

Homework 7: optional

(Political Economy: Spring 2018)

1. Verify the following two (approximate) equalities.

(1)

$$\frac{\Pr[\text{Piv}_A|\alpha] + \Pr[\text{Piv}_B|\alpha]}{\Pr[\text{Piv}_A|\beta] + \Pr[\text{Piv}_B|\beta]} \approx \frac{e^{2n\sqrt{r(1-r)}}}{e^{2n\sqrt{s(1-s)}}} \times K(r, s)$$

(2)

$$\frac{\Pr[\text{Piv}_A|\alpha]}{\Pr[\text{Piv}_A|\beta]} = \frac{e^{-n(1-r)p_b}}{e^{-nsp_b}} \times \frac{1 + n(1-r)p_b}{1 + nsp_b}$$

2. Consider a jury model with $n = 3$ voters and equal prior $\pi = 1/2$. Also assume asymmetric signal precision;

$$\Pr[\theta_i = 1|\omega = G] = p, \quad \Pr[\theta_i = 0|\omega = I] = q$$

where $1 > p > q > 1/2$. We have utility $u(c|G) = u(a|I) = 1$ and $u(c|I) = u(a|G) = 0$. Each voter has a voting cost drawn (independently) from the uniform distribution on $[0, 1]$, hence $F(x) = x$. You are asked to find a sincere voting equilibrium with voluntary participation in this environment.

(1) Write $x = p_1$, $y = p_0$ for the participation rates of those with signal $i = 0, 1$. Assuming sincere voting, derive expressions for $\Pr[c|\omega]$, $\Pr[a|\omega]$ and also for $\Pr[T|\omega]$, $\Pr[T_{-1}|\omega]$, $\Pr[T_{+1}|\omega]$, $\omega = G, I$, where the events T , $T_{\pm 1}$ are as defined in class. *Hint.* For a fixed number of voters, the probability of the event $(k, l)|\omega$, meaning k votes for “c” and l votes for “a” in state ω , is given by the multinomial probability

$$\binom{n}{k+l} \binom{k+l}{k} \Pr[c|\omega]^k \Pr[a|\omega]^l (1 - \Pr[c|\omega] - \Pr[a|\omega])^{n-k-l}$$

where $n - k - l = \#$ abstainers.

(2) Combine the probabilities in part (1) to write the pivot probabilities $\Pr[\text{Piv}_c|\omega]$, $\Pr[\text{Piv}_a|\omega]$, $\omega = G, I$, and state the individual rationality conditions (IR_1) , (IR_0) for the signals $i = 0, 1$ in terms of these pivot probabilities.

(3) Assume $p = 0.9$, $q = 0.6$ and solve the two equations (IR_1) , (IR_0) for the equilibrium participation rates x^* , y^* (by using some mathematics package such as Mathematica or Matlab). Are these participation rates strictly positive? Which one is greater between x^* and y^* ?

(4) Finally, state the incentive compatibility conditions (IC_1) , (IC_0) for signals $i = 0, 1$ and verify that the above equilibrium participation rates x^* , y^* in part (3) indeed satisfy these incentive compatibility conditions.