COMPLEX ANALYSIS MIDTERM

THURSDAY, NOVEMBER 12

- (1) [8 points] True/False questions, no justifications needed.
 - (a) Let Ĉ = C ∪ {∞}. There exists a rational function q(z) such that #{q⁻¹(0)} = 2 and #{q⁻¹(∞)} = 0 (regard q(z) as a map from Ĉ to Ĉ, and count zeros with multiplicity).
 F As a consequence of the fundamental theorem of algebra, #{q⁻¹(u)} is the same for any u ∈ Ĉ.
 - (b) There exists an analytic function f(z) defined on $\{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| < 2\}$ such that $f^{(k)}(0) = 1$ for any $k \ge 0$.

T $f(z) = \exp(z)$ does the job.

Originally, I planned have the following function f(z) = 1/(1-z), which has coefficient 1 but $f^{(k)}(0) = k!$.

(By Abel's theorem, the power series has radius of convergence 1, and $\sum_{k\geq 0} z^k$ defined an analytic function for |z| < 1.) The function 1/(1-z) has that property. Since an analytic function is determined by its value and all the derivatives at one point, the function must be 1/(1-z), which cannot be analytic on $\{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| < 2\}$

(c) Consider the function $f(z) = \cosh \frac{1}{z}$. There exist positive constants ϵ and M so that |f(z)| > M for any $0 < |z| < \epsilon$.

F The point z = 0 is an essential singularity. The image of any small neighborhood is always dense in \mathbb{C} .

(d) Let $D = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| < 1\}$. Suppose that $f : D \to D$ is analytic with f(0) = 0. Then, $|f(z)| \le |z|$ for any $z \in D$.

T This is Schwarz lemma.

(e) There does not exist an entire function whose value on the *positive real axis* is $\int_0^\infty e^{-t} t^{z-1} dt$.

[T] If the function exists, it coincides with the gamma function $\Gamma(z)$ on the positive real axis, which is not discrete. Therefore, the function must be $\Gamma(z)$ (or coincides with $\Gamma(z)$ except on the poles of $\Gamma(z)$), but such a function cannot be analytic everywhere.

(f) There does not exist an entire function whose value on $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is (n-1)!.

[F] The condition is on a discrete set. One can construct such a function by modifying the Weiestrass product construction, see [Ahlfors, #1 of p.197]

(g) There exists a meromorphic function whose pole is exactly $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with the singular part $\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\exp(2^k)}{(z-n)^k}$.

[T] It follows directly from the theorem of Mittag-Leffler. The singular part does not matter.

(h) Let \mathcal{B} be the set of entire functions functions with f(0) = 1 and $|f(z)| \leq 999$ when |z| = 100. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there always exists $f(z) \in \mathcal{B}$ which has exactly n zeros in $\{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| < 50\}$.

F Jensen's formula gives a bound for the number of zeros.

(2) [5 points] Suppose that f(z) is an entire function obeying $|f(z)| \le n^{\frac{3}{4}}$ when $|z| = n \in \mathbb{N}$. Prove that f(z) is a constant function. (Hint: There are many ways to do it. You can show that $f^{(k)}(0) = 0$ for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, or $f(z_1) = f(z_2)$ for any $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}$, etc.)

[method 1] It follows from the Cauchy integral formula that

$$f^{(k)}(0) = rac{k!}{2\pi i} \int_{|z|=n} rac{f(z)}{z^{k+1}} \mathrm{d}z \quad ext{for any } n \in \mathbb{N} \; ,$$

and then

$$|f^{(k)}(0)| \le k! \frac{n^{\frac{3}{4}}}{n^{k+1}} n$$
.

It is clear that the right hand side tends to zero as $n \to \infty$ for any fixed $k \ge 1$. Thus, $f^{(k)}(0) = 0$ for any $k \ge 1$, and f(z) must be a constant function.

[method 2] Given any $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}$, consider $n \in \mathbb{N}$ so that n is greater than $2|z_1|, 2|z_2|$. By Cauchy integral formula

$$f(z_1) - f(z_2) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|z|=n} \left(\frac{1}{z - z_1} - \frac{1}{z - z_2} \right) f(z) dz$$

$$\Rightarrow |f(z_1) - f(z_2)| \le \frac{1}{2\pi} \left(\frac{2}{n} \frac{2}{n} |z_1 - z_2| \right) n^{\frac{3}{4}} (2\pi n) .$$

It is clear that the right hand side tends to zero as $n \to \infty$. Hence, $f(z_1) = f(z_2)$ for any $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}$.

(3) [5 points] How many roots does the equation $z^{2015} + 2z^{304} + 11z^{12} + z^4 + z^3 + z^2 + z + 1 = 0$ have in $\{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| < 1\}$? Justify your answer.

We apply Rouché's theorem. Let $f(z) = z^{2015} + 2z^{304} + 11z^{12} + z^4 + z^3 + z^2 + z + 1$ and $g(z) = 11z^{12}$. By the triangle inequality,

$$|f(z) - g(z)| \le 8 < |g(z)|$$
 when $|z| = 1$.

Hence, f(z) and g(z) have the same number of roots in the unit disk, and there are 12 roots.

(4) [6 points] Evaluate the integral

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{ax}}{1 + e^x} \mathrm{d}x \quad \text{ for } 0 < a < 1 .$$

(Hint: e^z has a period of $2\pi i$.)

Let $R_M = \{z = x + iy \in \mathbb{C} \mid -M \leq x \leq M, 0 \leq y \leq 2\pi\}$. The function $f(z) = \frac{e^{az}}{1+e^z}$ has only one pole in the region R_M . It is at $z = \pi i$ with residue $-e^{\pi a i}$. It follows that $\int_{\partial R_M} f(z) dz = -2\pi i e^{\pi a i}$. It is not hard to show that

$$\lim_{M \to \infty} \int_{\partial R_M} f(z) \mathrm{d}z = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{ax}}{1 + e^x} \mathrm{d}x - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{2\pi a i} e^{ax}}{1 + e^x} \mathrm{d}x = (1 - e^{2\pi a i}) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{ax}}{1 + e^x} \mathrm{d}x \ .$$

Then,

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{ax}}{1+e^x} dx = \frac{-2\pi i e^{\pi a i}}{1-e^{2\pi a i}} = \frac{2\pi i}{e^{\pi a i}-e^{-\pi a i}} = \frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi a)} \ .$$

(5) [6 = 2 + 4 points] Consider the function

$$f(z) = \frac{e^{2\pi z} - 1}{z}$$

(a) Show that z = 0 is a removable singularity, and determine f(0).

Since $\lim_{z\to 0} |z| |f(z)| = 0$, z = 0 is a removable singularity. Its value is

$$\lim_{z \to 0} \frac{e^{2\pi z} - 1}{z} = 2\pi \frac{\partial}{\partial w}\Big|_{w=0} e^w = 2\pi \; .$$

- (b) Construct the Weierstrass product development of f(z), and find its genus. You shall briefly explain the convergence of your expression. You can simply invoke the theorem of Weierstrass, or derive the estimate by hand.
 - (Hint: You may need the following formula:

$$\frac{2\pi e^{2\pi z}}{e^{2\pi z} - 1} = \pi + \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n \neq 0} \left(\frac{1}{z - in} + \frac{1}{in}\right) .)$$

The zeros of f(z) are nonzero integers, each one is of order 1. Since $\sum_{n\neq 0} \left(\frac{1}{|n|}\right)^{h+1}$ diverges for h = 0 and converges for h = 1, the canonical product is

$$\prod_{n \neq 0} (1 - \frac{z}{in}) \exp(\frac{z}{in})$$

The function f(z) shall be

$$e^{g(z)} \prod_{n \neq 0} (1 - \frac{z}{in}) \exp(\frac{z}{in})$$

for some entire function g(z). The log-derivative reads

$$\frac{2\pi e^{2\pi z}}{e^{2\pi z}-1} - \frac{1}{z} = g'(z) + \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{z}{in(z-in)} \; .$$

By combining it with the hint, we find that $g'(z) = \pi$ and $g(z) = \pi z + c_0$. The constant c_0 can be found by evaluating at z = 0, and $c_0 = \log 2\pi$. It follows that f(z) has genus 1.

(6) [4 points] Let f(z) be a non-constant entire function of *finite* order. Prove that the image of f can miss at most one value in C. (Hint: You may assume f misses α and β. What can you say about the entire function f(z) – α? Could it be possible that f(z) – α never equals to β – α?)

Consider the function $f(z) - \alpha$. It is of the same order as f(z). (This can be seen by using the equivalent condition that for any $\epsilon > 0$, $|f(z)| \le \exp(|z|^{\lambda+\epsilon})$ for |z| being sufficiently large.) We know $f(z) - \alpha$ is a nowhere zero entire function, and thus can be written as $e^{g(z)}$ for another entire function g(z). By Hadamard's theorem, g must be a polynomial, and its degree is the maximal integer no greater than the order of f.

But the fundamental theorem of algebra implies that g assumes every value, in particular $\log(\beta - \alpha)$ (the branch does not matter here). Thus, f cannot miss the value β .

In fact, it is true for *any* non-constant entire function. It is known as the Little Picard Theorem: the image of a non-constant entire function is either \mathbb{C} or \mathbb{C} minus a point.