

Lecture Note 12

Section 4.4

Comparison Functions
(Lyapunov Stability)

Feng-Li Lian

NTU-EE

Sep05 – Jan06

Outline

Feng-Li Lian © 2005
NTUEE-NSA-Ch4.4-2

- Introduction (L9)
- Autonomous Systems (4.1 L9)
 - Basic stability definitions
 - Lyapunov's stability theorems
 - Variable gradient method
 - Region of attraction
 - Instability
- The Invariance Principle (4.2, L10)
 - LaSalle's theorem
- Linear Systems and Linearization (4.3, L11)
- Comparison Functions (4.4, L12)
- Non-autonomous Systems (4.5, L13)
- Linear Time-Varying Systems & Linearization (4.6, L14)
- Converse Theorems (4.7, L15)
- Boundedness & Ultimate Boundedness (4.8, L16)
- Input-to-State Stability (4.9, L17)

- From **autonomous** to **non-autonomous**
- The sol of the **nonautonomous** syst
 $\dot{x} = f(t, x)$, starting at $x(t_0) = x_0$,
depends on **both** t and t_0 .
- Should refine the definitions
to let **stability** hold
uniformly in the initial time t_0 .
- Need some special **comparison functions**.

Definition 4.2: Class K Functions

- $\alpha : [0, a) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a **continuous** function.
- **IF** $\alpha(\cdot)$ is **strictly increasing** and $\alpha(0) = 0$,
THEN it is said to belong to **class \mathcal{K}**
- **IF** $a = \infty$ and $\alpha(r) \rightarrow \infty$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$,
THEN it is said to belong to **class \mathcal{K}_∞**

Definition 4.3: Class KL Functions

- $\beta : [0, a) \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$
is a **continuous** function.
- **IF**
 - (1) for each **fixed** s ,
the mapping $\beta(r, s)$ belongs to **class \mathcal{K}**
w.r.t. r and,
 - (2) for each **fixed** r ,
the mapping $\beta(r, s)$ is **decreasing** w.r.t. s
and $\beta(r, s) \rightarrow 0$ as $s \rightarrow \infty$
- **THEN** it is said to belong to **class \mathcal{KL}**

Example 4.16

- $\alpha(r) = \tan^{-1}(r)$
- $\alpha(r) = r^c, \quad c > 0$
- $\alpha(r) = \min\{r, r^2\}$

- $\beta(r, s) = r^c e^{-s}$, $c > 0$
- $\beta(r, s) = r / (ksr + 1)$, $k > 0$

Lemma 4.2

- α_1 and α_2 be class \mathcal{K} functions on $[0, a)$,
 α_3 and α_4 be class \mathcal{K}_∞ functions
and β be a class \mathcal{KL} function.
- Denote the inverse of α_i by α_i^{-1} .
- THEN
 - α_1^{-1} is defined on $[0, \alpha_1(a))$ and belongs to class \mathcal{K}
 - α_3^{-1} is defined on $[0, \infty)$ and belongs to class \mathcal{K}_∞ .
 - $\alpha_1 \circ \alpha_2$ belongs to class \mathcal{K}
 - $\alpha_3 \circ \alpha_4$ belongs to class \mathcal{K}_∞
 - $\sigma(r, s) = \alpha_1(\beta(\alpha_2(r), s))$ belongs to class \mathcal{KL} .

- Let $V : D \rightarrow R$ be a continuous P.D. function defined on a domain $D \subset R^n$ that contains the origin.
- Let $B_r \subset D$ for some $r > 0$.
- Then, there exist class \mathcal{K} functions α_1, α_2 , defined on $[0, r]$, such that

$$\alpha_1(\|x\|) \leq V(x) \leq \alpha_2(\|x\|)$$

for all $x \in B_r$.

- If $D = R^n$, α_1, α_2 will be defined on $[0, \infty)$ and the foregoing inequality will hold $\forall x \in R^n$.
- Moreover, if $V(x)$ is radially unbounded, then α_1, α_2 can be chosen to belong to class \mathcal{K}_∞ .
- If $V(x) = x^T P x$,

$$\lambda_{\min}(P)\|x\|_2^2 \leq x^T P x \leq \lambda_{\max}(P)\|x\|_2^2$$

- For the proof of Thm 4.1:
- Want to choose β, δ
such that $B_\delta \subset \Omega_\beta \subset B_r$
- So, for a P.D. function $V(x)$,
- Because
- Then

- Also, want to show that
when $\dot{V}(x)$ is N.D., $x(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$.
- Using Lemma 4.3,
there is a class \mathcal{K} function α_3
such that $\dot{V}(x) \leq -\alpha_3(\|x\|)$
- Hence, $\dot{V} \leq -\alpha_3(\alpha_2^{-1}(V))$
- Comparison lemma (Lemma 3.4) shows that
 $V(x(t))$ is bounded by the solution of

$$\dot{y} = -\alpha_3(\alpha_2^{-1}(y)), \quad y(0) = V(x(0))$$

- **Lemma 4.2** shows that $\alpha_3 \circ \alpha_2^{-1}$ is a **class \mathcal{K}** function.
- **Lemma 4.4** shows that the solution is $y(t) = \beta(y(0), t)$, where β is a **class \mathcal{KL}** function.
- Consequently, $V(x(t))$ satisfies $V(x(t)) \leq \beta(V(x(0)), t)$, which shows that $V(x(t)) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

Estimates of $x(t)$

- $V(x(t)) \leq V(x(0))$ implies $\alpha_1(\|x(t)\|) \leq V(x(t)) \leq V(x(0)) \leq \alpha_2(\|x(0)\|)$
- Hence, $\|x(t)\| \leq \alpha_1^{-1}(\alpha_2(\|x(0)\|))$, where $\alpha_1^{-1} \circ \alpha_2$ is a **class \mathcal{K}** function
- Similarly, $V(x(t)) \leq \beta(V(x(0)), t)$ implies $\alpha_1(\|x(t)\|) \leq V(x(t)) \leq \beta(V(x(0)), t) \leq \beta(\alpha_2(\|x(0)\|), t)$
- Therefore, $\|x(t)\| \leq \alpha_1^{-1}(\beta(\alpha_2(\|x(0)\|), t))$, where $\alpha_1^{-1}(\beta(\alpha_2(r), t))$ is a **class \mathcal{KL}** func.