.**
because the linearization at the origin
is $\dot{x} = -x$.
- However, it is **not G.E.S.**

- It is easiest seen through the fact that the system is **not I.S.S.**
- Notice that with $u(t) \equiv 1$, $f(x, u) \geq 1/2$. Hence, $x(t) \geq x(t_0) + t/2$ for all $t \geq 0$, which shows that the sol. is **unbounded**.
- In the **absence** of **G.E.S.** or **globally Lipschitz functions**, we may still be able to show **ISS** by applying **Thm 4.19**.

Example 4.25

- The system $\dot{x} = f(x, u) = -x^3 + u$ has a **GAS** origin when $u = 0$.
- Taking $V = \frac{1}{2}x^2$

- The system

$$\dot{x} = f(x, u) = -x - 2x^3 + (1 + x^2)u^2$$

has a **GES** origin when $u = 0$,
but **Lemma 4.6** does not apply
since f is not globally Lipschitz.

- Taking $V = \frac{1}{2}x^2$

- **Note that**, in examples 4.25 & 4.26,

$V(x) = x^2/2$ satisfies

(4.48) of **Thm 4.19**

with $\alpha_1(r) = \alpha_2(r) = r^2/2$.

- Hence, $\alpha_1^{-1}(\alpha_2(r)) = r$
and $\gamma(r)$ reduces to $\rho(r)$.

$$\alpha_1(\|x\|) \leq V(t, x) \leq \alpha_2(\|x\|) \quad (4.48)$$

$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} f(t, x, u) \leq -W_3(x), \quad \forall \|x\| \geq \rho(\|u\|) > 0, \quad (4.49)$$

- Applications of **I.S.S.** to **stability analysis** of **cascade systems**

- Consider

$$\dot{x}_1 = f_1(t, x_1, x_2) \quad (4.51)$$

$$\dot{x}_2 = f_2(t, x_2) \quad (4.52)$$

where

$f_1 : [0, \infty) \times R^{n_1} \times R^{n_2} \rightarrow R^{n_1}$ and

$f_2 : [0, \infty) \times R^{n_2} \rightarrow R^{n_2}$

are **piecewise cont.** in t

and **locally Lipschitz** in $x = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix}$.

- Suppose both

$$\dot{x}_1 = f_1(t, x_1, 0)$$

$$\dot{x}_2 = f_2(t, x_2)$$

have **G.U.A.S. E.P.**

at their respective **origins**.

- Under **what condition**

will **the origin** $x = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$

of the **cascade** system

posses the same property?

- Under the stated **assumptions**,
and with x_2 as **input**
if $\dot{x}_1 = f_1(t, x_1, x_2)$ is **ISS**
and $x_2 = 0$ of $\dot{x}_2 = f_2(t, x_2)$ is **GUAS**,
then $x = 0$ of the **cascade system**:

$$\dot{x}_1 = f_1(t, x_1, x_2) \quad (4.51)$$

$$\dot{x}_2 = f_2(t, x_2) \quad (4.52)$$

is **GUAS**.

- Let $t_0 \geq 0$ be the initial time.

$$\dot{x}_1 = f_1(t, x_1, x_2) \quad (4.51)$$

$$\dot{x}_2 = f_2(t, x_2) \quad (4.52)$$

- The **sol.** of (4.51) & (4.52) satisfy

$$\|x_1(t)\| \leq \beta_1(\|x_1(s)\|, t-s) + \gamma_1\left(\sup_{s \leq \tau \leq t} \|x_2(\tau)\|\right) \quad (4.53)$$

$$\|x_2(t)\| \leq \beta_2(\|x_2(s)\|, t-s) \quad (4.54)$$

globally, where $t \geq s \geq t_0$,

β_1, β_2 are **class \mathcal{KL}** functions

and γ_1 is a **class \mathcal{K}** function.

- Apply (4.53) with $s = (t + t_0)/2$

$$\|x_1(t)\| \leq \beta_1 \left(\left\| x_1 \left(\frac{t + t_0}{2} \right) \right\|, \frac{t - t_0}{2} \right) + \gamma_1 \left(\sup_{\frac{t+t_0}{2} \leq \tau \leq t} \|x_2(\tau)\| \right) \quad (4.55)$$

- To estimate $x_1(\frac{t+t_0}{2})$,
apply (4.53) with $s = t_0$ and
 t replaced by $\frac{t+t_0}{2}$ to obtain

$$\left\| x_1 \left(\frac{t + t_0}{2} \right) \right\| \leq \beta_1 \left(\|x_1(t_0)\|, \frac{t - t_0}{2} \right) + \gamma_1 \left(\sup_{t_0 \leq \tau \leq \frac{t+t_0}{2}} \|x_2(\tau)\| \right) \quad (4.56)$$

- Using (4.54), we obtain

$$\sup_{t_0 \leq \tau \leq \frac{t+t_0}{2}} \|x_2(\tau)\| \leq \beta_2(\|x_2(t_0)\|, 0) \quad (4.57)$$

$$\sup_{\frac{t+t_0}{2} \leq \tau \leq t} \|x_2(\tau)\| \leq \beta_2(\|x_2(t_0)\|, \frac{t - t_0}{2}) \quad (4.58)$$

- Substituting (4.56) through (4.58) into (4.55) and using the inequalities

$$\|x_1(t_0)\| \leq \|x(t_0)\|,$$

$$\|x_2(t_0)\| \leq \|x(t_0)\|,$$

$$x = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\|x(t)\| \leq \|x_1(t)\| + \|x_2(t)\|$$

yield

$$\|x(t)\| \leq \beta \left(\|x(t_0)\|, t - t_0 \right)$$

where

$$\beta(r, s) = \beta_1 \left(\beta_1(r, s/2) + \gamma_1(\beta_2(r, 0)), s/2 \right) + \gamma_1(\beta_2(r, s/2)) + \beta_2(r, s)$$

- So, β is a class \mathcal{KL} func for all $r \geq 0$.

Hence, $x = 0$ is **GUAS**