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## Direction-Of-Arrival (DOA) Estimation

Wavelength $\lambda$


## Monochromatic Far-field Uncorrelated Sources

Sensor Arrays

## Estimated <br> DOA $\widehat{\theta}_{i}$

${ }^{1}$ Van Trees, Optimum Array Processing: Part IV of Detection, Estimation, and Modulation Theory, 2002.

## Physical Array and Difference Coarray

Difference coarray $\mathbb{D}=\left\{n_{1}-n_{2}: n_{1}, n_{2} \in \mathbb{S}\right\}$
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## ULA and Sparse Arrays

## ULA (Not Sparse)



- Identify at most $N-1$ uncorrelated sources ${ }^{1}$
( $N$ is the number of sensors)
- Can only find fewer sources than sensors
- Robust to sensor failures


## Linear Sparse Arrays

- Minimum redundancy arrays
© Nested arrays
- Coprime arrays
- Super nested arrays
- Identify $\mathcal{O}\left(N^{2}\right)$ uncorrelated sources since the difference coarray has size $\mathcal{O}\left(N^{2}\right)$
- More sources than sensors!

[^1]
## The Essentialness Property

The sensor $n \in \mathbb{S}$ is essential with respect to $\mathbb{S}$ if $\overline{\mathbb{D}} \neq \mathbb{D}$.

| Physical array | Difference coarray |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
|  |  | 0 is essential |
|  |  | 1 is inessential |
|  | $\stackrel{-8}{ }$ - ${ }_{-}$ | 2 is inessential |
| (e) $\underset{0}{\bullet}$ |  | 7 is inessential |

${ }^{1}$ Liu and Vaidyanathan, IEEE ICASSP, 2018; IEEE Trans. Signal Process., 2019.
${ }^{2} \mathbb{D}$ is the difference coarray of $\mathbb{S}$ and $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ is the difference coarray of $\mathbb{S} \backslash\{n\}$.

## The Fragility of an Array $\mathbb{S}$

$$
\text { The fragility } F(\mathbb{S}) \triangleq \frac{\# \text { of essential sensors }}{N}
$$

$\mathbb{S}$ : The sensor array; $\quad N$ : The number of sensors

${ }^{1}$ Liu and Vaidyanathan, IEEE ICASSP, 2018; IEEE Trans. Signal Process., 2019.

## Sparse Arrays with Minimum Fragility

## Main Idea

We would like to study an array configuration $\mathbb{S}$ such that
(1) $\mathbb{S}$ owns hole-free difference coarrays of size $\mathcal{O}\left(N^{2}\right)$
(2) $\mathbb{S}$ is as robust as ULA with the same number of sensors $N$ (In particular, $F(\mathbb{S})=2 / N$ )

Known Solutions: Robust Minimum Redundancy Arrays (RMRA)

- Hole-free difference coarrays of size $\mathcal{O}\left(N^{2}\right)$
- Minimum fragility $(F(\mathbb{S})=2 / N)$
- No closed-form solutions
${ }^{1}$ Liu and Vaidyanathan, Proc. of the 52th Asilomar Conference on Signals, Systems, and Computers, 2018.
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 whose sensor locations can be found easily${ }^{1}$ Liu and Vaidyanathan, IEEE ICASSP, 2019.
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## An Example of the Singer Array $(q=4, M=21)$

## Singer Array $\mathbb{S}$ : <br> $0 \quad 1$ <br> 4 <br> $14 \quad 16$




## Singer Arrays

## Theorem (Rephrase from [1])

Let $q$ be a power of a prime number. Assume that $M=q^{2}+q+1$. Then there exist $q+1$ integers $d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{q+1}$ such that
(1) $0=d_{1}<d_{2}<\cdots<d_{q+1}<M$ and
(2) The set of pairwise differences between $d_{i}$ and $d_{j}$ for $i \neq j$ is equivalent to $\{1,2, \ldots, M-1\}$, under the modulo- $M$ operation. That is,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\{\left(\left(d_{i}-d_{j}\right)\right)_{M}: i \neq j, \quad i, j=1,2, \ldots, q+1\right\} \\
& =\{1,2, \ldots, M-1\},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $((a))_{b}$ denotes the remainder of $a$ divided by $b$.

## Definition: Singer Arrays

A Singer array with the parameter $q$ (a power of a prime) is defined as the set $\left\{d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{q+1}\right\}$, where $d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{q+1}$ are given in the above theorem.
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## Composite Arrays

## Definition: $\left(\alpha, \mathbb{S}_{1}, \mathbb{S}_{2}\right)$-composite array (Rephrase from [1])

Suppose $\alpha$ is a positive integer. Let $\mathbb{S}_{1}$ and $\mathbb{S}_{2}$ denote two sensor arrays with $\min \left(\mathbb{S}_{1}\right)=\min \left(\mathbb{S}_{2}\right)=0$. Then the $\left(\alpha, \mathbb{S}_{1}, \mathbb{S}_{2}\right)$-composite array $\mathbb{S}_{C}$ is defined as

$$
\mathbb{S}_{\mathrm{c}} \triangleq \alpha \mathbb{S}_{1}+\mathbb{S}_{2}=\left\{\alpha n_{1}+n_{2}: n_{1} \in \mathbb{S}_{1}, n_{2} \in \mathbb{S}_{2}\right\}
$$

where $\alpha>\max \left(\mathbb{S}_{2}\right)$.

- This technique was used in the literature to generate arrays with large difference coarrays ${ }^{1}$.

[^3]
## An Example of Singer Arrays and Composite Arrays

0123

## - $\bullet$ -

| 01 | 4 |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\bullet \times \times \times \times \times \times \times \times \times \times$ |  |

1416
$\leftarrow \mathbb{S}_{1}$ : The ULA with 4 sensors
$\leftarrow \mathbb{S}_{2}:$ The Singer array with $q=4$
$\mathbb{S}_{\mathrm{c}}:$ The $\left(\alpha, \mathbb{S}_{1}, \mathbb{S}_{2}\right)$-composite array with $\alpha=21$

$\mathbb{D}_{c}^{+}$: The nonnegative part of the difference coarray of $\mathbb{S}_{c}$

- $\mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{c}}$ has a large central ULA segment.
- $\mathbb{S}_{\mathrm{c}}$ is as robust as $\mathbb{S}_{1}\left(F\left(\mathbb{S}_{1}\right)=1 / 2\right.$ and $\left.F\left(\mathbb{S}_{\mathrm{c}}\right)=1 / 2\right)$.


## The Focus of This Talk

## Main Idea

We would like to study an array configuration $\mathbb{S}$ such that
(1) $\mathbb{S}$ owns hole-free difference coarrays of size $\mathcal{O}\left(N^{2}\right)$
(2) $\mathbb{S}$ is as robust as ULA with the same number of sensors $N$
(In particular, $F(\mathbb{S})=2 / N$ )

## Solutions to the above problem

 whose sensor locations can be found easily${ }^{1}$ Liu and Vaidyanathan, IEEE ICASSP, 2019.

## Problems with Composite Arrays plus Singer Arrays

| ${ }^{0123}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\leftarrow \mathbb{S}_{1}$ : The ULA with 4 sensors |
| $\begin{array}{cc} 01 & 4 \\ \bullet \bullet \bullet \end{array}$ | ${ }^{4} \times \times \times x \times \times \times \times \times \times \bullet$ | $\leftarrow \mathbb{S}_{2}$ : The Singer array with $q=4$ |

$\mathbb{S}_{\mathrm{c}}:$ The $\left(\alpha, \mathbb{S}_{1}, \mathbb{S}_{2}\right)$-composite array with $\alpha=21$

$\mathbb{D}_{c}^{+}$: The nonnegative part of the difference coarray of $\mathbb{S}_{c}$

- $\mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{c}}$ has holes
- $\mathbb{S}_{\mathrm{c}}$ DO NOT have minimum fragility $\left(F\left(\mathbb{S}_{\mathrm{c}}\right) \neq \frac{2}{N}\right)$


## Supplementary Arrays (Proposed)

Definition: Supplementary Arrays
Let $P$ and $Q$ be positive integers satisfying $Q>2 P(P-1)$. The supplementary array $\mathbb{S}_{\text {supp }}$ with parameters $P$ and $Q$ is defined as

$$
\mathbb{S}_{\text {supp }}=\{u, u P, Q-u, Q-u P: u=0,1, \ldots, P-1\}
$$

The Supplementary Array with $P=4$ and $Q=60$

$\mathbb{D}_{\text {supp }}^{+}$: (The nonnegative part of the difference coarray of $\mathbb{S}_{\text {supp }}$ )

## Properties of Supplementary Arrays

Let $\mathbb{S}_{\text {supp }}$ be the supplementary array with parameters $P$ and $Q$. Let $\mathbb{D}_{\text {supp }}$ be the difference coarray of $\mathbb{S}_{\text {supp }}$. Define the new set

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{L} \triangleq & \left\{1,2,3, \ldots, P^{2}-P,\right. \\
& \left.Q-1, Q-2, Q-3, \ldots, Q-\left(P^{2}-1\right)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then the following properties hold
(- $\left|\mathbb{S}_{\text {supp }}\right|=4 P-2$.
(2) $\mathbb{L} \subseteq \mathbb{D}_{\text {supp }}$.
(0) Let $n \in \mathbb{S}_{\text {supp }} \backslash\{0, Q\}$. Denote the difference coarray of $\bar{S}_{\text {supp }} \triangleq \mathbb{S}_{\text {supp }} \backslash\{n\}$ by $\overline{\mathbb{D}}_{\text {supp }}$. Then $\mathbb{L} \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{D}}_{\text {supp }}$.

## $\mathbb{S}_{\text {supp }}$ helps to fill the holes and increase the robustness

## Composite Singer Arrays $\mathbb{S}_{\text {cs }}$

$$
\mathbb{S}_{\mathrm{cs}}=\mathbb{S}_{\mathrm{c}} \cup \mathbb{S}_{\text {supp }}
$$

The ( $\alpha, \mathbb{S}_{1}, \mathbb{S}_{2}$ )-Composite Array

- $\mathbb{S}_{1}$ :
- $F\left(\mathbb{S}_{1}\right)=2 /\left|\mathbb{S}_{1}\right|$
- Hole-free coarray
- $\mathbb{S}_{2}$ : A Singer array with the parameter $q$
$\left(\mathbb{S}_{2}=\left\{d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{q+1}\right\}\right)$
- $\alpha=q^{2}+q+1$.

The Supplementary Array

- $P=\left\lceil\sqrt{2 d_{q+1}+1}\right\rceil$.
- $Q=\alpha A_{1}+d_{q+1}$, where $A_{1}$ is the aperture of $\mathbb{S}_{1}$.
- $Q>2 P(P-1)$
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## Design Parameters

- $\mathbb{S}_{1}=\{0,1,2,3,5,6\}$ : Robust MRA with 6 sensors ${ }^{1}$
- $F\left(\mathbb{S}_{1}\right)=2 / 6$
- The difference coarray of $\mathbb{S}_{1}: \mathbb{D}_{1}=\{0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots, \pm 6\}$
- $\mathbb{S}_{2}=\{0,1,4,14,16\}:$ The Singer array with $q=4$
- $\alpha=q^{2}+q+1=21$
- $P=\lceil\sqrt{2 \times 16+1}\rceil=6$
- $Q=21 \times 6+16=142$
${ }^{1}$ Liu and Vaidyanathan, ACSSC, 2018.


## Array Configurations

-ee* $\times$ e
$\leftarrow \mathbb{S}_{1}=\{0,1,2,3,5,6\}:$ RMRA with 6 sensors
$\leftarrow \mathbb{S}_{2}=\{0,1,4,14,16\}:$ The Singer array with $q=4$
$\mathbb{S}_{\mathrm{CS}}=\{0,1,2,3,4,5,6,12,14,16,18,21,22,24,25,30,35,37,42,43,46,56,58$, $63,64,67,77,79,105,106,109,112,118,119,121,124,126,127,130,136,137,138,139,140,141,142\}$

Essential sensors (red): 0, 142
The composite Singer array (46 sensors)

## $\downarrow$


$\mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cs}}^{+}$: The nonnegative part of the difference coarray of $\mathbb{S}_{c}$

$$
\mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cs}}^{+}=\{0,1, \ldots, 142\}
$$
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## Concluding Remarks

- Composite Singer arrays
- Singer arrays, composite arrays, supplementary arrays
- Future work
- New arrays with hole-free difference coarrays and minimum fragility
- Two-dimensional arrays
- This work is supported by
- Office of Naval Research
- National Science Foundation
- California Institute of Technology
- Ministry of Education Republic of China (Taiwan)
- National Taiwan University


## Thank you!
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