

A NEW MONOTONE QUANTITY IN MEAN CURVATURE FLOW IMPLYING SHARP HOMOTOPIC CRITERIA

CHUNG-JUN TSAI, MAO-PEI TSUI, AND MU-TAO WANG

ABSTRACT. A new monotone quantity in graphical mean curvature flows of higher codimensions is identified in this work. The submanifold deformed by the mean curvature flow is the graph of a map between Riemannian manifolds, and the quantity is monotone increasing under the area-decreasing condition of the map. The flow provides a natural homotopy of the corresponding map and leads to sharp criteria regarding the homotopic class of maps between complex projective spaces, and maps from spheres to complex projective spaces, among others.

1. INTRODUCTION

We start with the following theorem and its corollary proved in [18].

Theorem 1.1. *Let $f : (S^n, g_{\text{std}}) \rightarrow (S^m, g_{\text{std}})$ be an area-decreasing smooth map between standard unit spheres of dimensions $n, m \geq 2$. Let Γ_f be the graph of f as a submanifold of $S^n \times S^m$, then the mean curvature flow of Γ_f in $S^n \times S^m$ exists smoothly and remains graphical for all time, and converges smoothly to the graph of a constant map.*

Corollary 1.2. *Any area-decreasing map from (S^n, g_{std}) to (S^m, g_{std}) with dimensions $n, m \geq 2$ must be homotopically trivial.*

Explicit examples of non-trivial homotopy classes in $\pi_n(S^m)$ when $n \geq m$ inspire interests to find local geometric conditions that determine the homotopy class of a map from S^n to S^m . The “stretch” or “1-dilatation” of such a map has been considered by Lawson [9], Hsu [8], Gromov [4], and DeTurck–Gluck–Storm [3]. In [5], Gromov proposed to study how the k -dilation of a map $S^n \rightarrow S^m$ is related to its homotopy class. In particular, he showed in [5, page 179 and Corollary on page 230] (see also Section 2 of [6]) that there exists a constant $\varepsilon(n, m) > 0$ such that a map $f : (S^n, g_{\text{std}}) \rightarrow (S^m, g_{\text{std}})$ with 2-dilation (see below) less than $\varepsilon(n, m)$ everywhere must be homotopically trivial. It was conjectured that $\varepsilon(n, m)$ can be chosen to be one, as a map with 1-dilation less than one is clearly homotopically trivial. Unbeknown to the authors

Date: January 24, 2023.

C.-J. Tsai is supported by NSTC grant 110-2636-M-002-007, 111-2636-M-002-022, 112-2636-M-002-003 and NCTS. M.-P. Tsui is supported by NSTC grant 109-2115-M-002-006. M.-T. Wang is supported by NSF grant DMS-1810856 and DMS-2104212. Part of this work was carried out when M.-T. Wang was visiting the National Center of Theoretical Sciences.

of [18], the conjecture was affirmed by Corollary 1.2 : a map $f : (S^n, g_{\text{std}}) \rightarrow (S^m, g_{\text{std}})$ with 2-dilation less than one is exactly an area-decreasing map. By contrast, such a result does not hold for the 3-dilation by the work Guth [7], see stronger results about k -dilations therein.

Area-decreasing maps in the mean curvature flow arise in a rather different context. It was shown in [21] that the Gauss maps of a mean curvature flow form a harmonic map heat flow, and area-decreasing maps correspond to a convex region in the Grassmannian. It was proved in [18] that the area-decreasing condition is preserved by the mean curvature flow under curvature assumptions of the domain and the target manifolds (see [22] for the case when the target space is 2-dimensional). There were several later extensions [10, 17, 1] of the results in [18] to allow more general curvature conditions, all based on the study of the evolution equation of the symmetric 2-tensor $S^{[2]}$ (see [18, section 5], or section 3 of this article), whose positivity corresponds to the area-decreasing condition. In this article, we consider the evolution equation (3.8) of the logarithmic determinant of $S^{[2]}$. This novel formulation significantly improves our understanding of the ambient curvature terms involving in the evolution equation which leads to the sharp homotopic criteria mentioned in the title. In particular, unlike previous works whose assumptions rely on the sectional curvatures of the domain and target manifolds, Theorem 1.4 relies on the Ricci curvatures. This enable us to prove Corollaries 1.5 and 1.6 regarding the complex projective spaces.

It is best to describe the area-decreasing condition and the new monotone quantity in term of singular values. Recall for a C^1 map $f : (\Sigma_1, g_1) \rightarrow (\Sigma_2, g_2)$ between Riemannian manifolds, the singular values of df at a point $p \in \Sigma_1$ are the non-negative square roots of eigenvalues of $(df|_p)^T(df|_p)$. Suppose Σ_1 is of dimension n and the singular values are $\lambda_i, i = 1, \dots, n$, then the 2-dilation of f is defined to be

$$\max_{i < j} \lambda_i \lambda_j . \tag{1.1}$$

A map is said to be *area-decreasing* if the 2-dilation is less than one everywhere, or

$$\lambda_i \lambda_j < 1 \quad \text{for any } i < j . \tag{1.2}$$

This article considers the mean curvature flow of the graph of f , Γ_f , as a submanifold of $\Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2$. Under suitable curvature assumptions on Σ_1 and Σ_2 and the area-decreasing condition, the flow remains the graph of a family of maps f_t . The new monotone quantity is

$$\log \left(\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \frac{2(1 - \lambda_i^2 \lambda_j^2)}{(1 + \lambda_i^2)(1 + \lambda_j^2)} \right) , \tag{1.3}$$

where λ_i 's are the singular values of f_t .

We state the main theorems in the following.

Theorem 1.3. *Let (Σ_1, g_1) and (Σ_2, g_2) be two compact, Riemannian manifolds. Let $n = \dim \Sigma_1$, $m = \dim \Sigma_2$, and assume $n \geq m \geq 2$. Suppose that their sectional curvatures satisfy*

$$\kappa_1 \geq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \kappa_2 < \frac{2n - m - 1}{m - 1} .$$

Then, for any area-decreasing map $f : \Sigma_1 \rightarrow \Sigma_2$, the mean curvature flow in $\Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2$ starting from Γ_f exists for all time, and remains graphical. Moreover, it converges smoothly to the graph of a constant map as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

The condition $\kappa_1 \geq 1$ means that $\kappa_1(\sigma) \geq 1$ for any $\sigma \in \Lambda^2(T\Sigma_1)$.

Theorem 1.4. *Let (Σ_1, g_1) and (Σ_2, g_2) be two compact Riemannian manifolds. Assume $\dim \Sigma_1 \geq \dim \Sigma_2 \geq 2$. Suppose that their Ricci and sectional curvatures satisfy*

$$\frac{\text{Ric}_1}{g_1} \geq \frac{\text{Ric}_2}{g_2} \quad \text{and} \quad \kappa_1 + \kappa_2 > 0 .$$

Then, the same conclusion as Theorem 1.3 holds true.

The first curvature condition means that $\text{Ric}_1(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}) \geq \text{Ric}_2(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v})$ for any unit vectors $\mathbf{u} \in T\Sigma_1$ and $\mathbf{v} \in T\Sigma_2$. The second curvature condition means that $\kappa_1(\sigma_1) + \kappa_2(\sigma_2) > 0$ for any $\sigma_1 \in \Lambda^2(T\Sigma_1)$ and $\sigma_2 \in \Lambda^2(T\Sigma_2)$.

We state some corollaries of homotopic criteria which follow from the above theorems. Consider the complex projective spaces endowed with the Fubini–Study metrics, g_{FS} . Our theorems imply the following results:

Corollary 1.5. *Any area-decreasing map from $(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n, g_{\text{FS}})$ to $(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^m, g_{\text{FS}})$ with $n \geq m \geq 1$ must be homotopically trivial.*

It is known that the homotopy class of a map in $[\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n, \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^m]$ is determined by the induced map on the cohomology groups [14] for $n < m$, and by the degree for $n = m$ [12]. Since the identity map is not homotopically trivial, the area-decreasing condition in Corollary 1.5 is sharp.

Another corollary concerns about $\pi_{2n+1}(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n)$, which is isomorphic to $\pi_{2n+1}(S^{2n+1}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ by the long exact sequence induced by the Hopf fibration $S^1 \rightarrow S^{2n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$.

Corollary 1.6. *Any smooth map $f : (S^{2n+1}, g_{\text{std}}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n, g_{\text{FS}})$ with 2-dilation less than $\frac{2n}{2n+1}$ everywhere must be homotopically trivial.*

Note that the Hopf fibration $S^{2n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$ represents the generator of $\pi_{2n+1}(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n) \cong \mathbb{Z}$. It is a straightforward computation to show that the Hopf fibration $(S^{2n+1}, g_{\text{std}}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n, g_{\text{FS}})$ has singular values 0 (of multiplicity 1) and 1 (of multiplicity $2n$). With this understood, Corollary 1.6 is sharp as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we review some properties of graphical mean curvature flow. In section 3, the evolution equation of the logarithmic determinant of $S^{[2]}$ is derived. Section 4 is devoted to prove the main theorems, 1.3 and 1.4. In section 5, we explain the implications of the main theorems.

2. PRELIMINARY

Given two Riemannian manifolds (Σ_1, g_1) and (Σ_2, g_2) , consider the symmetric 2-tensor S on $\Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2$ defined by

$$S(X, Y) = \langle \pi_1(X), \pi_1(Y) \rangle - \langle \pi_2(X), \pi_2(Y) \rangle$$

for any $X, Y \in T(\Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2)$. It is not hard to see that S is parallel with respect to the Levi-Civita connection of the product metric. Let $n = \dim \Sigma_1$ and $m = \dim \Sigma_2$.

For a map $f : \Sigma_1 \rightarrow \Sigma_2$, let Γ_f be the graph of f . As in [18, section 4], one can apply the singular value decomposition at any $p \in \Sigma_1$ to $df|_p$ to find orthonormal basis $\{\mathbf{e}_i\}_{i=1}^n$ for $T_{(p, f(p))}\Gamma_f$, and $\{\mathbf{e}_\alpha\}_{\alpha=n+1}^{n+m}$ for $(T_{(p, f(p))}\Gamma_f)^\perp$ such that

$$S(\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{e}_j) = \frac{1 - \lambda_i^2}{1 + \lambda_i^2} \delta_{ij}, \quad S(\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{e}_\alpha) = \frac{-2\lambda_i}{1 + \lambda_i^2} \delta_{i(\alpha-n)}, \quad S(\mathbf{e}_\alpha, \mathbf{e}_\beta) = \frac{-(1 - \lambda_{\alpha-n}^2)}{1 + \lambda_{\alpha-n}^2} \delta_{\alpha\beta}, \quad (2.1)$$

where $\{\lambda_i\}_{i=1}^n$ are the singular values of $df|_p$. Indeed, there exist orthonormal bases $\{\mathbf{u}_i\}_{i=1}^n$ for $T_p\Sigma_1$ and $\{\mathbf{v}_j\}_{j=1}^m$ for $T_{f(p)}\Sigma_2$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{e}_i &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \lambda_i^2}} (\mathbf{u}_i + \lambda_i \mathbf{v}_i) & \text{for } i \in \{1, \dots, n\}, \\ \mathbf{e}_\alpha &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \lambda_{\alpha-n}^2}} (-\lambda_{\alpha-n} \mathbf{u}_{\alpha-n} + \mathbf{v}_{\alpha-n}) & \text{for } \alpha \in \{n+1, \dots, n+m\}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

It is convenient to set λ_i to be 0 when $i > \min\{n, m\}$.

Suppose that $\{\Gamma_{f_t}\}$ is a mean curvature flow. Let F_t be the embedding $x \in \Sigma_1 \rightarrow (x, f_t(x)) \in \Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2$. Consider the tensor $F_t^* S$ on Σ_1 , and denote its components by S_{ij} . From [18, (3.7)], $F_t^* S$ obeys the following equation along the mean curvature flow:

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{d}{dt} - \Delta\right) S_{ij} &= R_{kik\alpha} S_{\alpha j} + R_{kj k\alpha} S_{\alpha i} \\ &\quad + h_{\alpha k \ell} h_{\alpha k i} S_{\ell j} + h_{\alpha k \ell} h_{\alpha k j} S_{\ell i} - 2h_{\alpha k i} h_{\beta k j} S_{\alpha \beta}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

which is in terms of an evolving orthonormal frame. Here, the Laplacian Δ is the rough Laplacian for 2-tensors of $\Gamma_{f(t)}$, and $R_{kik\alpha} = \langle R(\mathbf{e}_k, \mathbf{e}_\alpha)\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{e}_k \rangle$ is the coefficient of the Riemann curvature tensor of $\Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2$.

The (spatial) gradient of $F_t^* S$ is computed on [18, p.1121]:

$$S_{ij;k} = h_{\alpha k i} S_{\alpha j} + h_{\alpha k j} S_{\alpha i}, \quad (2.4)$$

where $h_{\alpha ki} = \langle \bar{\nabla}_{\mathbf{e}_k} \mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{e}_\alpha \rangle$ is the coefficient of the second fundamental form of $\Gamma_{f(t)}$ in $\Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2$.

In terms of the frame $\{\mathbf{e}_i\}_{i=1}^n$ (2.1), $F_t^* S$ is diagonal, and

$$(S_{ii} + S_{jj}) = \frac{2(1 - \lambda_i^2 \lambda_j^2)}{(1 + \lambda_i^2)(1 + \lambda_j^2)}.$$

It follows that the 2-positivity¹ of $F_t^* S$ is equivalent to that $f(t)$ being area-decreasing.

3. THE EVOLUTION EQUATION OF $\log(\det S^{[2]})$

In [18, section 5], one introduces a tensor $S^{[2]}$ from $F_t^* S$, which can be viewed as a symmetric endomorphism on $\Lambda^2(T^* \Gamma_f)$. With respect to an orthonormal frame,

$$S_{(ij)(k\ell)}^{[2]} = S_{ik} \delta_{j\ell} + S_{j\ell} \delta_{ik} - S_{i\ell} \delta_{jk} - S_{jk} \delta_{i\ell} \quad (3.1)$$

for any $i < j$ and $k < \ell$.

Suppose that at a space-time point p , S is diagonal, $S_{ij}|_p = S_{ii} \delta_{ij}$. As in (2.1), at this point

$$S_{ii} = \frac{1 - \lambda_i^2}{1 + \lambda_i^2}, \quad \text{and set } C_{ii} = \frac{2\lambda_i}{1 + \lambda_i^2}. \quad (3.2)$$

Note that $S_{ii}^2 + C_{ii}^2 = 1$. $S^{[2]}$ being positive is equivalent to $S_{ii} + S_{jj} > 0$ for $i < j$. We need the following calculation lemma for the evolution of $S_{ii} + S_{jj}$, $i < j$.

Lemma 3.1. *Suppose that $S^{[2]}$ is positive definite. For any i, j with $1 \leq i < j \leq n$,*

$$\begin{aligned} & (S_{ii} + S_{jj})^{-1} \left(\frac{d}{dt} - \Delta \right) (S_{ii} + S_{jj}) + \frac{1}{2} (S_{ii} + S_{jj})^{-2} |\nabla(S_{ii} + S_{jj})|^2 \\ & \geq 2 \sum_k \left[h_{(n+j)ki}^2 + h_{(n+i)kj}^2 + h_{(n+i)ki}^2 + h_{(n+j)kj}^2 + \sum_{\ell > n} \left(h_{(n+\ell)ki}^2 + h_{(n+\ell)kj}^2 \right) \right] \\ & \quad - 2(S_{ii} + S_{jj})^{-1} \sum_k [R_{kik(n+i)} C_{ii} + R_{kjk(n+j)} C_{jj}] \\ & \quad + 2(S_{ii} + S_{jj})^{-2} \sum_k (h_{(n+i)ki} C_{jj} + h_{(n+j)kj} C_{ii})^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

In the above expression, the summation index k is from 1 to n .

Proof. By (2.3) and (2.1),

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{d}{dt} - \Delta \right) S_{ii} &= 2 \sum_{k, \alpha} R_{kik\alpha} S_{\alpha i} + 2 \sum_{\alpha, k} h_{\alpha ki}^2 S_{ii} - 2 \sum_{\alpha, k} h_{\alpha ki}^2 S_{\alpha\alpha} \\ &= -2 \sum_k R_{kik(n+i)} C_{ii} + 2 \sum_{k, \ell} h_{(n+\ell)ki}^2 S_{ii} + 2 \sum_{k, \ell} h_{(n+\ell)ki}^2 S_{\ell\ell} \end{aligned}$$

¹The sum of any two eigenvalues is positive.

where k is from 1 to n , and ℓ is from 1 to m . It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{d}{dt} - \Delta\right)(S_{ii} + S_{jj}) &= -2 \sum_k [R_{kik(n+i)}C_{ii} + R_{kjk(n+j)}C_{jj}] \\ &\quad + 2 \sum_{k,\ell} h_{(n+\ell)ki}^2(S_{ii} + S_{\ell\ell}) + 2 \sum_{k,\ell} h_{(n+\ell)kj}^2(S_{jj} + S_{\ell\ell}) . \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by (2.4) and (2.1),

$$|\nabla(S_{ii} + S_{jj})|^2 = 4 \sum_k (h_{(n+i)ki}C_{ii} + h_{(n+j)kj}C_{jj})^2 . \quad (3.4)$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} &(S_{ii} + S_{jj})^{-1} \left(\frac{d}{dt} - \Delta\right)(S_{ii} + S_{jj}) + \frac{1}{2}(S_{ii} + S_{jj})^{-2} |\nabla(S_{ii} + S_{jj})|^2 \\ &= -2(S_{ii} + S_{jj})^{-1} \sum_k [R_{kik(n+i)}C_{ii} + R_{kjk(n+j)}C_{jj}] + 2(S_{ii} + S_{jj})^{-1} [\text{I} + \text{II}] , \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

where

$$\text{I} = \sum_{k,\ell} h_{(n+\ell)ki}^2(S_{ii} + S_{\ell\ell}) + \sum_{k,\ell} h_{(n+\ell)kj}^2(S_{jj} + S_{\ell\ell}) ,$$

and

$$\text{II} = (S_{ii} + S_{jj})^{-1} \sum_k [h_{(n+i)ki}C_{ii} + h_{(n+j)kj}C_{jj}]^2 .$$

We claim that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{I} + \text{II} &\geq (S_{ii} + S_{jj}) \sum_k \left[h_{(n+j)ki}^2 + h_{(n+i)kj}^2 + h_{(n+i)ki}^2 + h_{(n+j)kj}^2 + \sum_{\ell>n} (h_{(n+\ell)ki}^2 + h_{(n+\ell)kj}^2) \right] \\ &\quad + (S_{ii} + S_{jj})^{-1} \sum_k (h_{(n+i)ki}C_{jj} + h_{(n+j)kj}C_{ii})^2 , \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

which proves the lemma.

By taking out $\ell = i, j$, the term I can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} \text{I} &= \text{I}' + \sum_k [h_{(n+j)ki}^2 + h_{(n+i)kj}^2] (S_{ii} + S_{jj}) \\ &\quad + \sum_k \sum_{\ell \neq \{i,j\}} h_{(n+\ell)ki}^2(S_{ii} + S_{\ell\ell}) + \sum_k \sum_{\ell \neq \{i,j\}} h_{(n+\ell)kj}^2(S_{jj} + S_{\ell\ell}) , \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

where

$$\text{I}' = 2 \sum_k [h_{(n+i)ki}^2 S_{ii} + h_{(n+j)kj}^2 S_{jj}] .$$

If $m > n$, consider the $\ell > n$ terms in the last two summands (both are non-negative due to our assumption) in (3.7). When $\ell > n$, $S_{\ell\ell} = 1$. It follows that $S_{ii} + S_{\ell\ell} \geq S_{ii} + S_{jj}$, and $S_{jj} + S_{\ell\ell} \geq S_{ii} + S_{jj}$.

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_k \sum_{\ell > n} h_{(n+\ell)ki}^2 (S_{ii} + S_{\ell\ell}) + \sum_k \sum_{\ell > n} h_{(n+\ell)kj}^2 (S_{jj} + S_{\ell\ell}) \\ & \geq (S_{ii} + S_{jj}) \sum_k \sum_{\ell > n} \left[h_{(n+\ell)ki}^2 + h_{(n+\ell)kj}^2 \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\text{I} \geq \text{I}' + (S_{ii} + S_{jj}) \sum_k \left[h_{(n+j)ki}^2 + h_{(n+i)kj}^2 + \sum_{\ell > n} (h_{(n+\ell)ki}^2 + h_{(n+\ell)kj}^2) \right].$$

Grouping I' and II yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{I}' + \text{II} \\ & = 2 \sum_k \left[h_{(n+i)ki}^2 S_{ii} + h_{(n+j)kj}^2 S_{jj} \right] + (S_{ii} + S_{jj})^{-1} \sum_k \left[h_{(n+i)ki} C_{ii} + h_{(n+j)kj} C_{jj} \right]^2 \\ & = (S_{ii} + S_{jj}) \sum_k \left[h_{(n+i)ki}^2 + h_{(n+j)kj}^2 \right] + (S_{ii} + S_{jj})^{-1} \sum_k \left[h_{(n+i)ki} C_{jj} + h_{(n+j)kj} C_{ii} \right]^2, \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from the identity

$$2S_{ii} + (S_{ii} + S_{jj})^{-1} C_{ii}^2 = (S_{ii} + S_{jj}) + (S_{ii} + S_{jj})^{-1} C_{jj}^2.$$

Putting these together proves the claim (3.6). \square

We are now ready to derive the evolution equation of $\log(\det S^{[2]})$.

Theorem 3.2. *Suppose that $S^{[2]}$ is positive definite. The function $\log(\det S^{[2]})$ satisfies*

$$\left(\frac{d}{dt} - \Delta \right) \log(\det S^{[2]}) \geq 2|A|^2 + 2(n-2) \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} \sum_{1 \leq k \leq n} h_{(n+i)ki}^2 + 2\mathcal{R}_S + 2\mathcal{Q}_S \quad (3.8)$$

where

$$\mathcal{R}_S = - \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (S_{ii} + S_{jj})^{-1} \sum_{1 \leq k \leq n} \left[R_{kik(n+i)} C_{ii} + R_{kjk(n+j)} C_{jj} \right] \quad (3.9)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Q}_S & = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (S_{ii} + S_{jj})^{-2} \sum_{1 \leq k \leq n} \left(h_{(n+i)ki} C_{ii} + h_{(n+j)kj} C_{jj} \right)^2 \\ & \quad + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (S_{ii} + S_{jj})^{-2} \sum_{1 \leq k \leq n} \left(h_{(n+i)ki} C_{jj} + h_{(n+j)kj} C_{ii} \right)^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

In particular, $\mathcal{Q}_S \geq 0$.

Proof. Suppose that $S^{[2]}$ is positive definite, and denote its inverse by Q . Note that $Q^{(ij)(k\ell)}|_p = (S_{ii} + S_{jj})^{-1} \delta^{ik} \delta^{j\ell}$. Consider the function $\log \det(S^{[2]})$. At p , this quantity is $\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \log(S_{ii} + S_{jj})$. For brevity, write A, B for the indices of $S^{[2]}$. We compute

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{d}{dt} - \Delta\right) \log(\det S^{[2]}) &= Q^{AB} \left[\left(\frac{d}{dt} - \Delta\right) S_{AB}^{[2]} \right] - (\nabla_\ell Q^{AB})(\nabla_\ell S_{AB}^{[2]}) \\ &= Q^{AB} \left[\left(\frac{d}{dt} - \Delta\right) S_{AB}^{[2]} \right] + Q^{AC} (\nabla_\ell S_{CD}^{[2]}) Q^{DB} (\nabla_\ell S_{AB}^{[2]}) \\ &\stackrel{\text{at } p}{=} \sum_A Q^{AA} \left[\left(\frac{d}{dt} - \Delta\right) S_{AA}^{[2]} \right] + \sum_{A,B} Q^{AA} Q^{BB} \left| \nabla S_{AB}^{[2]} \right|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

The first term on the last line of (3.11) is

$$\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (S_{ii} + S_{jj})^{-1} \left(\frac{d}{dt} - \Delta\right) (S_{ii} + S_{jj}). \quad (3.12)$$

For the second term, it follows from the definition (3.1) that $S_{(ij)(k\ell)}^{[2]} \equiv 0$ when i, j, k, ℓ are all distinct. If i, j, k are all distinct, $S_{AB}^{[2]} = \pm S_{k\ell}$ for $A = (ij)$ or (ji) , and $B = (ik)$ or (ki) . With this understood, the second term of (3.11) becomes

$$\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (S_{ii} + S_{jj})^{-2} |\nabla(S_{ii} + S_{jj})|^2 + 2 \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq j < k \leq n \\ j \neq i, k \neq i}} (S_{ii} + S_{jj})^{-1} (S_{ii} + S_{kk})^{-1} |\nabla S_{jk}|^2. \quad (3.13)$$

Since the last summation is taken over $j < k$, there is a coefficient 2.

With (3.11), (3.12) and (3.13), one finds that

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\frac{d}{dt} - \Delta\right) \log(\det S^{[2]}) \\ &\geq \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \left[(S_{ii} + S_{jj})^{-1} \left(\frac{d}{dt} - \Delta\right) (S_{ii} + S_{jj}) + \frac{1}{2} (S_{ii} + S_{jj})^{-2} |\nabla(S_{ii} + S_{jj})|^2 \right] \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (S_{ii} + S_{jj})^{-2} |\nabla(S_{ii} + S_{jj})|^2. \end{aligned}$$

By invoking Lemma 3.1 and (3.4),

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\frac{d}{dt} - \Delta\right) \log(\det(S^{[2]})) - 2\mathcal{R}_S - 2\mathcal{Q}_S \\ &\geq 2 \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \sum_{1 \leq k \leq n} \left[h_{(n+j)ki}^2 + h_{(n+i)kj}^2 + h_{(n+i)ki}^2 + h_{(n+j)kj}^2 + 2 \sum_{\ell > n} \left(h_{(n+\ell)ki}^2 + h_{(n+\ell)kj}^2 \right) \right] \\ &\geq 2|A|^2 + 2(n-2) \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} \sum_{1 \leq k \leq n} h_{(n+i)ki}^2. \end{aligned}$$

It finishes the proof of this theorem. \square

3.1. **Some Estimates.** Consider the function

$$\varphi(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) = \log \left(\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \frac{1 - \lambda_i^2 \lambda_j^2}{(1 + \lambda_i^2)(1 + \lambda_j^2)} \right) \quad (3.14)$$

defined on where $\lambda_i^2 \lambda_j^2 < 1$ for any $i \neq j$. Since $0 < \frac{1 - \lambda_i^2 \lambda_j^2}{(1 + \lambda_i^2)(1 + \lambda_j^2)} \leq 1$, φ always takes value within $(-\infty, 0]$. It turns out that one can conclude the upper bound of λ_i^2 from the lower bound of φ .

Lemma 3.3. *Consider the function $\varphi(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ defined by (3.14) on $\{(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n : \lambda_i^2 \lambda_j^2 < 1 \text{ for any } i \neq j\}$. Suppose that $\varphi(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \geq -\delta$ for some $\delta > 0$. Then,*

- (i) $\lambda_i^2 \leq e^\delta - 1$ for all i ;
- (ii) $\lambda_i^2 \lambda_j^2 \leq (e^\delta - 1)/(e^\delta + 1)$ for any $i \neq j$;
- (iii) there exists $c_1 = c_1(n, \delta) > 0$ such that $|\varphi(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)| \leq c_1 \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^2$.

Proof. It follows from $\varphi \geq -\delta$ that

$$\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \frac{1 - \lambda_i^2 \lambda_j^2}{(1 + \lambda_i^2)(1 + \lambda_j^2)} \geq e^{-\delta}.$$

Since $0 < 1 - \lambda_i^2 \lambda_j^2 \leq 1$ and $1 + \lambda_i^2 \geq 1$ for every $i \neq j$, one finds that $e^\delta (1 - \lambda_i^2 \lambda_j^2) \geq (1 + \lambda_i^2)(1 + \lambda_j^2)$ for every $i \neq j$. Hence,

$$e^\delta - 1 \geq \lambda_i^2 + \lambda_j^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{e^\delta - 1}{e^\delta + 1} \geq \lambda_i^2 \lambda_j^2. \quad (3.15)$$

Since $-\log(1 - x) \leq \frac{x}{1-x}$ for $0 \leq x < 1$ and $\log(1 + x) \leq x$ for $x > -1$,

$$\begin{aligned} -\varphi(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) &= \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} [-\log(1 - \lambda_i^2 \lambda_j^2) + \log(1 + \lambda_i^2) + \log(1 + \lambda_j^2)] \\ &\leq \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \left[\frac{\lambda_i^2 \lambda_j^2}{1 - \lambda_i^2 \lambda_j^2} + \lambda_i^2 + \lambda_j^2 \right] \\ &\leq c_1(n, \delta) \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^2 \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality uses (3.15). It finishes the proof of this lemma. \square

For an area-decreasing map f , $S^{[2]}$ on Γ_f is positive definite. In terms of the singular values (2.1),

$$\log(\det S^{[2]}) = \frac{n(n-1)}{2} \log 2 + \log \left(\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \frac{2(1 - \lambda_i^2 \lambda_j^2)}{(1 + \lambda_i^2)(1 + \lambda_j^2)} \right).$$

The above discussion can be used to bound the gradient of $\log(\det S^{[2]})$.

Lemma 3.4. *There exists a constant $c_2 = c_2(n) > 0$ with the following significance. For an area-decreasing map $f : \Sigma_1 \rightarrow \Sigma_2$, suppose that at a point $p \in \Sigma_1$ there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that*

$$\log(\det S^{[2]}) - \frac{n(n-1)}{2} \log 2 \geq -\delta \quad \text{at } p .$$

Then, $|\nabla \log(\det S^{[2]})|^2 \leq c_2 e^{4\delta} (e^\delta - 1) |A|^2$ at p .

Proof. Since $\nabla \det S^{[2]} = (\det S^{[2]})(Q^{AB} \nabla S_{AB}^{[2]})$ and $Q^{AB}|_p = (S_{ii} + S_{jj})^{-1}$ for $A = B = (ij)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_k \log(\det S^{[2]}) &\stackrel{\text{at } p}{=} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (S_{ii} + S_{jj})^{-1} (S_{ii;k} + S_{jj;k}) \\ &= -2 \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \frac{(1 + \lambda_i^2)(1 + \lambda_j^2)}{(1 - \lambda_i^2 \lambda_j^2)} \left(h_{(n+i)ki} \frac{\lambda_i}{1 + \lambda_i^2} + h_{(n+j)kj} \frac{\lambda_j}{1 + \lambda_j^2} \right) . \end{aligned}$$

It together with Lemma 3.3 (i) and (ii) implies that

$$\left| \nabla_k \log(\det S^{[2]}) \right| \leq n(n-1) e^\delta (1 + e^\delta) \sqrt{e^\delta - 1} |A| .$$

This lemma follows from this estimate. \square

4. PROOF OF MAIN THEOREMS

This section is devoted to prove Theorem 1.3 and 1.4. If f_t is area-decreasing, Theorem 3.2 implies that

$$\left(\frac{d}{dt} - \Delta \right) \log(\det S^{[2]}) \geq 2|A|^2 + 2\mathcal{R}_S . \quad (4.1)$$

We are going to express \mathcal{R}_S (3.9) in terms of the curvatures of g_1 and g_2 , and the singular values of f_t . Due to (2.2), the ambient curvature $R_{kik(n+i)}$ is

$$\begin{aligned} \langle R(\mathbf{e}_k, \mathbf{e}_{n+i})\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{e}_k \rangle &= \langle R_{g_1}(\pi_1(\mathbf{e}_k), \pi_1(\mathbf{e}_{n+k}))(\pi_1(\mathbf{e}_i)), \pi_1(\mathbf{e}_k) \rangle \\ &\quad + \langle R_{g_2}(\pi_2(\mathbf{e}_k), \pi_2(\mathbf{e}_{n+k}))(\pi_2(\mathbf{e}_i)), \pi_2(\mathbf{e}_k) \rangle \\ &= -\frac{\lambda_i}{1 + \lambda_i^2} \left(\frac{1}{1 + \lambda_k^2} \kappa_1(i, k) - \frac{\lambda_k^2}{1 + \lambda_k^2} \kappa_2(i, k) \right) \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

where $\kappa_1(i, k)$ is the sectional curvature of $\mathbf{u}_i \wedge \mathbf{u}_k$, and $\kappa_2(i, k)$ is the sectional curvature of $\mathbf{v}_i \wedge \mathbf{v}_k$. With (3.2),

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_S &= \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (S_{ii} + S_{jj})^{-1} \left[\frac{2\lambda_i^2}{(1 + \lambda_i^2)^2} \sum_{1 \leq k \leq n} \left(\frac{1}{1 + \lambda_k^2} \kappa_1(i, k) - \frac{\lambda_k^2}{1 + \lambda_k^2} \kappa_2(i, k) \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{2\lambda_j^2}{(1 + \lambda_j^2)^2} \sum_{1 \leq k \leq n} \left(\frac{1}{1 + \lambda_k^2} \kappa_1(j, k) - \frac{\lambda_k^2}{1 + \lambda_k^2} \kappa_2(j, k) \right) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

4.1. Proof of Theorem 1.3.

Re-group the curvature term. Suppose that $n \geq m \geq 2$, and

$$\kappa_1 \geq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \kappa_2 \leq \tau$$

for some $\tau > 0$. It follows that the curvature term (4.3) in (4.1) obeys

$$\mathcal{R}_S \geq \sum_{i < j} (S_{ii} + S_{jj})^{-1} \left[\frac{\lambda_i^2}{(1 + \lambda_i^2)^2} P_i + \frac{\lambda_j^2}{(1 + \lambda_j^2)^2} P_j \right],$$

where

$$P_i = 2 \sum_{1 \leq k \leq n, k \neq i} \left(\frac{1}{1 + \lambda_k^2} - \frac{\lambda_k^2}{1 + \lambda_k^2} \tau \right).$$

Note that P_i can be rewritten as

$$P_i = 2 \sum_{1 \leq k \leq n, k \neq i} ((1 + S_{kk}) - (1 - S_{kk})\tau).$$

Since $S_{kk} = 1$ for $k \geq \min\{n, m\} = m$, one finds that

$$P_i = (2n - m - 1) - (m - 1)\tau + (1 + \tau) \left(-S_{ii} + \sum_{1 \leq k \leq m} S_{kk} \right).$$

When $m > 2$, $-S_{ii} + \sum_{1 \leq k \leq m} S_{kk} > 0$, and $P_i \geq (2n - m - 1) - (m - 1)\tau$. When $m = 2$, we claim that

$$\sum_{i < j} (S_{ii} + S_{jj})^{-1} \left[\frac{\lambda_i^2}{(1 + \lambda_i^2)^2} (-S_{ii} + \sum_{1 \leq k \leq m} S_{kk}) + \frac{\lambda_j^2}{(1 + \lambda_j^2)^2} (-S_{jj} + \sum_{1 \leq k \leq m} S_{kk}) \right] > 0$$

In either case, we have

$$\mathcal{R}_S \geq \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (S_{ii} + S_{jj})^{-1} \left[\frac{\lambda_i^2}{(1 + \lambda_i^2)^2} + \frac{\lambda_j^2}{(1 + \lambda_j^2)^2} \right] ((2n - m - 1) - (m - 1)\tau). \quad (4.4)$$

The proof of the claim is based on direct computations. When $m = 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq 2} (S_{ii} + S_{jj})^{-1} \left[\frac{\lambda_i^2}{(1 + \lambda_i^2)^2} \left(-S_{ii} + \sum_{1 \leq k \leq 2} S_{kk} \right) + \frac{\lambda_j^2}{(1 + \lambda_j^2)^2} \left(-S_{jj} + \sum_{1 \leq k \leq 2} S_{kk} \right) \right] \\ &= (S_{11} + S_{22})^{-1} \left[\frac{\lambda_1^2}{(1 + \lambda_1^2)^2} \frac{1 - \lambda_2^2}{1 + \lambda_2^2} + \frac{\lambda_2^2}{(1 + \lambda_2^2)^2} \frac{1 - \lambda_1^2}{1 + \lambda_1^2} \right] \\ &= (S_{11} + S_{22})^{-1} \frac{(\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2)(1 - \lambda_1^2 \lambda_2^2)}{(1 + \lambda_1^2)^2 (1 + \lambda_2^2)^2} \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{1 \leq i \leq 2} (S_{ii} + 1)^{-1} \frac{\lambda_i^2}{(1 + \lambda_i^2)^2} \left(-S_{ii} + \sum_{1 \leq k \leq 2} S_{kk} \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\lambda_1^2}{1 + \lambda_1^2} \frac{1 - \lambda_2^2}{1 + \lambda_2^2} + \frac{\lambda_2^2}{1 + \lambda_2^2} \frac{1 - \lambda_1^2}{1 + \lambda_1^2} \right) \\
&= \frac{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)^2 + 2\lambda_1\lambda_2(1 - \lambda_1\lambda_2)}{2(1 + \lambda_1^2)(1 + \lambda_2^2)} \geq 0 .
\end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\Phi = \log \det(S^{[2]}) - \frac{n(n-1)}{2} \log 2 ,$$

which is the log det of $(\frac{1}{2}F_t^*S)^{[2]}$. In terms of singular values, Φ is equal to (3.14). According to (4.1) and (4.4),

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(\frac{d}{dt} - \Delta \right) \Phi - 2|A|^2 \\
& \geq \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (S_{ii} + S_{jj})^{-1} \left[\frac{\lambda_i^2}{(1 + \lambda_i^2)^2} + \frac{\lambda_j^2}{(1 + \lambda_j^2)^2} \right] ((2n - m - 1) - (m - 1)\tau)
\end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

as long as f_t is area-decreasing.

Long-Time Existence. The assumption of Theorem 1.3 implies that τ can be chosen to be less than $\frac{2n-m-1}{m-1}$, and $\Phi \geq -\delta_0$ for some $\delta_0 > 0$ at $t = 0$.

As long as f_t is area-decreasing, it follows from (4.5) that

$$\left(\frac{d}{dt} - \Delta \right) \Phi \geq 2|A|^2 \geq 0 . \tag{4.6}$$

Let

$$T_0 = \sup\{T \geq 0 : \Gamma_{f_t} \text{ exists on } [0, T) \text{ and } f_t \text{ is area-decreasing on } [0, T)\} .$$

By the maximum principle on (4.6), $\min_{\Gamma_{f_t}} \Phi$ is non-decreasing in t , and thus $\Phi \geq -\delta_0$ for any $t \in [0, T_0)$, it follows from Lemma 3.3 (i) and (ii) that being graphical and being area-decreasing is preserved for $t \in [0, T_0)$. Moreover, the quantitative bounds, Lemma 3.3 (i) and (ii), imply that T_0 must be the maximal existence time of the mean curvature flow.

Thus, (4.6) holds as long as the flow exists. With such an inequality, one can perform the blow-up argument on Huisken's backward heat kernel, and apply White's regularity theorem to conclude that there is no finite time singularity. This argument is the same as [20, Theorem A]; see also [10, p.5751]. It will be omitted here.

Smooth Convergence to a Constant Map. The first task is to study $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \Phi$. Since $\Phi \geq -\delta_0$ for all t , Lemma 3.3 (i) and (ii) implies that there exists $c_3 = c_3(n, m, \tau, \delta_0) > 0$ such that the right hand side of (4.5) is no less than $c_3 \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^2$. Due to Lemma 3.3 (iii), there exists $c_1 = c_1(n, \delta_0)$ such that (4.5) becomes

$$\left(\frac{d}{dt} - \Delta\right)\Phi \geq 2|A|^2 - \frac{c_3}{c_1}\Phi. \quad (4.7)$$

By the maximum principle, $0 \geq \Phi \geq -\delta_0 \exp(-\frac{c_3}{c_1}t)$ on Γ_{f_t} for any $t \geq 0$. Therefore, $\Phi \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. With Lemma 3.3 (i), one finds that $\lambda_i \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. In other words, f_t converges to a constant map in the level of first order derivative.

The next step is to derive the equation for $\exp(\Phi)$, which can be used to bound the second fundamental form. Recall that Φ and $\log(\det S^{[2]})$ differs by a constant, and hence $\nabla\Phi = \nabla\log(\det S^{[2]})$. Let $\delta(t) = \delta_0 \exp(-\frac{c_3}{c_1}t)$. According to Lemma 3.4,

$$\left|\nabla\log(\det S^{[2]})\right|^2 \leq c_2 e^{4\delta(t)}(e^{\delta(t)} - 1)|A|^2$$

on Γ_{f_t} for any $t \geq 0$. Therefore, there exists a $T_1 \geq 1$ such that $|\nabla\log(\det S^{[2]})|^2 \leq |A|^2$ whenever $t \geq T_1$. With (4.6),

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{d}{dt} - \Delta\right)\exp(\Phi) &= \exp(\Phi) \left[\left(\frac{d}{dt} - \Delta\right)\Phi - \left|\nabla\log(\det S^{[2]})\right|^2\right] \\ &\geq \exp(\Phi)|A|^2 \end{aligned}$$

whenever $t \geq T_1$. By the same argument as in [10, p.5753-5754], this inequality can be used to prove that $\max_{\Gamma_t} |A|^2 \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. With $\lambda_i \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$, $f_t \rightarrow$ constant map as $t \rightarrow \infty$. This finishes the proof of Theorem 1.3.

4.2. Proof of Theorem 1.4. The proof of Theorem 1.4 is completely parallel to that of Theorem 1.3. We only emphasize how to derive equations analogous to (4.5), (4.6) and (4.7).

Similar to (4.2), write $\text{Ric}_1(i, j)$ for the Ricci curvature of $(\mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{u}_j)$, and $\text{Ric}_2(i, j)$ for the Ricci curvature of $(\mathbf{v}_i, \mathbf{v}_j)$, where $\{\mathbf{u}_i\}$ and $\{\mathbf{v}_i\}$ are the bases given by (2.2). When $k > m$, set $\kappa_2(k, i) = 0$ and $\text{Ric}_2(k, i) = 0$ for any i .

Rewrite (4.3) as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{2\lambda_i^2}{(1 + \lambda_i^2)^2} \sum_{1 \leq k \leq n} \left(\frac{1}{1 + \lambda_k^2} \kappa_1(i, k) - \frac{\lambda_k^2}{1 + \lambda_k^2} \kappa_2(i, k) \right) \\ &= \frac{\lambda_i^2}{(1 + \lambda_i^2)^2} \sum_{1 \leq k \leq n} [(1 + S_{kk}) \kappa_1(i, k) + (-1 + S_{kk}) \kappa_2(i, k)] \\ &= \frac{\lambda_i^2}{(1 + \lambda_i^2)^2} \left[(\text{Ric}_1(i, i) - \text{Ric}_2(i, i)) + \sum_{1 \leq k \leq n} S_{kk}(\kappa_1(i, k) + \kappa_2(i, k)) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\mathcal{R}_S = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (S_{ii} + S_{jj})^{-1} \left[\frac{\lambda_i^2}{(1 + \lambda_i^2)^2} (\text{Ric}_1(i, i) - \text{Ric}_2(i, i)) \right. \\ \left. + \frac{\lambda_j^2}{(1 + \lambda_j^2)^2} (\text{Ric}_1(j, j) - \text{Ric}_2(j, j)) \right] \quad (4.8)$$

$$+ \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (S_{ii} + S_{jj})^{-1} \left[\frac{\lambda_i^2}{(1 + \lambda_i^2)^2} \sum_{1 \leq k \leq n} S_{kk} (\kappa_1(i, k) + \kappa_2(i, k)) \right. \\ \left. + \frac{\lambda_j^2}{(1 + \lambda_j^2)^2} \sum_{1 \leq k \leq n} S_{kk} (\kappa_1(j, k) + \kappa_2(j, k)) \right] . \quad (4.9)$$

Re-group (4.9) as

$$\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \sum_{1 \leq k \leq n} W_{ijk} = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (W_{iji} + W_{ijj}) + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq n \\ k \neq \{i, j\}}} W_{ijk} \\ = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (W_{iji} + W_{ijj}) + \sum_{1 \leq i < j < k \leq n} (W_{ijk} + W_{jki} + W_{ikj}) ,$$

where W_{ijk} is

$$(S_{ii} + S_{jj})^{-1} \left[\frac{\lambda_i^2}{(1 + \lambda_i^2)^2} S_{kk} (\kappa_1(i, k) + \kappa_2(i, k)) + \frac{\lambda_j^2}{(1 + \lambda_j^2)^2} S_{kk} (\kappa_1(j, k) + \kappa_2(j, k)) \right] .$$

We compute

$$W_{iji} + W_{ijj} \\ = (S_{ii} + S_{jj})^{-1} \left[\frac{\lambda_j^2}{(1 + \lambda_j^2)^2} S_{ii} (\kappa_1(j, i) + \kappa_2(j, i)) + \frac{\lambda_i^2}{(1 + \lambda_i^2)^2} S_{jj} (\kappa_1(i, j) + \kappa_2(i, j)) \right] \\ = \frac{\lambda_i^2 + \lambda_j^2}{2(1 + \lambda_i^2)(1 + \lambda_j^2)} (\kappa_1(i, j) + \kappa_2(i, j)) ,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& W_{ijk} + W_{jki} + W_{ikj} \\
&= (S_{ii} + S_{jj})^{-1} \left[\frac{\lambda_i^2}{(1 + \lambda_i^2)^2} S_{kk}(\kappa_1(i, k) + \kappa_2(i, k)) + \frac{\lambda_j^2}{(1 + \lambda_j^2)^2} S_{kk}(\kappa_1(j, k) + \kappa_2(j, k)) \right] \\
&+ (S_{jj} + S_{kk})^{-1} \left[\frac{\lambda_j^2}{(1 + \lambda_j^2)^2} S_{ii}(\kappa_1(j, i) + \kappa_2(j, i)) + \frac{\lambda_k^2}{(1 + \lambda_k^2)^2} S_{ii}(\kappa_1(k, i) + \kappa_2(k, i)) \right] \\
&+ (S_{ii} + S_{kk})^{-1} \left[\frac{\lambda_i^2}{(1 + \lambda_i^2)^2} S_{jj}(\kappa_1(i, j) + \kappa_2(i, j)) + \frac{\lambda_k^2}{(1 + \lambda_k^2)^2} S_{jj}(\kappa_1(k, j) + \kappa_2(k, j)) \right] \\
&= V_{ijk}(\kappa_1(i, j) + \kappa_2(i, j)) + V_{jki}(\kappa_1(j, k) + \kappa_2(j, k)) + V_{ikj}(\kappa_1(i, k) + \kappa_2(i, k))
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
V_{ijk} &= \frac{(1 + \lambda_k^2)(\lambda_i^2 + \lambda_j^2 - 2\lambda_i^2\lambda_j^2 - 2\lambda_i^2\lambda_j^2\lambda_k^2 + \lambda_i^4\lambda_j^2\lambda_k^2 + \lambda_i^2\lambda_j^4\lambda_k^2)}{2(1 + \lambda_i^2)(1 + \lambda_j^2)(1 - \lambda_i^2\lambda_k^2)(1 - \lambda_j^2\lambda_k^2)} \\
&= \frac{(1 + \lambda_k^2)}{2(1 + \lambda_i^2)(1 + \lambda_j^2)(1 - \lambda_i^2\lambda_k^2)(1 - \lambda_j^2\lambda_k^2)} [(\lambda_i - \lambda_j)^2(1 + \lambda_i^2\lambda_j^2\lambda_k^2) \\
&\quad + 2\lambda_i\lambda_j(1 - \lambda_i\lambda_j\lambda_k^2)(1 - \lambda_i\lambda_j)] .
\end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

In particular, $V_{ijk} \geq 0$ provided that f_t is area-decreasing.

It follows that under the assumption of Theorem 1.4,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{R}_S &= \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \left[\frac{\lambda_i^2(1 + \lambda_j^2)}{2(1 + \lambda_i^2)(1 - \lambda_i^2\lambda_j^2)} (\text{Ric}_1(i, i) - \text{Ric}_2(i, i)) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{\lambda_j^2(1 + \lambda_i^2)}{2(1 + \lambda_j^2)(1 - \lambda_i^2\lambda_j^2)} (\text{Ric}_1(j, j) - \text{Ric}_2(j, j)) \right] \\
&+ \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \frac{\lambda_i^2 + \lambda_j^2}{2(1 + \lambda_i^2)(1 + \lambda_j^2)} (\kappa_1(i, j) + \kappa_2(i, j)) \\
&+ \sum_{1 \leq i < j < k \leq n} [V_{ijk}(\kappa_1(i, j) + \kappa_2(i, j)) + V_{jki}(\kappa_1(j, k) + \kappa_2(j, k)) \\
&\quad + V_{ikj}(\kappa_1(i, k) + \kappa_2(i, k))] \\
&\geq \tau \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \frac{\lambda_i^2 + \lambda_j^2}{2(1 + \lambda_i^2)(1 + \lambda_j^2)} .
\end{aligned}$$

for some $\tau > 0$. By (4.1),

$$\left(\frac{d}{dt} - \Delta \right) \log(\det S^{[2]}) \geq 2|A|^2 + \tau \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \frac{\lambda_i^2 + \lambda_j^2}{(1 + \lambda_i^2)(1 + \lambda_j^2)}$$

provided that f_t is area-decreasing. It immediate leads to (4.6). With the same argument by using Lemma 3.3, one can obtain (4.7).

5. IMPLICATIONS AND EXAMPLES

5.1. Dilations. For a map $f : (\Sigma_1, g_1) \rightarrow (\Sigma_2, g_2)$, pick any point p , and let λ_i be the singular value of $df|_p$. For any $\rho > 0$, denote by $\lambda_i(\rho)$ the singular value of $df|_p : (T_p\Sigma_1, g_1) \rightarrow (T_{f(p)}\Sigma_2, \rho^2 g_2)$. It is easy to see that

$$\lambda_i(\rho) = \rho \lambda_i . \tag{5.1}$$

The effect of the dilation on the sectional curvature is

$$\kappa_{\rho^2 g_2} = \rho^{-2} \kappa_{g_2} . \tag{5.2}$$

It is worth noting that $\lambda_i(\rho)\lambda_j(\rho) \cdot \kappa_{\rho^2 g_2}$ is independent of ρ .

5.2. Round Spheres. Denote by g_{std} the round metric of radius 1 on the spheres. Theorem 1.3 can be used to derive some quantitative criterion on the homotopy class of maps between spheres.

In [5, section 2.5], Gromov proved that there exists $\varepsilon(n, m) > 0$ such that a map $f : (S^n, g_{\text{std}}) \rightarrow (S^m, g_{\text{std}})$ with $\lambda_i \lambda_j < \varepsilon(n, m)$ (for any $i \neq j$) everywhere must be homotopically trivial. One may also consult [7, p.1882]. In [18, Corollary 1.2], the bound is improved to be 1. Theorem 1.3 with the dilation trick can further improve such a bound.

Corollary 5.1. *Suppose that $n \geq m \geq 2$. Any smooth map $f : (S^n, g_{\text{std}}) \rightarrow (S^m, g_{\text{std}})$ whose singular value obeys*

$$\lambda_i \lambda_j < \frac{2n - m - 1}{m - 1}$$

(for any $i \neq j$) everywhere must be homotopically trivial.

Proof. By the compactness of S^n , there exists $0 < \rho^{-1} < \sqrt{\frac{2n-m-1}{m-1}}$ such that $\lambda_i \lambda_j < \rho^{-2}$ everywhere (and for any $i \neq j$). Consider $f : (S^n, g_{\text{std}}) \rightarrow (S^m, \rho^2 g_{\text{std}})$. With the help of (5.1), f is area-decreasing with respect to this rescaled metric. Due to (5.2), the sectional curvature of $(S^m, \rho^2 g_{\text{std}})$ is ρ^{-2} , which is less than $\frac{2n-m-1}{m-1}$. Thus, Theorem 1.3 applies, and f is homotopically trivial. \square

To the best our knowledge, the bound given by Corollary 5.1 are sharpest so far. What follows are some known results and remarks about the bound.

- (i) The bound obtained in [18] is 1. If one applies the results in [10, 17] to this setting, the bound has not been improved, and is still 1.

- (ii) Recently, Assimos, Savas-Halilaj and Smoczyk [1] investigate the case when $m = 2$. In their Corollary C, the bound is improved to $n - 1$. Note that when $m = 2$, Corollary 5.1 gives $2n - 3$.
- (iii) For classical Hopf fibrations, $S^3 \rightarrow S^2$, $S^7 \rightarrow S^4$ and $S^{15} \rightarrow S^8$, the singular values are 2 and 0, with respect to g_{std} . Interestingly, for these dimensions, the bounds for $\lambda_i \lambda_j$ given by Corollary 5.1 are all 3. The result of [1] only applies to $S^3 \rightarrow S^2$, and the bound is 2. A natural question is whether the bound can be pushed to 4 in these dimensions.

A map from $\Sigma_1 \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ to $\Sigma_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^{m+1}$ is called a *polynomial map* if it is the restriction of a polynomial map from \mathbb{R}^{n+1} to \mathbb{R}^{m+1} . An interesting question [15] in algebraic topology is whether an element of $\pi_n(S^m)$ can be represented by a polynomial map between unit spheres. The above corollary can be used to prove a bound on the degree of a polynomial map of non-trivial homotopy class.

Corollary 5.2. *Suppose that $n \geq m \geq 2$. A polynomial map $f : (S^n, g_{\text{std}}) \rightarrow (S^m, g_{\text{std}})$ which is not homotopically trivial must have degree no less than*

$$\sqrt{\frac{2n - m - 1}{m - 1}}.$$

Proof. According to [16, Theorem 1.1], a degree k polynomial map between spheres has $\lambda_i \leq k$ for all i everywhere. This corollary follows from Corollary 5.1. \square

5.3. Complex Projective Spaces. Consider the complex projective space endowed with the Fubini–Study metric, g_{FS} . In terms of the homogeneous coordinate \mathbf{Z} ,

$$g_{\text{FS}} = \frac{|\mathbf{Z}|^2 |d\mathbf{Z}|^2 - (\bar{\mathbf{Z}} \cdot d\mathbf{Z})(\mathbf{Z} \cdot d\bar{\mathbf{Z}})}{|\mathbf{Z}|^4}.$$

For orthonormal vectors X and Y , the sectional curvature along $X \wedge Y$ is $\kappa_{g_{\text{FS}}}(X \wedge Y) = 1 + 3(\langle JX, Y \rangle)^2$, where J is the complex structure. The Ricci curvature is $\text{Ric}_{g_{\text{FS}}} = 2(\ell + 1)g_{\text{FS}}$, where ℓ is the complex dimension of the projective space. Note that when $\ell = 1$, $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1 \cong S^2$, and $g_{\text{FS}} = \frac{1}{4}g_{\text{std}}$.

It is not hard to see that Theorem 1.4 applies to complex projective spaces.

Corollary 5.3 (Corollary 1.5). *Any area-decreasing map from $(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n, g_{\text{FS}})$ to $(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^m, g_{\text{FS}})$ with $n \geq m \geq 1$ must be homotopically trivial.*

Since an area-decreasing map $f : \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^m$ with $n \geq m \geq 1$ induces a trivial map on the cohomology groups $f^* : H^2(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^m) \rightarrow H^2(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n)$, it is natural to raise the following question:

Question. Suppose a map $f : \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^m$ with $n > m \geq 1$ induces a trivial map on the cohomology groups $f^* : H^2(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^m) \rightarrow H^2(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n)$, is it necessarily homotopically trivial?

We remark that in [13], it was proved that a pinched symplectomorphism of $(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n, g_{\text{FS}})$ is homotopic to a biholomorphic isometry through the mean curvature flow. See also [23].

The following Corollary concerns about $\pi_{2n+1}(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n)$, which is isomorphic to $\pi_{2n+1}(S^{2n+1}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ by the long exact sequence induced by the Hopf fibration $S^1 \rightarrow S^{2n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$.

Corollary 5.4 (Corollary 1.6). *Any smooth map $f : (S^{2n+1}, g_{\text{std}}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n, g_{\text{FS}})$ whose singular value satisfies*

$$\lambda_i \lambda_j < \frac{2n}{2n+1}$$

(for any $i \neq j$) everywhere must be homotopically trivial.

Proof. Let $\rho = \sqrt{\frac{2n+1}{2n}}$. Consider $f : (S^{2n+1}, g_{\text{std}}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n, \rho^2 g_{\text{FS}})$. According to (5.1), f is area-decreasing with respect to this rescaled metric. By (5.2), $(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n, \rho^2 g_{\text{FS}})$ is an Einstein metric with Einstein constant $\rho^{-2}(2n+1) = 2n$. Since the Einstein constant of $(S^{2n+1}, g_{\text{std}})$ is $2n$, Theorem 1.4 applies, and f is homotopically trivial. \square

Remark 5.5. These discussions applies to the quaternionic projective spaces as well. The quaternionic projective space $\mathbb{H}\mathbb{P}^n$ also carries a naturally defined Fubini–Study metric g_{FS} . For orthonormal vectors X and Y , the sectional curvature along $X \wedge Y$ is $\sec_{g_{\text{FS}}}(X \wedge Y) = 1 + 3 \sum_{\mu=1}^3 (\langle J_\mu X, Y \rangle)^2$. The Ricci curvature is $\text{Ric}_{g_{\text{FS}}} = 4(n+2)g_{\text{FS}}$. Note that when $\ell = 1$, $\mathbb{H}\mathbb{P}^1 \cong S^4$, and $g_{\text{FS}} = \frac{1}{4}g_{\text{std}}$. It follows that the analogous statement to Corollary 1.6 holds true for $(\mathbb{H}\mathbb{P}^n, g_{\text{FS}})$. For Corollary 5.3, the bound becomes $\frac{4n+2}{4(n+2)}$. Again, by considering the Hopf fibration $S^3 \rightarrow S^{4n+3} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}\mathbb{P}^n$, the bound is sharp as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

6. COMMENTS ON OTHER APPROACHES AND EARLIER RESULTS

Other than the mean curvature flow and methods that are of topological nature or rely on the h -principle [5, 7], there is another approach of Llarull [11] to study area-decreasing maps by Dirac operators.

Theorem 6.1. *Suppose M is an n -dimensional compact spin Riemannian manifold with scalar curvature no less than $n(n-1)$. Any area-non-increasing map $f : M \rightarrow S^n$ with non-zero degree is an isometry.*

In view of Corollary 1.5, it is natural to speculate that the mean curvature flow will give a classification of the homotopy class of area-non-increasing maps from $(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n, g_{\text{FS}})$ to $(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n, g_{\text{FS}})$.

In the rest of this section, we explain how Theorem 3.2 also implies [17, Theorem A]. In particular, we show that the term \mathcal{R}_S in 3.2 is non-negative under the assumptions of [17, Theorem A]: $n \geq 2$, and there exists a constant $\sigma > 0$ such that

$$\kappa_1 > -\sigma \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Ric}_1 \geq (n-1)\sigma \geq (n-1)\kappa_2. \quad (6.1)$$

As before, start with (4.1), and rewrite (4.3). Since $\kappa_2 \leq \sigma$,

$$\mathcal{R}_S \geq \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (S_{ii} + S_{jj})^{-1} \left[\frac{2\lambda_i^2}{(1 + \lambda_i^2)^2} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq n \\ k \neq i}} \left(\frac{1}{1 + \lambda_k^2} \kappa_1(i, k) - \frac{\lambda_k^2}{1 + \lambda_k^2} \sigma \right) \right. \\ \left. + \frac{2\lambda_j^2}{(1 + \lambda_j^2)^2} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq n \\ k \neq j}} \left(\frac{1}{1 + \lambda_k^2} \kappa_1(j, k) - \frac{\lambda_k^2}{1 + \lambda_k^2} \sigma \right) \right].$$

With $\frac{2}{1 + \lambda_k^2} = 1 + S_{kk}$ and $\frac{2\lambda_k}{1 + \lambda_k^2} = 1 - S_{kk}$, \mathcal{R}_S is no less than

$$\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (S_{ii} + S_{jj})^{-1} \left[\frac{\lambda_i^2}{(1 + \lambda_i^2)^2} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq n \\ k \neq i}} ((\kappa_1(i, k) - \sigma) + S_{kk}(\kappa_1(i, k) + \sigma)) \right. \\ \left. + \frac{\lambda_j^2}{(1 + \lambda_j^2)^2} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq n \\ k \neq j}} ((\kappa_1(j, k) - \sigma) + S_{kk}(\kappa_1(j, k) + \sigma)) \right] \\ = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (S_{ii} + S_{jj})^{-1} \left[\frac{\lambda_i^2}{(1 + \lambda_i^2)^2} (\text{Ric}_1(i, i) - (n - 1)\sigma) + \frac{\lambda_j^2}{(1 + \lambda_j^2)^2} (\text{Ric}_1(j, j) - (n - 1)\sigma) \right] \\ + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (S_{ii} + S_{jj})^{-1} \left[\frac{\lambda_i^2}{(1 + \lambda_i^2)^2} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq n \\ k \neq i}} S_{kk}(\kappa_1(i, k) + \sigma) + \frac{\lambda_j^2}{(1 + \lambda_j^2)^2} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq n \\ k \neq j}} S_{kk}(\kappa_1(j, k) + \sigma) \right].$$

For the latest summation, one can apply exact the same argument as that for (4.9). It follows that

$$\mathcal{R}_S \geq \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \left[\frac{\lambda_i^2(1 + \lambda_j^2)}{2(1 + \lambda_i^2)(1 - \lambda_i^2\lambda_j^2)} (\text{Ric}_1(i, i) - (n - 1)\sigma) \right. \\ \left. + \frac{\lambda_j^2(1 + \lambda_i^2)}{2(1 + \lambda_j^2)(1 - \lambda_i^2\lambda_j^2)} (\text{Ric}_1(j, j) - (n - 1)\sigma) \right] \\ + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \frac{\lambda_i^2 + \lambda_j^2}{2(1 + \lambda_i^2)(1 + \lambda_j^2)} (\kappa_1(i, j) + \sigma) \\ + \sum_{1 \leq i < j < k \leq n} [V_{ijk}(\kappa_1(i, j) + \sigma) + V_{jki}(\kappa_1(j, k) + \sigma) + V_{ikj}(\kappa_1(i, k) + \sigma)],$$

where V_{ijk} is given by (4.10). Under assumption (6.1), the rest of the argument is the same as that for the proof of Theorem 1.4.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Assimos, A. Savas-Halilaj, and K. Smoczyk, *Graphical mean curvature flow with bounded bi-Ricci curvature*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations **62** (2023), no. 1, Paper No. 12, 26.
- [2] L. Caffarelli, L. Nirenberg, and J. Spruck, *The Dirichlet problem for nonlinear second-order elliptic equations. III. Functions of the eigenvalues of the Hessian*, Acta Math. **155** (1985), no. 3-4, 261–301.
- [3] D. DeTurck, H. Gluck, and P. Storm, *Lipschitz minimality of Hopf fibrations and Hopf vector fields*, Algebr. Geom. Topol. **13** (2013), no. 3, 1369–1412.
- [4] M. Gromov, *Homotopical effects of dilatation*, J. Differential Geometry **13** (1978), no. 3, 303–310.
- [5] ———, *Carnot-Carathéodory spaces seen from within*, Sub-Riemannian geometry, Progr. Math., vol. 144, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1996, pp. 79–323.
- [6] L. Guth, *Homotopically non-trivial maps with small k -dilatation*, preprint, available at [arXiv:0709.1241](https://arxiv.org/abs/0709.1241).
- [7] ———, *Contraction of areas vs. topology of mappings*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **23** (2013), no. 6, 1804–1902.
- [8] A. C. L. Hsu, *A characterization of the Hopf map by stretch*, Math. Z. **129** (1972), 195–206.
- [9] H. B. Lawson Jr., *Stretching phenomena in mappings of spheres*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **19** (1968), 433–435.
- [10] K.-W. Lee and Y.-I. Lee, *Mean curvature flow of the graphs of maps between compact manifolds*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **363** (2011), no. 11, 5745–5759.
- [11] M. Llarull, *Sharp estimates and the Dirac operator*, Math. Ann. **310** (1998), no. 1, 55–71.
- [12] C. A. McGibbon, *Self-maps of projective spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **271** (1982), no. 1, 325–346.
- [13] I. Medoš and M.-T. Wang, *Deforming symplectomorphisms of complex projective spaces by the mean curvature flow*, J. Differential Geom. **87** (2011), no. 2, 309–341.
- [14] J. M. Møller, *On spaces of maps between complex projective spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **91** (1984), no. 3, 471–476.
- [15] C. Peng and Z. Tang, *Algebraic maps from spheres to spheres*, Sci. China Ser. A **42** (1999), no. 11, 1147–1154.
- [16] ———, *Dilatation of maps between spheres*, Pacific J. Math. **204** (2002), no. 1, 209–222.
- [17] A. Savas-Halilaj and K. Smoczyk, *Homotopy of area decreasing maps by mean curvature flow*, Adv. Math. **255** (2014), 455–473.
- [18] M.-P. Tsui and M.-T. Wang, *Mean curvature flows and isotopy of maps between spheres*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **57** (2004), no. 8, 1110–1126.
- [19] M.-T. Wang, *Mean curvature flow of surfaces in Einstein four-manifolds*, J. Differential Geom. **57** (2001), no. 2, 301–338.
- [20] ———, *Long-time existence and convergence of graphic mean curvature flow in arbitrary codimension*, Invent. Math. **148** (2002), no. 3, 525–543.
- [21] ———, *Gauss maps of the mean curvature flow*, Math. Res. Lett. **10** (2003), no. 2–3, 287–299.
- [22] ———, *Subsets of Grassmannians preserved by mean curvature flow*, Comm. Anal. Geom. **13** (2005), no. 5, 981–998.
- [23] ———, *Mean curvature flows and isotopy problems*, Surveys in differential geometry. Geometry and topology, Surv. Differ. Geom., vol. 18, Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2013, pp. 227–235.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NATIONAL TAIWAN UNIVERSITY, TAIPEI 10617, TAIWAN
Email address: `cjtsai@ntu.edu.tw`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NATIONAL TAIWAN UNIVERSITY, TAIPEI 10617, TAIWAN
Email address: `maopei@math.ntu.edu.tw`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY, NEW YORK, NY 10027, USA
Email address: `mtwang@math.columbia.edu`