

IN THIS CHAPTER

- What is an externality?
- Why do externalities make market outcomes inefficient?
- What public policies aim to solve the problem of externalities?
- How can people sometimes solve the problem of externalities on their own? Why do such private solutions not always work?

































Public Policies Toward Externalities – 1 1. Command-and-control policies Regulate behavior directly by requiring or forbidding certain behaviors Impossible to prohibit all polluting activity Examples: Decide a maximum level of pollution Require that firms adopt a particular technology to reduce emissions

ASK THE EXPERTS

Carbon Taxes

"The Brookings Institution recently described a U.S. carbon tax of \$20 per ton, increasing at 4 percent per year, which would raise an estimated \$150 billion per year in federal revenues over the next decade. Given the negative externalities created by carbon dioxide emissions, a federal carbon tax at this rate would involve fewer harmful net distortions to the U.S. economy than a tax increase that generated the same revenue by raising marginal tax rates on labor income across the board." What do economists say?



Public Policies Toward Externalities - 2

- 2. Market-based policies
 - To align private incentives with social efficiency
 - Private decision makers will choose to solve the problem on their own
 - 1. Corrective taxes and subsidies
 - 2. Tradable pollution permits

Corrective Taxes and Subsidies

- · Internalize the externality
 - Taxing activities that have negative externalities
 - Ideal corrective tax = external cost
 - Subsidizing activities that have positive externalities
 - Ideal corrective subsidy = external benefit

Corrective Taxes

- Corrective taxes (Pigovian taxes)
 - Align private incentives with society's interests
 - Induce private decision makers to take into account the social costs of a negative externality
 - Should equal the external cost
 - Places a price on the right to pollute
 - Reduce pollution at a lower cost to society (than regulation)
 - Raise revenue for the government
 - Enhance economic efficiency

Corrective Taxes vs. Regulations

• A pollution tax is efficient:

- Firms with low abatement costs will reduce pollution to reduce their tax burden
- Firms with high abatement costs have greater willingness to pay tax.
- Regulation requiring all firms to reduce pollution by a specific amount is not efficient
 - Firms have no incentive to reduce emission further once they have reached the required target

Why is Gasoline Taxed So Heavily?

The gas tax can be vied as a corrective tax targeting three negative externalities:

- <u>Congestion:</u> The more you drive, the more you contribute to congestion.
- <u>Accidents:</u> Larger vehicles cause more damage in an accident.
- <u>Pollution:</u> Cars cause smog. Burning fossil fuels is widely believed to be the primary cause of global climate change.
- Actual gas tax: \$0.50 per gallon
- Optimal corrective tax: \$2.95/gallon (2018 dollars)
- cengage Learning®. May not be scanned, copied or duplicated, or posted to a publicly accessible website, in whole or in part, except for use as permitted in istributed with a certain product or service or otherwise on a password-protected website or school-approved learning management system for classroom i



Tradeable Pollution Permits

- Tradable pollution permits system
 - Reduces pollution at lower cost than regulation
 - Firms with low cost of reducing pollution do so and sell their unused permits
 - Firms with high cost of reducing pollution buy permits
 - Result: Pollution reduction is concentrated among those firms with lowest costs
 - The initial allocation of the permits among firms does not matter from the standpoint of economic efficiency

121 Cengage Learning[®]. May not be scanned, copied or duplicated, or posted to a publicly accessible website, in whole or in part, except for use as permitted in a se distributed with a certain product or service or otherwise on a password-protected website or school-approved learning management system for classroom use. Active Learning 1: Reducing Pollution Tiana's Paper Mill and Jordan's Tire Factory are both polluting the Blue River with 100 tons of green glowing glop per month (each). Goal: Reduce total green glowing glop pollution to 140 tons/month. • Cost of reducing pollution: – NT\$1,000/ton for Tiana's Paper Mill – NT\$2,000/ton for Jordan's Tire Factory • Which is more efficient: regulation or tradable pollution permits?

2021 Cengage Learning[®]. May not be scanned, copied or duplicated, or posted to a publicly accessible website, in whole or in part, except for use as permitted in a ense distributed with a certain product or service or otherwise on a password-protected website or school-approved learning management system for classroom use.

Active Learning 1: A. Regulation

Policy option 1: Regulation Each firm must cut its pollution by 30 tons. Compute the cost to each firm and total cost of achieving goal using this policy.

– Cost to Tiana's Paper Mill:

- 30 tons x (NT\$1,000/ton) = NT\$30,000/month
- Cost to Jordan's Tire Factory:
- 30 tons x (NT\$2,000/ton) = NT\$60,000/month
- Total cost of reducing pollution = NT\$90,000

 Active Learning 1: B. Tradable Pollution Permits

 Policy option 2: Tradable pollution permits

 - The government issues 140 permits, each allows one ton of green glowing glop pollution.

 - Gives 70 permits to each firm.

 - Establish a market for trading permits.

 - Each firm may use all its permits to emit 70 tons, may emit < 70 tons and sell leftover permits, or may purchase extra permits to emit > 70 tons.

 Compute the cost of achieving goal if Tiana's Paper Mill uses 40 permits and sells 30 to Jordan's Tire Factory for NT\$1,500 each.

Active Learning 1: B. Answers	
Tiana's Paper Mill	Jordan's Tire Factory
Uses 40 permits: can pollute only 40 tonsSells Jordan 30 permits	 Buys 30 permits from Tiana at a cost of 30 x 1,500 = NT\$45,000
for NT\$1,500 each, bringing in a revenue of 30 x 1,500 = <mark>NT\$45,000</mark>	 Now they have 70 + 30 = 100 permits, exactly how much they pollute
• Must clean 100 – 40 = 60 tons of pollution at a cost of 60 x 1 000 =	Total cost for Jordan is = NT\$45,000
NT\$60,000	Overall pollution
Tiana's Total cost = 60,000 - 45,000 = NT\$15,000	reduction cost = 15,000 + 45,000 = NT\$60,000
© 2021 Cengage Learning®. May not be scanned, copied or duplicated, or posted to a pu license distributed with a certain product or service or otherwise on a password-protected	blicly accessible website, in whole or in part, except for use as permitted in a website or school-approved learning management system for classroom use. 31







Objections – 2

- Clean environment is a normal good
 - -Positive income elasticity
 - Rich countries can afford a cleaner environment, have a more rigorous environmental protection
 - Clean air and clean water: law of demand
 - The lower the price of environmental protection, the more the public will want it
 - Using pollution permits and corrective taxes reduces the cost of environmental protection



The Coase Theorem

The Coase theorem

- If private parties can bargain without cost over the allocation of resources
- They can solve the problem of externalities on their own
- Whatever the initial distribution of rights
 - Interested parties can reach a bargain
 - -Everyone is better off
 - -Outcome is efficient



EXAMPLE 5B: Private Solutions to externalities B. Taio has the legal right to play the piano - Taio gets a NT\$10,000 benefit playing the piano - Zehra bears an NT\$8,000 cost from this "music" Efficient outcome: - Taio turns down any offer below NT\$10,000 - Zehra will not offer any amount above NT\$8,000 - Taio keeps playing the piano

(and Zehra moves her tutoring business to the library)



EXAMPLE 5C: Private Solutions to Externalities C. Zehra can legally compel Taio to stop playing - Taio gets a NT\$8,000 benefit playing the piano - Zehra bears an NT\$5,000 cost from this "music" • Efficient outcome: - Taio pays Zahra NT\$6,000 to put up with the loud music (or move her tutoring business to the library) - Taio keeps playing the piano The private market achieves the efficient outcome regardless of the initial distribution of rights



Active Learning 2: Applying the Coase theorem

Collectively, the 1000 residents of the Chou Tribe (鄒族) value fishing in a clean Sun Moon Lake at NT\$1,000,000.

The annual Sun Moon Lake International Cross-lake Swimming Carnival (泳渡日月潭) pollutes the lake water, and would require NT\$500,000 for clean-up.

- A. Describe a Coase-like private solution.
- B. Can you think of any reasons why this solution might not work in the real world?

Why Private Solutions Do Not Always Work

- High transaction costs:
 - -Costs that parties incur in the process of agreeing to and following through on a bargain
- Stubbornness: (最牛釘子戶)
 - -Bargaining simply breaks down
- Coordination problems:
 - -Large number of interested parties
 - -Coordinating everyone is costly

THINK-PAIR-SHARE

Your father is reading your parents' property tax bill. On the property tax bill, there is a deduction if the property owner has done anything to beautify his property: If your parents spent NT\$20,000 on landscaping, they can reduce their tax bill by 50% × NT\$20,000 = NT\$10,000 so the true cost of the landscaping was only NT\$10,000.

Your father announces, "This is an outrage. If someone wants to improve his house, it is no one's business but his own. I remember some of my college economics and I know that taxes and subsidies are always inefficient."

121 Cengage Learning®. May not be scanned, copied or duplicated, or posted to a publicly accessible website, in whole or in part, except for use as permitti nse distributed with a certain product or service or otherwise on a password-protected website or school-approved learning management system for classro

THINK-PAIR-SHARE

- A. What is the city government trying to subsidize with this tax break?
- B. What is the externality that this subsidy is trying to internalize?
- C. Although taxes and subsidies usually create inefficiencies, are taxes and subsidies always inefficient? Why or why not?

CHAPTER IN A NUTSHELL

- Externality: when a transaction between a buyer and seller directly affects a third party
 - For negative externalities, such as pollution, the socially optimal quantity in a market is less than the equilibrium quantity.
 - For positive externalities, such as technology spillovers, the socially optimal quantity is greater than the equilibrium quantity

CHAPTER IN A NUTSHELL

- Governments pursue various policies to remedy the inefficiencies caused by externalities.
 - Regulating behavior
 - Corrective taxes
 - Issuing permits. The government could protect the environment by issuing a limited number of pollution permits. The result of this policy is similar to imposing corrective taxes on polluters.

not be scanned, copied or duplicated, or posted to a publicly accessible website, in whole or in part, except for use as per moduled or service or otherwise on a bassword-protected website or school-approved learning management system for clas-

CHAPTER IN A NUTSHELL

- Those affected by externalities can sometimes solve the problem privately.
 - When one business imposes an externality on another business, the two businesses can internalize the externality by merging.
 - Coase theorem: if people can bargain without cost, then they can always reach an agreement in which resources are allocated efficiently.
 - In many cases, reaching a bargain among the many interested parties is difficult.

Chapter 10: Externalities

- Market Failure? Or, Lack of Market Failure!
 - Caused by lack of property rights!
 - Social Cost/Benefit ≠ Private Cost/Benefit
- Market-based Public Policy:
 - Corrective Taxes
 - Tradable Pollution Permits
- Private Solutions: Coase Theorem
- Homework:
- Mankiw, Ch.10, Problem 1, 4, 5, 8, 9

Externalities

Chapter 10: Challenge Questions/ex-Midterm	
▶ 2007 - Q6b	
▶ 2008 - C5-9 (Multi-Choice Q12, Q13)	
▶ 2009 - C1-4 (Multi-Choice Q13)	
▶ 2010 - A (True/False Q10)	
▶ 2014 - B	
▶ 2015 - A4-5, B3	
▶ 2016 - D	
▶ 2017 - C	
▶ 2018 - C, D	
▶ 2019 - D1-D5	
2020/10/30 Externalities Joseph Tao-yi Wang	



Gov't issues permits (政府發放執照) 1. Random Assignment (隨機發放): After distribution, firms can trade permits under Free Form Bargaining (自由談判) 2. All firms receive permits (通通有獎): Then, the government buys back half of the permits in a Sealed Bid Auction (密封式投標), 3. Auction off permits to half: (政府拍賣) After distribution, the government sells permits to half of the factories through a Dutch Auction (荷蘭式拍賣, Descending Price Auction)

Externalities





