

§I. curvature of Kähler metrics

$$0^\circ \omega = \frac{i}{2} \sum_{\mu, \nu} h_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} dz^\alpha d\bar{z}^\beta = \frac{i}{2} \partial\bar{\partial} f \quad \leftarrow \text{local}$$

$$(\omega = 0 \Leftrightarrow \partial_\gamma h_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} = \partial_\alpha h_{\gamma\bar{\beta}}) \quad \downarrow \quad (h_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} = \partial_\alpha \bar{\partial}_\beta f)$$

$$\nabla_\gamma \frac{\partial}{\partial z^\alpha} = \Gamma_{\gamma\alpha}^\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial z^\mu}, \quad \Gamma_{\gamma\alpha}^\mu = (\partial_\gamma h_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}) h^{\bar{\beta}\mu}$$

1° curvature of $T = \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial z^\alpha} \right\}$

$$(\nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu - \nabla_\nu \nabla_\mu - \nabla_{[\mu, \nu]}) \frac{\partial}{\partial z^\alpha}$$

$$= \nabla_\mu (\Gamma_{\nu\alpha}^\beta \frac{\partial}{\partial z^\beta}) - (\mu \leftrightarrow \nu) = (\partial_\mu (\Gamma_{\nu\alpha}^\beta) + \Gamma_{\nu\alpha}^\gamma \Gamma_{\mu\gamma}^\beta - (\mu \leftrightarrow \nu)) \frac{\partial}{\partial z^\beta}$$

$$= \partial_\mu ((\partial_\nu h_{\alpha\bar{\xi}}) h^{\bar{\xi}\beta}) + (\partial_\nu h_{\alpha\bar{\xi}}) h^{\bar{\xi}\sigma} (\partial_\mu h_{\sigma\bar{\eta}}) h^{\bar{\eta}\beta} - (\mu \leftrightarrow \nu)$$

$$= (\partial_\mu \partial_\nu h_{\alpha\bar{\xi}}) h^{\bar{\xi}\beta} - (\partial_\nu h_{\alpha\bar{\xi}}) (\partial_\mu h^{\bar{\xi}\beta}) - (\mu \leftrightarrow \nu) = 0$$

$$+ (\partial_\nu h_{\alpha\bar{\xi}}) (\partial_\mu h^{\bar{\xi}\beta})$$

$$\nabla_{\bar{\mu}} \nabla_{\bar{\nu}} - \nabla_{\bar{\nu}} \nabla_{\bar{\mu}} - \nabla_{[\bar{\mu}, \bar{\nu}]} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^\alpha} = 0$$

The interesting component:

$$(\nabla_{\bar{\mu}} \nabla_{\bar{\nu}} - \nabla_{\bar{\nu}} \nabla_{\bar{\mu}} - \nabla_{[\bar{\mu}, \bar{\nu}]}) \frac{\partial}{\partial z^\alpha} = \nabla_{\bar{\mu}} (\Gamma_{\bar{\nu}\alpha}^\beta \frac{\partial}{\partial z^\beta}) = (\partial_{\bar{\mu}} \Gamma_{\bar{\nu}\alpha}^\beta) \frac{\partial}{\partial z^\beta}$$

Denote $\partial_{\bar{\mu}} \Gamma_{\bar{\nu}\alpha}^\beta$ by $R_{\alpha\bar{\mu}\nu}^\beta$. $[\nabla_{\bar{\mu}}, \nabla_{\bar{\nu}}] \frac{\partial}{\partial z^\alpha} = R_{\alpha\bar{\mu}\nu}^\beta \frac{\partial}{\partial z^\beta}$

2° Let $R_{\bar{\beta}\alpha\bar{\mu}\nu} = \langle [\nabla_{\bar{\mu}}, \nabla_{\bar{\nu}}] \frac{\partial}{\partial z^\alpha}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z^\beta} \rangle \rightarrow$ the Hermitian inner product notation: use bar on the second argument

$$= h_{\gamma\bar{\beta}} R_{\alpha\bar{\mu}\nu}^\gamma$$

prop $R_{\bar{\beta}\alpha\bar{\mu}\nu} = \bar{\partial}_\beta \partial_\alpha \bar{\partial}_\mu \partial_\nu f - h^{\bar{\xi}\sigma} (\bar{\partial}_\xi \partial_\alpha \partial_\nu f) (\partial_\sigma \bar{\partial}_\beta \bar{\partial}_\mu f)$

pf: $R_{\alpha\bar{\mu}\nu}^\sigma = \bar{\partial}_\mu \Gamma_{\bar{\nu}\alpha}^\sigma = \bar{\partial}_\mu ((\partial_\nu h_{\alpha\bar{\xi}}) h^{\bar{\xi}\sigma})$

$$= (\bar{\partial}_\mu \partial_\nu h_{\alpha\bar{\xi}}) h^{\bar{\xi}\sigma} + (\partial_\nu h_{\alpha\bar{\xi}}) (\bar{\partial}_\mu h^{\bar{\xi}\sigma})$$

$$\Rightarrow h_{\gamma\bar{\beta}} R_{\alpha\bar{\mu}\nu}^\sigma = \bar{\partial}_\mu \partial_\nu h_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} + h_{\gamma\bar{\beta}} (\partial_\nu h_{\alpha\bar{\xi}}) (\bar{\partial}_\mu h^{\bar{\xi}\sigma})$$

$$= \bar{\partial}_\mu \partial_\nu h_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} - h^{\bar{\xi}\sigma} (\partial_\nu h_{\alpha\bar{\xi}}) (\bar{\partial}_\mu h_{\sigma\bar{\beta}})$$

$$= \bar{\partial}_\mu \partial_\sigma \bar{\partial}_\beta \partial_\alpha f - h^{\bar{\sigma}\gamma} (\partial_\sigma \partial_\alpha \bar{\partial}_\gamma f) (\bar{\partial}_\mu \bar{\partial}_\beta \partial_\sigma f) \quad *$$

Can i) $R_{\bar{\beta}\alpha\bar{\mu}\nu} = R_{\bar{\mu}\alpha\bar{\beta}\nu} = R_{\bar{\mu}\nu\bar{\beta}\alpha} = R_{\bar{\beta}\nu\bar{\mu}\alpha}$

ii) $\overline{R_{\bar{\beta}\alpha\bar{\mu}\nu}} = R_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\nu\bar{\mu}}$

pf: the proposition + f is real-valued *

4° Define $R_{\bar{\mu}\nu} = h^{\alpha\bar{\beta}} R_{\bar{\beta}\alpha\bar{\mu}\nu} = R_{\alpha\bar{\mu}\nu}^{\alpha}$ the Ricci curvature

i) $R_{\alpha\bar{\mu}\nu}^{\alpha} = \bar{\partial}_\mu \Gamma_{\nu\alpha}^{\alpha} = \bar{\partial}_\mu (\underbrace{\partial_\nu (h_{\alpha\bar{\beta}})}_{h^{\bar{\beta}\alpha}}) h^{\bar{\beta}\alpha}$
 $= \text{tr}((\partial_\nu h) h^{-1}) = (\det h)^{-1} \partial_\nu (\det h)$

$$= \bar{\partial}_\mu \partial_\nu \log \det h$$

ii) $R_{\bar{\mu}\nu} = h^{\alpha\bar{\beta}} R_{\bar{\beta}\alpha\bar{\mu}\nu} = \overline{h^{\beta\bar{\alpha}} R_{\bar{\alpha}\beta\bar{\nu}\bar{\mu}}} = \overline{R_{\bar{\alpha}\beta\bar{\nu}\bar{\mu}}} = \overline{R_{\bar{\nu}\bar{\mu}}}$: Hermitian

iii) $K_M = \Lambda_c^n T^*$ ($n = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} M$): the canonical bundle of M
 local section = $\{ dz^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dz^n \}$

K_M is a holomorphic \mathbb{C}^1 -bundle over M

If M carries a Hermitian metric, it induces a Hermitian bundle metric on K_M by $|dz^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dz^n|^2 = (\det(h))^{-1}$

According to the discussion last week.

$$F^\nabla = \bar{\partial} \partial \log (\det(h))^{-1} = -\bar{\partial} \partial \log \det(h)$$

$$\Rightarrow R_{\bar{\mu}\nu} dz^\nu \wedge d\bar{z}^\mu = F^\nabla \text{ of } K_M$$

iv) (M, ω) is Ricci flat. $\Rightarrow K_M$ is a flat \mathbb{C}^1 -bundle

(Suppose that M is simply connected. K_M admits a parallel section $\Rightarrow K_M$ is holomorphically trivial)

On the contrary, if K_M is holomorphically trivial,

\Leftrightarrow admits a nowhere vanishing holomorphic section

conjecture (Calabi 1954) if so, (M, ω) admits a Ricci-flat Kähler metric

thm (Yau 1978) Yes, for compact M .

§II. relation to Riemann curvature tensor

1° complex structure: Define $J: T_{\mathbb{R}M} \rightarrow T_{\mathbb{R}M}$ by

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\mu}} \mapsto \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{\mu}}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y^{\mu}} \mapsto -\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\mu}}$$

$T \cong T_{\mathbb{R}M}$ over \mathbb{R}

$$U \mapsto U + \bar{U}$$

$\Rightarrow J$ is induced by $U \mapsto iU$.

and hence well-defined.

remark Given $J: T_{\mathbb{R}M} \rightarrow T_{\mathbb{R}M}$ with $J^2 = -\mathbb{1}$,

M must be of even (real) dimension

Such a structure is called an almost complex structure.

For $X, Y \in T_{\mathbb{R}M}$, one can define

$$N(X, Y) = [X, Y] + J[JX, Y] + J[X, JY] - [JX, JY]$$

the so-called Nijenhuis tensor.

fact (Newlander - Nirenberg 1957)

J comes from a complex manifold structure if and only if $N \equiv 0$

2° is For $X \in T_{\mathbb{R}M}$ $X - iJ(X) \in T$

$$J(X - iJ(X)) = J(X) + iX = i(X - iJ(X))$$

$$\text{Similarly } J(X + iJ(X)) = -i(X - iJ(X))$$

Namely, T is the $(+i)$ -eigenspace of J (on $T_{\mathbb{R}M} \otimes \mathbb{C}$)

\bar{T} is the $(-i)$ -eigenspace of J

$$\text{ii) } (dz \otimes d\bar{z} = \underbrace{(dx \otimes dx + dy \otimes dy)}_{g} + i \underbrace{dx \wedge dy}_{\omega})$$

Let us start with g on $T_{\mathbb{R}M}$.

Associate the Hermitian metric by

$$g(X - iJ(X), Y + iJ(Y)) = g(X, Y) + g(J(X), J(Y))$$

$$(Y + iJ(Y))^T (X - iJ(X)) + i(g(X, J(Y)) - g(J(X), Y))$$

Also, it vanishes on $g(X - iJ(X), Y - iJ(Y))$

upshot think • g has to obey $g(JX, JY) = g(X, Y)$

\Downarrow ($\text{Re}(e^{i\theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^\mu})$ has the same length)

$$\bullet \omega(X, Y) = g(JX, Y) = g(J^2 X, JY) = -g(JY, X) = -\omega(Y, X)$$

3° Now, suppose (M, ω) is Kähler $\Rightarrow \nabla^c = \nabla^{Lc}$

$$\nabla g = 0 = \nabla \omega$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(\omega(X, Y)) &= (\nabla_{\mathcal{L}X} \omega)(X, Y) + \omega(\nabla_{\mathcal{L}X} X, Y) + \omega(X, \nabla_{\mathcal{L}X} Y) \\ &= g(J(\nabla_{\mathcal{L}X} X), Y) + g(JX, \nabla_{\mathcal{L}X} Y) \\ &= \mathcal{L}(g(JX, Y)) = (\nabla_{\mathcal{L}JX} g)(JX, Y) + g((\nabla_{\mathcal{L}J} X)(X), Y) + g(J(\nabla_{\mathcal{L}X} X), Y) \\ &\quad + g(JX, \nabla_{\mathcal{L}X} Y) \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \nabla J \equiv 0$$

$$(\nabla(JX)) = J(\nabla X)$$

\rightarrow Riemann curvature

Therefore, $g(R(-, -)JX, JY)$

$$= g(J(R(-, -)X), JY) = g(R(-, -)X, Y)$$

We also know the symmetry of $g(R(\underline{-}, \underline{-}), \underline{-}, \underline{-})$

This gives another proof of the Corollary

4° Ricci curvature: Suppose that $h_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} = \delta_{\alpha\beta}$ at p

At p , $R_{\bar{\mu}\bar{\nu}} = R_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}} \alpha^{\bar{\mu}} \alpha^{\bar{\nu}}$

$$= \frac{1}{16} R_{(\alpha+iJ\alpha)(\alpha-iJ\alpha)(\mu+iJ\mu)(\nu-iJ\nu)} \leftarrow \alpha \text{ mean } \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \alpha \text{ here}$$

$$= -\frac{i}{8} R_{\alpha J\alpha} (\mu+iJ\mu)(\nu-iJ\nu)$$

$$= -\frac{i}{4} R_{\alpha J\alpha} \mu\nu - \frac{i}{4} R_{\alpha J\alpha} \mu J\nu$$

$$= +\frac{i}{4} R_{\alpha \mu\nu J\alpha} + \frac{i}{4} R_{\alpha \nu J\alpha \mu} \leftarrow \begin{matrix} J\alpha J\nu J\alpha \mu \\ -J\alpha \mu J\nu J\alpha \end{matrix}$$

$$- \frac{i}{4} R_{\alpha \mu J\nu J\alpha} - \frac{i}{4} R_{\alpha J\nu J\alpha \mu} \leftarrow \begin{matrix} +J\alpha \nu J\alpha \mu \\ -J\alpha \mu \nu J\alpha \end{matrix}$$

$$= -\frac{i}{4} R_{\alpha \mu J\nu \alpha} - \frac{i}{4} R_{J\alpha \mu J\nu J\alpha} = +\frac{i}{2} \text{Ric}(\mu, J\nu) \leftarrow$$

$$- \frac{i}{4} R_{\alpha \mu \nu \alpha} - \frac{i}{4} R_{J\alpha \mu \nu J\alpha} \quad +\frac{i}{2} \text{Ric}(\mu, \nu)$$

real & imaginary part of $R_{\bar{\mu}\bar{\nu}}$